Ronald E. McNair High

School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017—18 School Year

California Department of Education

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



Mr. Mark Dawson, Principal

Principal, Ronald E. McNair High

About Our School

Welcome to Ronald E. McNair High School here every student is expected to achieve at high levels. The 2017-2018 saw an increase in McNair's graduation rate as well as an increase in many benchmark scores. The 2017-2018 also saw the implementation of McNair's positive behavior expectations or S.O.A.R. Students at McNair are expected to be Safe, Organized, Accountable and Respectful. The 2018-2019 school year will bring focus on providing targeted interventions for students as well providing recognition for those students that exemplify McNair's positive behavior expectations, or those who demonstrate that they S.O.A.R.

Contact

Ronald E. McNair High 9550 Ronald East McNair Way Stockton, CA 95210-2800

Phone: 209-953-9245 E-mail: <u>mdawson@lodiusd.net</u>

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018—19)

District Contact Information (School Year 2018—19)			
District Name	Lodi Unified		
Phone Number	(209) 331-7000		
Superintendent	Cathy Washer		
E-mail Address	cwasher@lodiusd.net		
Web Site	www.lodiusd.net		

School Contact Information (School Year 2018—19)			
School Name	Ronald E. McNair High		
Street	9550 Ronald East McNair Way		
City, State, Zip	Stockton, Ca, 95210-2800		
Phone Number	209-953-9245		
Principal	Mr. Mark Dawson, Principal		
E-mail Address	mdawson@lodiusd.net		
Web Site	www.lodiusd.net		
County-District-School (CDS) Code	39685850108225		

Last updated: 1/1/2019

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018—19)

Ronald E. McNair High School is the newest comprehensive high school in Lodi Unified School District. We currently service students in the 9th through 12th grades. The teaching staff covers the following areas: Business, Consumer Education, English, World Language, Math, Physical Education, Science, Social Studies, Special Education, and Visual and Performing Arts. McNair High sits at the corners of West and Morada Lanes. It is surrounded on three sides by farmland. McNair High opened on August 15, 2005. The site boasts a main building which houses 84 classrooms, Library, career center, and offices. In addition, two gymnasiums with locker rooms and a community center, a multi-purpose room/cafeteria, theater with band, choir, and media broadcast classrooms, and a consumer education building which includes the CTE restaurant.

MISSION STATEMENT:

To ensure that ALL students learn. To embrace diversity and a commitment to create a respectful community that develops academic and interpersonal skills.

VISION STATEMENT:


McNair Eagles soar to excellence in learning, respect, and achievement to empower our local and global communities.

SCHOOL MOTTO:

Soar to Excellence

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017—18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	1
Grade 9	419
Grade 10	462
Grade 11	449
Grade 12	409
Total Enrollment	1740



Last updated: 1/3/2019

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2017—18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	10.5 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4 %
Asian	38.9 %
Filipino	6.0 %
Hispanic or Latino	35.9 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.0 %
White	4.3 %
Two or More Races	1.1 %
Other	0.9 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81.4 %
English Learners	15.5 %
Students with Disabilities	11.6 %
Foster Youth	0.8 %

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School 2016 —17	School 2017 —18	School 2018 —19	District 2018— 19
With Full Credential	68	63	67	1250
Without Full Credential	5	9	12	94
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	6



Last updated: 12/21/2018

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016— 17	2017— 18	2018— 19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	5	4
Total Teacher Misassignments*	1	5	4
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	5	4



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Last updated: 12/21/2018

st Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018—19)

