

Lemont Twp HSD 210

Lemont, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS DISTRICT REPORT CARD

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
District	86.0	0.6	9.6	2.4	0.0	0.2	1.3	7.0	0.9	7.8	0.4	5.5	4.5	95.6	1,507
State	51.0	18.0	23.6	4.2	0.1	0.3	2.8	49.0	9.4	13.6	2.5	8.6	13.1	94.4	2,066,692

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

IEP students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

Total Enrollment is based on [Home School](#).

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*		STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
District	100.0		17.7	13.6	198.8
State	95.3		18.8	13.7	205.0

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
District										21.2
State										19.2

TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)



	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown	Male	Female	Total Number
District	97.8	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.8	49.2	91
State	83.3	7.1	5.3	1.3	0.1	0.2	0.8	2.0	23.1	76.9	127,830

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)

		Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
District:	All Schools	15.0	10.2	89.8	0.0	0.0
	High Poverty Schools					
	Low Poverty Schools					
State:	All Schools	12.9	37.8	61.7	0.6	0.7
	High Poverty Schools	12.0	39.5	59.7	1.3	0.9
	Low Poverty Schools	13.1	29.3	70.5	0.2	0.1

The No Child Left Behind Act requires that information for certain data elements be disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools. Poverty (low-income) is defined on page 1 of all report cards. High- and low-poverty schools include those in the top and bottom quarters of the poverty distribution of schools in the state. Disaggregated data are reported only if at least one school in your district falls within the high-poverty quarter and at least one school within the low-poverty quarter.

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2010-11				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2010-11			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$19,370,379	83.0	58.2	Education	\$16,693,395	69.0	73.7
Other Local Funding	\$1,336,613	5.7	5.1	Operations & Maintenance	\$1,934,984	8.0	5.9
General State Aid	\$631,813	2.7	17.1	Transportation	\$1,077,316	4.5	3.8
Other State Funding	\$1,188,162	5.1	9.5	Debt Service	\$3,690,262	15.2	7.4
Federal Funding	\$811,428	3.5	10.1	Tort	\$280,253	1.2	1.2
TOTAL	\$23,338,395			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$529,981	2.2	2.0
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	0.8
				Capital Projects	\$0	0.0	5.1
				TOTAL	\$24,206,191		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2009 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2009 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2010-11 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2010-11 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$972,337	1.47	\$9,022	\$15,917
State	**	**	\$6,824	\$11,664

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE



The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.

* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. Excludes the scores of students who took the test with special accommodations. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

HIGH SCHOOL 4-YEAR GRADUATION RATE

	Gender			Race / Ethnicity							LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races				
District	96.7	95.0	98.5	96.5	100.0	100.0	90.9		100.0	100.0			72.0	91.3
State	82.3	79.0	85.8	88.9	68.4	76.0	93.0		78.8	83.3			68.9	72.8

HIGH SCHOOL 5-YEAR GRADUATION RATE

	Gender			Race / Ethnicity							LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races				
District	94.3	92.8	95.7	95.1	83.3	94.4	90.0	100.0		71.4	100.0		78.1	80.8
State	84.0	81.1	87.1	89.3	72.2	78.8	92.8	94.5		83.9	73.0		72.5	76.7

2011 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS (NAEP)

NAEP is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education and administered to students in grade 4, 8, and 12. Only grade 4 and 8 results are required to be reported.

Achievement levels reflect what students should know and be able to do. Based on recommendations from policymakers, educators, and members of the general public, the Governing Board for NAEP sets specific achievement levels for each subject area and grade. To provide a context for interpreting student performance, NAEP results are reported as percentages of students performing below the *Basic* level, at or above the *Basic* and *Proficient* levels, and at the *Advanced* level.

Basic denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at a given grade.

Proficient represents solid academic performance. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter.

Advanced represents superior performance.

The four achievement levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced) are reported as level 1 through level 4, respectively. Please note that only state results are reported.

Grade 8 - Limited-English-Proficient

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	67.9	29.9	2.2	0.0	69.5	27.0	3.2	0.0

Grade 8 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	63.6	28.5	7.5	0.0	63.6	26.8	7.8	1.7

Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged



Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	34.4	47.0	17.8	0.9	39.3	43.7	15.0	2.1

Grade 8 - NAEP Participation Rates

	Reading	Mathematics
Limited English Proficient	91.2	89.9
Students with Disabilities	90.2	84.6


OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your district and the state. They represent your district's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.




