

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Dexter Community Schools, Michigan; School State Program

Primary Credit Analyst:

Kathryn A Clayton, Chicago (1) 312-233-7023; kathryn_clayton@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Christopher M Krahe, Chicago (1) 312-233-7063; christopher_krahe@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Dexter Community Schools, Michigan; School State Program

Credit Profile

US\$19.315 mil 2012 rfdg bnds ser B (unltd tax GO) (federally taxable) due 05/01/2016

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	New
<i>School Issuer Credit Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	New

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA-' issuer credit rating (ICR) and 'AA-' enhanced program rating to Dexter Community Schools, Mich.'s series 2012B unlimited-tax general obligation (GO) refunding bonds.

At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA-' ICR and 'AA-' enhanced program rating on the district's previously issued debt. The enhanced ratings reflect the district's participation in the Michigan School Bond Qualification and Loan Program. The outlook on all ratings is stable.

The 'AA-' ICR reflects our view of the district's:

- Access to a diverse employment base and the Ann Arbor, Mich. economic area;
- Extremely strong market value per capita and very strong income levels;
- Very strong general fund reserves that the district anticipates maintaining in fiscal 2012; and
- "Good" financial management under our financial management assessment (FMA) methodology.

Partially offsetting the above strengths, in our view, is the district's moderately high debt burden as a percent of market value.

Revenue from the district's unlimited-tax GO pledge secures the 2012B bonds. We understand the district plans to use the bond proceeds to refinance all or a portion of the loans outstanding from the Michigan School Bond Loan Fund and Michigan School Loan Revolving Fund, for interest cost savings.

Dexter Community Schools is about 10 miles northwest of Ann Arbor, primarily in Washtenaw County, and serves an estimated population of 19,600. Because of significant population growth and housing development, student enrollment increased rapidly between the 1999-2000 and 2007-2008 school years. However, the slowdown in economic development and lower birth rates have resulted in stagnant enrollment. Enrollment declined by 29 students in the 2011-2012 school year to 3,595, and management projects small declines through fiscal 2016.

Residents benefit from the district's access to the Ann Arbor metropolitan area and to several area employers. Significant Washtenaw County employers include the University of Michigan and its medical center, which employ more than 28,000. The county's unemployment rate, which has historically been much lower than the state levels,

averaged 6.5% in 2011 compared with the state rate of 10.3%. The district's taxable value decreased by 6.4% in 2008 through 2011 to \$1.09 billion. Despite declining by 18.1% during the past four years, the estimated market value of \$2.4 billion remain extremely strong, in our view, at \$122,860 per capita. The district's tax base is, in our opinion, very diverse, with the 10 leading taxpayers accounting for 6% of the district's taxable value. We consider the district's income levels to be very strong, with median household and per capita effective buying incomes at 165% and 150% of the national levels, respectively.

The district has reported general fund surpluses in four of the past five audited fiscal years. It anticipates maintaining very strong reserves through at least fiscal 2013, as it continues to make budget adjustments to counter state aid cuts and other potentially declining revenues. The district ended fiscal 2011 (June 30) with a \$1.8 million surplus because of savings from employee retirements and other expenditure reductions. Although the district's unassigned balance fell in fiscal 2011 because of changes in accounting practices, we understand that the district could use most of its committed balance for general operations with board approval. At year-end fiscal 2011, that section of the committed balance and the unassigned balance were a combined \$7.3 million, or 22% of expenditures, which we consider very strong. The district is anticipating break-even operations for fiscal 2012 despite net state aid reductions of \$1.1 million.

We understand the district expects close to break-even operations during fiscal 2013, given that retirement cost increases are expected to be minimal at most. The district had outlined approximately \$1million in potential budget adjustments, which were ready to be implemented should retirement costs increase substantially. We also understand the district has set aside money in its committed balance in anticipation of implementing all-day kindergarten in fiscal 2013, and that it might use some of that balance during the first three years of the program.

We consider Dexter Community Schools' financial management practices "good" under our FMA methodology, indicating our view that practices exist in most areas, although not all may be formalized or regularly monitored by governance officials.

We consider the district's overall debt burden, including its outstanding balance in the school loan revolving fund, to be high at \$7,614 per capita and moderately high at 6.2% of market value. In our opinion, debt service carrying charges for fiscal 2011 were elevated, at 22% of total governmental expenditures less capital outlay. Debt amortization is average, with 56% of direct debt principal due to mature within 10 years. We understand the district has no additional debt plans.

The district participates in the Michigan Public School Employees' Retirement System, a statewide, cost-sharing, multi-employer defined-benefit retirement plan that includes postemployment health care benefits. For fiscal 2011, the district contributed \$4.1 million toward pension benefits, which accounted for 12% of total general fund expenditures.

Outlook

The stable outlook on the enhanced rating reflects the outlook on the rating on Michigan's GO debt. The stable outlook on the ICR reflects our anticipation that the district will maintain at least strong reserves and continue to make budget adjustments in response to possible further revenue declines and in order to maintain balanced operations. We do not anticipate changing the rating within the two-year outlook timeframe. However, we could take a positive rating action

if the district builds available reserves despite a drop in revenues. Should the district significantly reduce reserves, we could take a negative rating action. The district's access to the Ann Arbor employment base further supports the stable outlook.

Related Criteria And Research

- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Key General Obligation Ratio Credit Ranges – Analysis Vs. Reality, April 2, 2008
- USPF Criteria: State Credit Enhancement Programs, Nov. 13, 2008

Ratings Detail (As Of August 24, 2012)		
Dexter Comnty Schs GO State Credit Enhancement		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
<i>School Issuer Credit Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
Dexter Comnty Schs GO State Credit Enhancement (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<i>School Issuer Credit Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
Dexter Comnty Schs GO		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.		

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2012 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.