Year and month in which the data were collected: July 2018

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Ow Assigned Copy
eading/Language ts	(Grade 9 Base Core/EL Support) StudySync , McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016		0.0 %
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016		
	(Grade 9-12 Intensive Intervention) Scholastic Read 180: Next Generation , Scholastic, c.2012 Adoption Year: 2011 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval of Supplemental Non-Core on 4/17/2011		
	(Grade 9-12 Intensive Intervention) Scholastic System 44 Next Gen, Scholastic, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014		
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 7/22/2014		
	(Grade 10 Base Core/EL Support) StudySync , McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016		
	(Grade 11 Base Core) StudySync , McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016		
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016		
	(Grade 11 AP Language) The Language of Composition: Reading, Writing, Rhetoric, Bedford, c.2013 Adoption Year: 2016		
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 1/12/2016		
	(Grade 11-12 Creative Writing) Bedford Introduction to Literature , Bedford/St. Martin's Press, c. 2005 Adoption Year: 2007		
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007		
	(Grade 12 Base Core/EL Support) StudySync , McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016		
	(Grade 12 - AP Language) Bedford Introduction to Literature , Bedford/St. Martin's Press, c. 2005 Adoption Year: 2007		
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007		
athematics	(Grade 9-12 SDC) Math 180 , Scholastic, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014		0.0 %
	From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 7/22/2014		
	(Grade 9 Intervention) Core Connections Course 3 , CPM, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 7/22/2014		
	(Grade 9-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 1, CPM, c.2014		
	Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2014		
	(Grade 10-12) Stats in Your World , Pearson, c.2016 Adoption Year: 2017 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/6/2017		
	(Grade 10-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 2, CPM, c.2014		
	Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2014		
	(Grade 10-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 3 , CPM, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014		
	(Grade 10-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 3, CPM, c.2014		

0.0 %

(Grade 12) Precalculus, CPM, c.2018

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/15/18

(Grade 12 AP) Calculus for AP, Cengage 2017

Adoption Year: 2017

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/6/2017

Science

(Grade 9) Earth Science, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2006

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/3/2007

(Grade 9-12) Conceptual Physics 12th Ed., Pearson c.2015

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/5/2015

(Grade 10-12) CA Biology, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/3/2007

(Grade 11-12 AP) Biology in Focus, Pearson, c.2017

Adoption Year: 2016

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/17/2016

(Grade 11-12) Chemistry Matter & Change, McGraw Hill, c. 2007

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12 AP) $\textbf{Chemistry \& Chemical Reactivity}, \ \texttt{Cengage Learning},$

c.2015

Adoption Year: 2016

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/17/2016

(Grade 11-12) Holt Environmental Science, Holt, Rinehart & Winston,

c.2008

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12 AP) Living in the Environment, 18th ed., Cengage, c.2015

Adoption Year: 2016

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/17/2016

(Grade 11-12) Physics: Principles & Problems, Glencoe/McGraw Hill, c.2008

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12) Hole's Anatomy & Physiology, MacMillan/McGraw, c.2019

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 11-12) Astronomy: Journey to the Cosmic Frontier, McGraw Hill,

c.2008

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12) Life on an Ocean Planet, Current Publishing, c.2006

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 12 AP) College Physics, 10th Ed., Cengage Learning, c.2015

Adoption Year: 2016

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/17/2016

History-Social Science

(Grade 9) World Geography: My World Interactive, Pearson/Prentice Hall,

c. 2019

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No. Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 9-12 AP) The Cultural Landscape: An Introduction to Human

Geography, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2008

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2018

(Grade 9-12 AP) World Civilizations: The Global Experience, Pearson,

c.2017

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 3/27/2018

0.0 %

(Grade 9-12 AP) Art Through the Ages: A Global History, Cengage

Learning, c. 2016 Adoption Year: 2015

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/19/2015

(Grade 10) World History: A Modern World, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2018

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 10-12 AP) Western Civilization Since 1300, Cengage Learning,

c.2015

Adoption Year: 2016

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 1/12/2016

(Grade 11) United States History: the Twentieth Century,

Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2019

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 11 AP) The American Pageant, Cengage, c.2015

Adoption Year: 2014

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2014

(Grade 11-12) Sociology & You, Glencoe, c.2014

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 11-12) Understanding Psychology, McGraw Hill, c.2014

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 11-12 AP) Myer's Psychology, Bedford Freeman/Worth, c.2019

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No. Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 12) Magruder's American Government, Pearson/Prentice Hall,

c.2018

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 12) Economics: Principles in Action, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2018

