

**Lemont Twp High School**  
**Lemont Twp HSD 210**  
**Lemont, ILLINOIS**



**ILLINOIS**  
**SCHOOL**  
**REPORT**  
**CARD**

**GRADES : 9 10 11 12**

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

**STUDENTS**

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION														
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
<b>School</b>	87.2	0.9	5.5	2.0	0.0	4.4	4.3	0.1	7.5	1.2	0.5	3.6	94.7	1,501
<b>District</b>	87.2	0.9	5.5	2.0	0.0	4.4	4.3	0.1	7.5	1.2	0.5	3.6	94.7	1,501
<b>State</b>	52.8	18.8	21.1	4.2	0.2	2.9	45.4	7.6	13.1	3.8	3.6	13.0	93.9	2,064,312

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

**IEP** Students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

**Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

**Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

**INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

PARENTAL CONTACT*		STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
<b>School</b>	91.2	--	--	--	--
<b>District</b>	91.2		17.1	13.1	195.7
<b>State</b>	96.2		18.2	13.3	203.8

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
<b>School</b>										20.4
<b>District</b>										20.4
<b>State</b>										19.7


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
<b>District</b>	96.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	48.7	51.3	95
<b>State</b>	85.2	8.1	5.2	1.4	0.2	23.0	77.0	132,502

TEACHER INFORMATION ( Continued )					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	14.2	9.8	90.2	0.0	0.0
State	12.7	42.2	57.4	0.5	0.7

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


## SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2008-09 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2008-09				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2008-09			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$18,855,742	81.7	58.4	Education	\$16,631,093	66.4	69.6
Other Local Funding	\$2,033,381	8.8	6.9	Operations & Maintenance	\$1,970,537	7.9	7.9
General State Aid	\$450,455	2.0	14.5	Transportation	\$1,236,574	4.9	3.8
Other State Funding	\$799,508	3.5	8.3	Debt Service	\$3,290,395	13.1	7.0
Federal Funding	\$953,741	4.1	11.9	Tort	\$202,406	0.8	1.2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$23,092,827</b>			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$454,419	1.8	1.8
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	0.8
				Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$1,272,110	5.1	7.9
				<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$25,057,534</b>		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2007 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2007 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2008-09 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2008-09 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$832,720	1.64	\$8,947	\$15,947
State	**	**	\$6,483	\$11,197

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE





The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.

\* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. Excludes the scores of students who took the test with special accommodations. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE													
	Gender			Race / Ethnicity						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	96.6	94.0	99.5	97.4	100.0	90.3	100.0		75.0	0.0		92.0	88.2
District	96.6	94.0	99.5	97.4	100.0	90.3	100.0		75.0	0.0		92.0	88.2
State	87.8	87.3	88.4	93.3	78.0	79.4	95.0		89.5	63.0		78.2	79.3

### OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE


These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.



**PSAE PERFORMANCE**


These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding standards in reading, mathematics, and science on PSAE.

**PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) - Average Scores**



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.

**PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) - Percents Meeting or Exceeding Standards**



Number of students in this school with PSAE scores in 2010: 339

**PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	346	164	182	300	4	20	9	0	13	0	0	33	17
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0			0.00 0.00			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
District	*Enrollment	346	164	182	300	4	20	9	0	13	0	0	33	17
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,068,202	545,784	522,252	565,375	199,071	219,899	44,975	2,039	36,134	67,911	346	150,721	503,002
	Reading Mathematics	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.5 0.5	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.3	0.9 0.9	0.5 0.5	0.3 0.3

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	346	164	182	300	4	20	9	0	13	0	0	33	17
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	346	164	182	300	4	20	9	0	13	0	0	33	17
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	449,149	228,523	220,563	244,235	81,931	88,351	19,309	898	14,165	23,830	105	62,715	201,259
	Science	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.9	0.9	0.6

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

**PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE)**

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

**Grade 11****Grade 11 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	5.0	25.1	57.5	12.4	2.9	24.5	58.7	13.9	3.8	31.0	49.3	15.9
District	5.0	25.1	57.5	12.4	2.9	24.5	58.7	13.9	3.8	31.0	49.3	15.9
State	9.0	37.0	44.1	9.9	10.6	36.7	42.4	10.3	9.7	37.9	41.6	10.8

**Grade 11 - Gender**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	7.5	24.8	55.3	12.4	3.7	23.6	52.8	19.9	5.6	24.8	47.2	22.4
	District	7.5	24.8	55.3	12.4	3.7	23.6	52.8	19.9	5.6	24.8	47.2	22.4
	State	11.3	37.3	41.9	9.5	10.9	34.2	42.4	12.5	10.2	34.6	41.5	13.7
Female	School	2.8	25.3	59.6	12.4	2.2	25.3	64.0	8.4	2.2	36.5	51.1	10.1
	District	2.8	25.3	59.6	12.4	2.2	25.3	64.0	8.4	2.2	36.5	51.1	10.1
	State	6.8	36.7	46.2	10.2	10.4	39.1	42.4	8.1	9.3	41.1	41.7	7.9

