

**LEMONT TWP HIGH SCHOOL  
LEMONT TWP HSD 210  
LEMONT, ILLINOIS**



**ILLINOIS  
SCHOOL  
REPORT  
CARD**

**GRADES : 9 10 11 12**

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

**STUDENTS**

**RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION**

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
<b>School</b>	92.4	0.4	5.9	1.1	0.1	0.1	3.3	0.9	0.8	0.2	4.1	94.6	1,331
<b>District</b>	92.4	0.4	5.9	1.1	0.1	0.1	3.3	0.9	0.8	0.2	4.1	94.6	1,331
<b>State</b>	55.7	19.9	18.7	3.8	0.2	1.8	40.0	6.6	3.5	2.2	16.0	94.0	2,075,277

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

**Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

**Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

**INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

**PARENTAL CONTACT\***

	Percent
<b>School</b>	89.6
<b>District</b>	89.6
<b>State</b>	96.6

**STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS**

	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
<b>School</b>	--	--	--	--
<b>District</b>		17.5	12.8	190.1
<b>State</b>		18.9	13.9	221.9

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

**AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)**

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
<b>School</b>										19.9
<b>District</b>										19.9
<b>State</b>										19.7

**TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)**


	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
<b>District</b>	97.6	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	45.7	54.3	85
<b>State</b>	84.9	9.2	4.6	1.2	0.2	23.1	76.9	127,010

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	13.8	37.0	63.0	0.0	0.0
State	13.0	49.3	50.6	1.6	1.4

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


## SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2004-05 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2004-05			
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$14,387,406	80.1	58.2
Other Local Funding	\$1,280,190	7.1	5.1
General State Aid	\$532,485	3.0	18.5
Other State Funding	\$554,437	3.1	10.1
Federal Funding	\$1,215,822	6.8	8.1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$17,970,340</b>		


EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2004-05			
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$11,890,976	68.0	72.2
Operations & Maintenance	\$1,884,097	10.8	8.4
Transportation	\$792,289	4.5	3.6
Bond and Interest	\$2,580,864	14.8	6.6
Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$329,642	1.9	1.7
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	1.1
Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	6.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$17,477,868</b>		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2003 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2003 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2004-05 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2004-05 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$608,593	1.90	\$7,220	\$12,411
State	**	**	\$5,366	\$9,099

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.  
**Equalized assessed valuation** includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.  
**Total school tax rate** is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.  
**Instructional expenditure per pupil** includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.  
**Operating expenditure per pupil** includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

### ACT ASSESSMENT: GRADUATING CLASS OF 2006 \*



The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.

\* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. Excludes the scores of students who took the test with special accommodations. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

### HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE


	Gender			Race / Ethnicity					LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged	
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American					Multi racial /Ethnic
School	93.4	93.3	93.5	93.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		60.0		92.6	100.0
District	93.4	93.3	93.5	93.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		60.0		92.6	100.0
State	87.8	85.6	89.9	92.3	78.3	77.1	94.1	80.5		63.2		77.2	76.5

**OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**


These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics and science.

Caution: Data for 2004-05 should not be compared to data for 2005-06 because substantial changes were made to the state test in 2005-06 when testing in reading and mathematics was expanded to include all grades from grade 3 through grade 8. In 2004-05, such testing was limited only to selected grades. Although there were no changes in high school testing, data in high school report cards at the state level ( and also at the district level for unit districts) are not comparable between the two years because of changes in elementary school testing mentioned above.

**OVERALL PERFORMANCE - ALL STATE TESTS**




**OVERALL PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) PERFORMANCE**



**PSAE PERFORMANCE**


These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding standards in reading, mathematics and science on PSAE.

**PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) - Average Scores**



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.

**PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) - Percents Meeting or Exceeding Standards**



Number of students in this school with PSAE scores in 2006: 336

## PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Measure of Annual Growth in English (IMAGE) is administered to limited-English-proficient students. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Schools with grade 2 as the highest grade in the school use a state-adopted test in reading and mathematics to meet this requirement.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

### PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS

		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	340	178	162	316	2	19	3			3		33	9
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0						0.0	
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0						0.0	
District	*Enrollment	340	178	162	316	2	19	3			3		33	9
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0						0.0	
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0						0.0	
State	*Enrollment	1,098,045	561,165	536,855	610,423	220,763	201,615	41,305	2,480	19,623	67,463	368	160,118	461,218
	Reading	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	1.7	0.8	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.9	1.2	1.3
	Mathematics	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	1.7	0.8	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.9	1.2	1.3

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows.

### PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

**Grade 11**

**Grade 11 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	5.4	24.1	53.0	17.6	4.8	25.9	56.0	13.4	6.5	32.1	47.3	14.0
District	5.4	24.1	53.0	17.6	4.8	25.9	56.0	13.4	6.5	32.1	47.3	14.0
State	8.4	33.2	44.4	14.0	9.8	36.6	45.8	7.9	8.3	40.9	40.1	10.7