Adoption Year: 2018

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/1/2018

(Grade 12 AP) American Government and Politics Today, Thomson

Learning, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 12 AP) Principles of Economics AP, Thomson Learning, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

Foreign Language

(Grade 9-12 Spanish) Que Chevere! Level 1-4, EMC Publishing, c.2016

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 Spanish for Native Speakers) Que Chevere! Level 4-5, EMC

Publishing, c.2016 Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on $\,6/2/2015\,$

(Grade 9-12 AP Spanish) **Temas**, Vista Higher Learning, c.2014

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 AP Spanish Literature) Abriendo Puertas: Ampliando

Perspectivas, HMH Co., c.2013

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 Japanese)

Adventures in Japanese 1, Cheng & Tsui Co., c.2004

Adventures in Japanese 2, Cheng & Tsui Co., c.2004

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 9-12 Japanese) Adventures in Japanese 3, Cheng & Tsui Co., c.2004

Adoption Year: 2013

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 3/5/2013

0.0 %

(Grade 9-12 French) ${f T'es\ Branche?\ Level\ 1-4},\ {\sf EMC\ Publishing},\ c.2014$

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 11-12 AP French) **Themes**, Vista Higher Learning, c.2016

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 Italian) Avanti 1-3, McGraw Hill, c.2018

Adoption Year: 2017

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 3/7/2017

(Grade 9-12 German)

Komm Mit! 1, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2006 Komm Mit! 2, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2006 Komm Mit! 3, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2006

Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/20/2008

(Grade 9-12 AP German) Stationen-Ein Kursbuch Fuer die Mittlestufe,

Thomson & Heinle, c.2008 Adoption Year: 2008

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/20/2008

Health

(Grade 9-10) Project Toward No Drug Abuse, USC, c.2004

Adoption Year: 2005

From the most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/7/2005

(Grade 9-12) **Glencoe Health**, Glencoe/McGraw, c.2018

Adoption Year: 2018

From the most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/15/2018

Visual and Performing Arts N/A 0.0 %

Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/23/2019

0.0 %

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

AGE OF SCHOOL BUILDINGS:

Year built 2005.

MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:

The McNair High School facilities condition, including grounds and restrooms, are very good based on custodial staffing, a regular district painting and maintenance schedule and funding available for equipment and supplies. Custodial workers are assigned based on a district formula to ensure equity. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

CLEANING PROCESS AND SCHEDULE:

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by Lodi Unified School District to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. Staff works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

DEFERRED MAINTENANCE PROJECTS: N/A

MODERNIZATION PROJECTS: 2012 Installed netting outside lunch area for bird problem, replaced fencing, re-roofed various portables, & replaced various parking lot solar panels. 2015 Roof coating project for Building "A", replaced domestic water booster system, and replaced culinary arts kitchen. 2016 added access control and cameras for security, replaced various gym bleacher seats, and repaired JV baseball field. 2017 Prop 39 Yr 2 Wireless Energy Management System Replacement Project. 2017 Measure U Painting Project. Athletics Field Improvements. Installed weed barriers in flower beds of tennis courts and parking lot areas. 2017-18 Adding outside lighting to gym area and planning on re-ballasting gym lights. 2018 Prop 39 Yr 3-5 Lighting Retrofit Services project.

NEW SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS: N/A

Data on school facilities was collected for Ronald E. McNair High on 9/27/2018.

Lodi Unified School District takes great effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office or the district office. As of September 2018, none of the eight emergency facilities needs specified in Education Code Section 17592.72 (c)(1) exist at Ronald E. McNair High. These needs include:

- gas leaks
- nonfunctioning heating, ventilation, fire sprinklers, or air-conditioning systems
- electrical power failure
- major sewer line stoppage
- major pest or vermin infestation
- broken windows or exterior doors or gates that will not lock and that pose a security risk
- abatement of hazardous materials previously undiscovered that pose an immediate threat to pupils or staff
- structural damage creating a hazardous or uninhabitable condition

Last updated: 1/1/2019

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 2018

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 2018

Overall Rating	Good	Last updated: 1/1/2019
----------------	------	------------------------