PSAE PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards in reading, mathematics, and science on PSAE.



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.



Number of students in this District with PSAE scores in 2012: 374

PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8, and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING															
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background							LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races				
District	*Enrollment	385	202	183	328	1	39	8	0	2	7	0	0	29	26
	Reading	1.8	3.5	0.0	0.9		5.1							6.9	0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,072,304	548,690	523,352	547,900	192,977	251,440	45,188	977	3,177	29,968	75,031	261	146,113	531,157
	Reading	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	2.3	0.9	0.5

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR MATHEMATICS															
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background							LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races				
District	*Enrollment	385	202	183	328	1	39	8	0	2	7	0	0	29	26
	Mathematics	1.8	3.5	0.0	0.9		5.1							6.9	0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,073,764	549,462	524,040	548,234	193,064	252,013	45,638	983	3,180	29,975	76,502	271	146,133	532,214
	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.5

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE															
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background							LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races				
District	*Enrollment	385	202	183	328	1	39	8	0	2	7	0	0	29	26
	Science	1.8	3.5	0.0	0.9		5.1							6.9	0.0
State	*Enrollment	456,721	232,992	223,651	237,912	81,780	103,594	19,211	393	1,359	12,254	25,859	107	61,941	217,988
	Science	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.4	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.6	1.0

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 11

Grade 11 - All

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District	4.5	32.1	53.2	10.2	4.0	27.3	59.4	9.4	2.4	28.6	58.8	10.2
State	9.9	39.4	41.6	9.0	10.7	37.7	42.2	9.4	8.8	39.6	41.1	10.6

Grade 11 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	District	6.2	31.6	54.4	7.8	4.7	21.2	62.7	11.4	2.1	23.8	61.1	13.0
	State	12.2	40.1	39.7	8.0	11.2	35.4	42.2	11.2	9.0	36.2	41.5	13.3
Female	District	2.8	32.6	51.9	12.7	3.3	33.7	55.8	7.2	2.8	33.7	56.4	7.2
	State	7.6	38.8	43.6	10.0	10.2	40.0	42.3	7.5	8.5	42.9	40.7	7.9

Grade 11 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	District	4.0	30.7	54.5	10.8	3.7	26.3	59.8	10.2	2.2	27.2	58.8	11.8
	State	5.7	30.6	50.8	12.9	5.6	29.8	51.7	12.9	4.3	29.2	51.3	15.2
Black	District												
	State	19.1	56.6	22.8	1.5	25.4	53.8	20.1	0.8	20.6	60.0	18.4	1.0
Hispanic	District	8.3	52.8	38.9	0.0	5.6	36.1	58.3	0.0	2.8	44.4	52.8	0.0
	State	14.7	51.9	30.6	2.8	13.9	49.9	33.6	2.6	12.1	54.6	30.4	2.9
Asian	District												
	State	6.5	27.3	49.0	17.1	4.0	18.5	48.7	28.8	4.6	22.5	49.2	23.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	District												
	State	8.5	42.3	37.3	12.0	9.9	34.5	47.2	8.5	9.2	39.4	43.0	8.5
American Indian	District												
	State	10.6	43.4	40.1	5.9	10.4	41.3	43.6	4.7	9.0	48.3	36.6	6.1
Two or More Races	District												
	State	7.2	37.1	44.4	11.3	8.7	37.2	43.1	11.0	7.2	36.7	43.2	12.9

Grade 11 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	District	22.2	51.9	25.9	0.0	37.0	48.1	14.8	0.0	14.8	63.0	18.5	3.7
	State	41.0	42.7	14.4	2.0	45.7	41.1	11.9	1.3	36.9	48.2	12.6	2.3
Non-IEP	District	3.2	30.5	55.3	11.0	1.4	25.6	62.8	10.1	1.4	25.9	62.0	10.7
	State	5.9	39.0	45.1	9.9	6.2	37.3	46.1	10.4	5.2	38.5	44.7	11.6

Grade 11 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	District	7.7	57.7	30.8	3.8	7.7	50.0	42.3	0.0	3.8	57.7	38.5	0.0
	State	16.9	52.3	28.4	2.3	18.7	51.1	28.4	1.9	15.8	55.5	26.5	2.3
Not Eligible	District	4.3	30.2	54.9	10.6	3.7	25.6	60.6	10.1	2.3	26.4	60.3	10.9
	State	5.1	30.6	50.7	13.6	5.2	28.6	51.7	14.5	4.0	28.7	51.1	16.3