**Grade 11 - Gender**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	7.4	25.6	48.3	18.8	4.5	26.1	49.4	19.9	9.7	26.1	44.3	19.9
	District	7.4	25.6	48.3	18.8	4.5	26.1	49.4	19.9	9.7	26.1	44.3	19.9
	State	10.8	33.5	41.7	14.0	9.8	34.1	46.4	9.7	8.5	36.6	41.0	13.9
Female	School	3.1	22.5	58.1	16.3	5.0	25.6	63.1	6.3	3.1	38.8	50.6	7.5
	District	3.1	22.5	58.1	16.3	5.0	25.6	63.1	6.3	3.1	38.8	50.6	7.5
	State	6.0	32.9	47.0	14.1	9.8	38.9	45.2	6.1	8.2	45.1	39.2	7.6

**Grade 11 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	5.8	22.7	53.7	17.9	5.1	25.6	55.3	14.1	6.4	31.3	47.9	14.4
	District	5.8	22.7	53.7	17.9	5.1	25.6	55.3	14.1	6.4	31.3	47.9	14.4
	State	5.9	27.0	49.3	17.8	5.8	30.6	53.8	9.8	4.7	33.6	47.8	13.9
Black	School												
	District												
	State	15.8	50.9	30.6	2.7	25.0	54.2	20.2	0.6	21.0	61.7	16.4	0.9
Hispanic	School	0.0	42.1	52.6	5.3	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	5.3	47.4	42.1	5.3
	District	0.0	42.1	52.6	5.3	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	5.3	47.4	42.1	5.3
	State	13.5	48.0	34.3	4.2	14.6	51.9	32.2	1.3	13.7	58.7	25.5	2.1
Asian/Pacific Islander	School												
	District												
	State	4.4	22.8	48.0	24.8	3.2	20.1	53.9	22.8	3.2	28.0	48.1	20.7
Native American	School												
	District												
	State	9.4	37.2	41.5	12.0	10.7	40.2	45.7	3.4	7.7	46.2	38.5	7.7
Multiracial/Ethnic	School												
	District												
	State	8.1	33.6	46.7	11.6	11.5	38.9	42.8	6.8	8.8	44.8	36.2	10.2

**Grade 11 - Students with Disabilities**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	33.3	45.5	21.2	0.0	36.4	57.6	6.1	0.0	42.4	51.5	6.1	0.0
	District	33.3	45.5	21.2	0.0	36.4	57.6	6.1	0.0	42.4	51.5	6.1	0.0
	State	38.1	44.4	15.4	2.1	42.3	44.5	12.3	0.8	38.9	48.4	11.1	1.6
Non-IEP	School	2.3	21.8	56.4	19.5	1.3	22.4	61.4	14.9	2.6	30.0	51.8	15.5
	District	2.3	21.8	56.4	19.5	1.3	22.4	61.4	14.9	2.6	30.0	51.8	15.5
	State	4.7	31.8	48.0	15.5	5.8	35.6	49.9	8.7	4.6	40.0	43.7	11.8

## 2006 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2006-07 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2006-07 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
<b>State AYP Minimum Target</b>	95.0		95.0		47.5			47.5			89.0		69.0	
<b>All</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	70.8		Yes	69.8		Yes			93.4	Yes
<b>White</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	71.9		Yes	70.0		Yes				
<b>Black</b>														
<b>Hispanic</b>														
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>														
<b>Native American</b>														
<b>Multiracial /Ethnic</b>														
<b>LEP</b>														
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>														
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>														

The four conditions for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) are:

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 47.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 47.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 47.5% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 89% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 69% graduation rate for high schools.

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 5/01/2005.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 47.5% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.



---

**PLANNED IMPROVEMENT FOR THE SCHOOL AND DISTRICT**

This is the final section of the school report card in which your school and district provide information below on areas of success and areas for planned improvement based on your school's improvement plan.

(For report cards disseminated electronically, this information may be provided in a separate document due to differences in the software used. If you are unable to locate this document, please contact your local school or district office.)

Lemont High School has continually sought to improve the academic achievement of our students. As is shown by our standardized test scores, these efforts are paying off. Our top academic students continue to achieve at a very high level. Our ACT and related PSAE scores are the highest in the history of the school. Students are making significant gains relative to the state mean as they progress from the EXPLORE exam to the PLAN exam to the PSAE / ACT. Being a data-driven district, the information we receive from lower-level tests such as EXPLORE and PLAN is used to institute curricular and instructional adaptations. This is being done successfully.

However, we are dissatisfied with our performance relative to our lower-achieving students. There are students in this building for whom the education provided for the general student population does not work. They are not making satisfactory progress toward graduation and, in some cases, will not graduate. Our mantra here is that "Failure is Not an Option." While kids do fail here, we intend to do everything in our power to help them achieve at a level that will lead to success. As we work through our Professional Learning Communities initiative, including our weekly sessions on Wednesday mornings, we are constantly reminded that we must work from three questions. They are:

1. What do we want students to learn? (curriculum)
2. How do we know if they've learned it? (assessment)
3. What do we do when they aren't learning? (intervention)

Our curriculum is strong in every phase. Our program of assessment has made enormous strides in the last three years. Our program of academic intervention has grown dramatically, but we still have students not sufficiently impacted by these programs. Freshman, Sophomore, and Junior-Senior Academies have helped many students potentially at-risk to succeed at levels that were not predicted for them. We will be working on an at-risk intervention that will be designed to meet the needs of many students who are not making satisfactory progress toward graduation.

While headlines are made by a school's best achieving students, we fervently believe the academic success of each and every student to be just as important as the success of any other. While very pleased with the overall success of many of our students, we will continue to dedicate ourselves to high levels of success for all students at all levels of study at Lemont High School.