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2016—17	School 2017—18	District 2016—17	District 2017—18	State 2016—17	State 2017—18
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	54.0%	40.0%	43.0%	44.0%	48.0%	50.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	26.0%	21.0%	33.0%	33.0%	37.0%	38.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017—18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	434	415	95.62%	40.00%
Male	195	185	94.87%	32.97%
Female	239	230	96.23%	45.65%
Black or African American	50	47	94.00%	21.28%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	170	159	93.53%	45.91%
Filipino	28	27	96.43%	62.96%
Hispanic or Latino	151	148	98.01%	36.49%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	14	14	100.00%	28.57%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	366	347	94.81%	37.18%
English Learners	129	118	91.47%	16.10%
Students with Disabilities	44	37	84.09%	5.41%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017—18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	434	415	95.62%	21.26%
Male	195	186	95.38%	20.54%
Female	239	229	95.82%	21.83%
Black or African American	50	46	92.00%	6.67%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	170	164	96.47%	30.49%
Filipino	28	28	100.00%	21.43%
Hispanic or Latino	151	144	95.36%	15.97%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	14	14	100.00%	14.29%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	366	347	94.81%	19.94%
English Learners	129	122	94.57%	6.56%
Students with Disabilities	44	33	75.00%	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight and High School

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2016—17	2017—18	2016—17	2017—18	2016—17	2017—18
Science (grades 5, 8, and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAS for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

Last updated: 1/3/2019

Career Technical Education (CTE) Programs (School Year 2017—18)

At Ronald E. McNair High we believe that a carefully selected path will better prepare students for a more productive work life. We strongly encourage students to choose a challenging curriculum to better prepare them for the work place. Programs and courses offered at McNair are designed to improve student achievement, while continuing to place specific emphasis on the standards-based curriculum.

CTE courses offered include Business, Health Science, Medical Technology, Hospitality & Tourism Management, Child Development, Information Technology, Culinary Arts and many more.

Students also have the opportunity to participate in CTE courses at Lincoln Technical Academy, the district's CTE learning center, in which courses are designed with career and college preparation in mind.

Last updated: 1/24/2019

Career Technical Education (CTE) Participation (School Year 2017—18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	444
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	71.0%
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	40.0%

Last updated: 1/3/2019

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017—18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	95.5%
2016—17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	38.4%

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017—18)

Grade	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six
Level	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards
9	17.7%	20.4%	34.6%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/3/2019

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018—19)

Parents who wish to participate in Ronald E. McNair High School's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become volunteers may contact Principal Mark Dawson at (209) 953-9245.

- 1. Parents are encouraged to complete parent satisfaction surveys in the spring so results data can direct school plan review and revision for the next year. Results will be distributed to all parents.
- 2. Parents are notified of School Site Council voting procedures, names of council members, yearly schedules, agendas and minutes of regular meetings so they have opportunities to participate in making decisions that affect their child s education.
- 3. Parents are notified and encouraged to participate in activities presenting information about parenting skills, volunteering and learning at home opportunities, academic content, achievement standards and assessments.
- 4. Parents are notified and encouraged to participate in the next level information to aid in the smooth transition of parents and students.
- 5. Every year, parent groups will review and revise, if necessary, the site parent involvement policy.
- 6. Parents are invited and encouraged to attend/participate in School Site Council and other decision making activities that affect the education of their students.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School 2014—15	School 2015—16	District 2014—15	District 2015—16	State 2014—15	State 2015—16
Dropout Rate	11.9%	15.9%	7.9%	9.4%	10.7%	9.7%
Graduation Rate	83.4%	80.7%	85.4%	84.9%	82.3%	83.8%

Indicator	School 2016—17	District 2016—17	State 2016—17
Dropout Rate	14.2%	9.0%	9.1%
Graduation Rate	81.3%	84.1%	82.7%



For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017—18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2017 (One-Year Rate)