2012 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this district making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this district making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this district making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this district been identified for District Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?		Yes
2012-13 Federal Improvement Status	District Improvement Year 2	
2012-13 State Improvement Status	Academic Early Warning Year 2	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		5-YEAR Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		85.0			85.0			91.0		82.0	
All	98.2	Yes	98.2	Yes	64.5		No	70.7		No			94.3	Yes
White	99.1	Yes	99.1	Yes	66.0	77.3	No	71.5	76.5	No			95.1	
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian														
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander														
American Indian Two or More Races														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 85% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 85% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions.***
3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 82% graduation rate for high schools.

* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2011.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 85% or above are not printed.

***Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

FEDERAL SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS

Below is a list of the Title I funded schools in the district that are in Federal School Improvement Status as defined by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Number of schools in this district: 1

Number of Title I schools: 1

Number of Title I schools in Federal School Improvement Status: 1

Percent of schools in Federal School Improvement Status: 100.0%

School ID	School Name	Years in School Improvement
070162100170001	Lemont Twp High School	2

2012 DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY CLASSIFICATION

The Differentiated Accountability Classification for the district is:	Comprehensive
Is this district making AYP in the "ALL" subgroup in reading?	No
Is this district making AYP in the "ALL" subgroup in math?	No

In 2008, the Illinois State Board of Education was one of 6 states to be chosen by the US Department of Education to participate on the Differentiated Accountability Pilot Program. The Differentiated Accountability classification applies only to districts in federal improvement status that do not make AYP.

The classification is a descriptor (i.e., focused or comprehensive) that is added to a district's improvement status. Current Title I requirements do not change. The classification assists in distinguishing between districts that need focused supports verses more comprehensive interventions.

If a district does make AYP in ALL-student group in both reading and math, this district will be classified as a focused district; otherwise, the district will be identified as a comprehensive district.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Submitting a School Improvement Plan via the online Illinois Interactive Report Card (IIRC) now is a federal requirement for Lemont High School District 210 due its Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) status. While the district has documented school improvement initiatives since the 2006-07 school year in several targeted areas – including curriculum analysis, instructional best practices, assessment, data-informed decision making, professional learning communities, freshman transition, Project CRISS, the Learning Initiative, and Response to Intervention – and annually articulates continuous improvement efforts through its Operational Plan, it must now formally compile and report school improvement targets, action plans and activities in this required IIRC online format.

Lemont High School used the Rising Star tool within IIRC to assess itself according to 50 indicators, which were categorized according to District Vision and Direction, District and School Improvement Processes, District Allocation of Resources for School Improvement, District Support for School Improvement and Student Achievement, and Teacher and Leader Effectiveness. The district documented the extent to which Lemont High School addresses each indicator and determined that all 50 indicators are either fully or partially implemented. Those indicators determined not to be fully implemented have been prioritized and comprise the framework of the district's School Improvement Plan. Action plans to address each partially implemented indicator have been developed; they are summarized below and organized by category.

Category: District Vision and Direction

Indicator: The district will develop and communicate its vision to ensure college and career readiness for all students to all stakeholders.

Indicator: The superintendent and other central office staff will be held accountable for school improvement and student learning outcomes.

Category: District and School Improvement Processes

Indicator: The school's leadership team will regularly look at school performance data and aggregated classroom observation data and use that data to make decisions about school improvement and professional development needs.

Category: District Allocation of Resources for School Improvement

Indicator: The district will provide and maintain for schools the technology, support and training needed for effective application of assistive technology.

Indicator: The district will build partnerships with external providers to provide critical services in restructured schools and schools in status based on data-driven identified needs.

Indicator: The district will provide schools with technology, training and support for integrated data collection, reporting and analysis systems.

Category: District Support for School Improvement and Student Achievement

Indicator: The district will monitor to ensure the intended curriculum is implemented with fidelity.

Indicator: The district will provide a cohesive district curriculum guide aligned with state standards or otherwise place curricular expectations on the school.

Category: Teacher and Leader Effectiveness and Supports

Indicator: The school district's teacher and principal evaluation systems will incorporate both professional practice and student growth, and evaluation information is used to improve educator effectiveness.

Action plans with specific objectives, tasks and timelines have been developed for each of these indicators. The IIRC system provides a monitoring function for each action plan and requires the district to provide status reports with evidence of completion levels.