**Grade 11 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	5.4	24.1	56.8	13.6	2.7	23.1	59.5	14.6	4.1	28.2	50.3	17.3
	District	5.4	24.1	56.8	13.6	2.7	23.1	59.5	14.6	4.1	28.2	50.3	17.3
	State	5.3	29.0	52.2	13.5	5.7	29.6	51.0	13.8	4.8	29.4	50.9	14.9
Black	School												
	District												
	State	17.1	55.2	26.0	1.6	26.0	53.5	19.5	0.9	23.1	57.2	18.8	0.9
Hispanic	School	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	5.0	35.0	55.0	5.0	5.0	60.0	35.0	0.0
	District	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	5.0	35.0	55.0	5.0	5.0	60.0	35.0	0.0
	State	15.6	51.2	30.7	2.5	15.5	50.7	31.4	2.4	16.1	54.0	27.5	2.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	School												
	District												
	State	6.0	28.2	48.7	17.1	3.6	19.0	50.4	27.0	4.5	24.5	49.8	21.3
Native American	School												
	District												
	State	8.3	37.0	43.9	10.9	10.6	37.3	42.6	9.6	10.2	37.6	42.9	9.2
Multiracial/Ethnic	School	7.7	23.1	61.5	7.7	7.7	38.5	38.5	15.4	0.0	38.5	46.2	15.4
	District	7.7	23.1	61.5	7.7	7.7	38.5	38.5	15.4	0.0	38.5	46.2	15.4
	State	7.2	35.4	46.0	11.4	9.3	38.1	43.6	9.0	8.0	37.1	44.4	10.5

**Grade 11 - Students with Disabilities**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	42.9	39.3	17.9	0.0	32.1	57.1	10.7	0.0	42.9	50.0	7.1	0.0
	District	42.9	39.3	17.9	0.0	32.1	57.1	10.7	0.0	42.9	50.0	7.1	0.0
	State	40.1	42.7	14.8	2.4	45.8	40.9	12.2	1.2	42.1	43.9	11.9	2.1
Non-IEP	School	1.6	23.8	61.1	13.5	0.3	21.5	63.0	15.1	0.3	29.3	53.1	17.4
	District	1.6	23.8	61.1	13.5	0.3	21.5	63.0	15.1	0.3	29.3	53.1	17.4
	State	5.2	36.3	47.7	10.8	6.3	36.2	46.2	11.4	5.7	37.2	45.3	11.8

**Grade 11 - Economically Disadvantaged**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	School	12.5	25.0	62.5	0.0	18.8	31.3	50.0	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	0.0
	District	12.5	25.0	62.5	0.0	18.8	31.3	50.0	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	0.0
	State	16.4	51.7	29.5	2.4	20.1	50.9	27.0	2.0	18.9	54.0	25.1	2.1
Not Eligible	School	4.6	25.1	57.3	13.0	2.2	24.1	59.1	14.6	3.7	29.7	49.8	16.7
	District	4.6	25.1	57.3	13.0	2.2	24.1	59.1	14.6	3.7	29.7	49.8	16.7
	State	5.0	29.0	52.1	14.0	5.5	28.9	50.9	14.8	4.7	29.1	50.6	15.5



## 2010 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
2010-11 Federal Improvement Status	
2010-11 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
<b>State AYP Minimum Target</b>	95.0		95.0		77.5			77.5			91.0		80.0	
<b>All</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	70.1		No	73.2		No			96.6	Yes
<b>White</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	69.8		No	73.9		Yes				
<b>Black</b>														
<b>Hispanic</b>														
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>														
<b>Native American</b>														
<b>Multiracial /Ethnic</b>														
<b>LEP</b>														
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>														
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>														

**Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):**

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 77.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 77.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 80% graduation rate for high schools.

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2009.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 77.5% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

## **SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

For the first time since “No Child Left Behind” was enacted, Lemont High School fell short of meeting Adequate Yearly Progress in 2010. For the second straight year, more than 70 percent of our students met or exceeded standards on the Prairie State Achievement Exam (PSAE). However, with Illinois’s threshold to meet AYP increasing by 7.5 percentage points this year, the school was unable to meet the 2010 target of 77.5 percent of students meeting or exceeding standards, despite being optimistic that it would be able to do so.

While we are disappointed that we did not meet the AYP standard this year, we still are very proud of what is reflected in this year’s Illinois State Report Card. For the second straight year, our graduating class posted the highest average composite ACT scores in the school’s history. The Class of 2010 posted a composite average ACT score of 22.7, and matched or exceeded previously established school standards in relation to the average scores in each of the ACT’s subtests – English, Mathematics, Reading and Science.

The Class of 2011’s performance on the PSAE also was encouraging, as its percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards was the second-best in school history. As with all Illinois public high schools, each of our juniors was required to take the ACT as part of the PSAE. Each student in the top 10 percent of scorers on the ACT portion of last spring’s PSAE posted a composite score of at least 30, and the average composite ACT-PSAE score posted by the top 50 percent of the Class of 2011 is the highest in school history.

What is not reflected on the school’s report card is that our students’ course failure rate is at an all-time low.

Increasing federal standards makes it more challenging for an institution to serve as a comprehensive high school – one that serves the interests of all its students. Yet, that remains Lemont High School’s primary goal, and we will continue to address the learning needs of each of our students through a variety of school-wide programs, including:

- Our Professional Learning Communities (PLC), which lead directly to curricular, instructional and assessment adjustments for students at all achievement levels;
- Our Pyramid of Interventions and Critical Reading Course, each of which aid students who need assistance to make adequate progress toward graduation;
- The strides made by our Grading Practices Committee, which is working to enhance grading and academic communication practices; and
- The school-wide Learning Initiative, which focuses instruction on researched teaching methods that best support the acquisition and retention of knowledge for each of our students.

At Lemont High school, the success of each student is as important as the next. We will continue all of our efforts to increase our students’ academic achievement. The school’s mission continues to be for all students to become life-long, independent learners and productive citizens in a rapidly changing world.