Student Group	School	District	State
All Students	81.6%	82.1%	88.7%
Black or African American	72.1%	65.2%	82.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	88.9%	82.8%
Asian	88.5%	86.5%	94.9%
Filipino	85.7%	89.7%	93.5%
Hispanic or Latino	78.0%	80.8%	86.5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	100.0%	73.1%	88.6%
White	69.6%	84.9%	92.1%
Two or More Races	50.0%	88.2%	91.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88.9%	85.0%	88.6%
English Learners	49.3%	45.7%	56.7%
Students with Disabilities	45.1%	39.6%	67.1%
Foster Youth	0.0%	41.7%	74.1%


State Priority: School Climate


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School	School	School	District	District	District	State	State	State
Rate	2015—16	2016—17	2017—18	2015—16	2016—17	2017—18	2015—16	2016—17	2017—18
Suspensions	9.0%	9.6%	6.4%	5.5%	5.1%	4.6%	3.7%	3.7%	3.5%
Expulsions	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%





Last updated: 1/3/2019

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018—19)

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan is in place to address safety issues as well as a school wide plan to eliminate bullying behavior. Key elements of the safety plan include safe school strategies and programs, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe ingress and egress and rules and procedures on school discipline. School wide behavior expectations and discipline policies are consistently applied, and students are provided adult supervision at all times. Visitors to the school must sign in at the office and receive a visitor's pass prior to going to classrooms. Visitors must return to the office and sign out upon leaving the school. Our Comprehensive School Safety Plan was last reviewed on 12/4/2017.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2015—16)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	26.0	12	24	12
Mathematics	28.0	3	23	4
Science	29.0	1	15	3
Social Science	32.0	1	13	9

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2016—17)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	28.0	12	26	12
Mathematics	28.0	4	18	9
Science	29.0	2	13	5
Social Science	33.0	2	3	18

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2017—18)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	27.0	15	27	7
Mathematics	29.0	5	19	11
Science	30.0	2	9	14
Social Science	34.0		9	23

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017—18)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	4.0	435.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.9	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.4	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.4	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	4.5	N/A
Other	0.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 12/26/2018

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016—17)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$10991.2	\$3342.0	\$7649.2	\$62180.5
District	N/A	N/A	\$7393.4	\$71664.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	3.4%	-14.2%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574.0	\$80764.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	7.1%	-26.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/3/2019

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017—18)

In addition to services provided with general funding, Lodi Unified School District also provides programs and supplemental services that are funded through either Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) or other sources. Services vary by school and may include:

- After School Programs
- AVID Programs
- Special Education
- Counseling Services (Academic and Career)
- Extended Day/Year/Summer School
- \bullet Supplemental instructional materials
- Enrichment Programs
- Access to Technology
- Social Services
- Athletic Programs
- Program for English Learners


Check with the school principal to get more information about the services that are specific to the school.


^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016—17)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,597	\$47,903
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$66,087	\$74,481
Highest Teacher Salary	\$89,825	\$98,269
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$118,104	\$123,495
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$125,927	\$129,482
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$129,133	\$142,414
Superintendent Salary	\$248,676	\$271,429
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36.0%	35.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2017—18)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	2	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	1	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	2	N/A
Science	1	N/A
Social Science	3	N/A
All Courses	9	8.6%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/3/2019

Professional Development

Lodi Unified School District is committed to supporting school district staff through quality professional development. Teachers and staff receive professional development each year. A variety of data is used to determine the focus of staff development in Lodi Unified School District. Data from state assessments, district assessments and teacher and staff surveys assist us in identifying areas of concentration. Our current focus is on early literacy skills, school safety, computer science, STEAM and support for students at risk of failure. Our goal is to continue to have a highly trained professional staff that supports the success of all students in the classroom. We provide several programs and a variety of strategies to allow staff full access to training.

Teacher Induction Program (TIP) is available to newly credentialed teachers through the district. All Teachers participate for two days of professional development per year, attend after school workshops, conferences and are released from their classroom for professional development. Non-instructional personnel are offered training in technology, budget, and job specific content. Many Paraprofessionals take advantage of district offered training. Instructional coaches are available to work with staffs on-site in their classrooms as well as through district sponsored events.

^{*}Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.