

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# Dexter Community Schools, Michigan; School State Program

### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Caroline E West, Chicago (1) 312-233-7047; caroline.west@standardandpoors.com

### **Secondary Contact:**

Wendy A Towber, Centennial (1) 303-721-4230; wendy.towber@standardandpoors.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

## Summary:

# Dexter Community Schools, Michigan; School State Program

### Credit Profile

Dexter Comnty Schs GO State Credit Enhancement

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Positive	Current
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed

Dexter Comnty Schs GO State Credit Enhancement (AGM)

<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Positive	Current
<i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

## Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed its 'AA-' underlying rating on Dexter Community Schools, Mich.'s existing GO debt. The outlook is stable.

The bonds are also secured by the district's participation in the Michigan School Bond Qualification and Loan Program, which is rated AA-/Positive.

The rating reflects our view of the district's:

- Access to a diverse employment base and the Ann Arbor, Mich., economic area;
- Extremely strong market value per capita and very strong income levels;
- Stable enrollment trend; and
- Very strong general fund reserves, including the committed portion.

Partially offsetting the above strengths, in our view, are the district's high fixed costs as a percent of the budget, which limits budget flexibility.

The district's unlimited-tax GO pledge secures the bonds.

Dexter Community Schools is about 10 miles northwest of Ann Arbor, primarily in Washtenaw County, and serves an estimated population of 20,223. Residents benefit from the district's access to the Ann Arbor metropolitan area. Significant Washtenaw County employers include the University of Michigan and its medical center. The district's taxable value has grown slightly every year for the past four years, and the most recent market value of \$2.8 billion is a 8.6% increase from the prior year. On a per capita basis, market value is extremely strong in our view at \$138,535. We consider the district's income levels to be very strong, with median household and per capita effective buying incomes at 164% and 151% of the national levels, respectively.

Because of significant population growth and housing development in the early 2000s, student enrollment increased

rapidly between the 1999-2000 and 2007-2008 school years. However, following the slowdown in the state economy and lower birth rates since that time, the district has experienced essentially flat enrollment. Officials estimate the current 2015-2016 academic year enrollment is 3,536, or only a 0.3% decline from the prior year. Given the district's dependence on enrollment-based state aid (state revenue made up 77% of general fund revenue in fiscal 2014), the stable enrollment trend is a supporting credit factor, in our view.

The district's finances are stable, in our view, given significant committed general fund reserves, despite recent minor operating deficits. For the current fiscal year (year ended June 30, 2016), the district adopted a general fund deficit of \$618,000, which is less than 2% of the budget. Officials project out-performing the budget due to overall positive variances. The district reports that fiscal 2015 ended with only a \$52,000 operating deficit in the general fund, a favorable result compared to the original budgeted deficit of \$622,000.

Fiscal 2014, the most recent audited year, generated a \$390,000 general fund deficit (or 1% of expenditures), and officials indicate that the result was driven by planned expenses for transitioning to a full-day kindergarten program as well as curriculum and textbooks costs. The district maintains a sizeable committed reserve within its general fund, which the board builds up with the intention of spending it on specific purposes, including instruction equipment, retirement costs, facilities, and technology. These reserves are committed per the board's direction, but could be used for operations. Excluding the committed portion, the district's general fund unassigned and assigned reserve level totaled \$2.0 million in fiscal 2014, or 5.9% of expenditures, which we consider good. Including the committed portion, available reserves increase to \$6.1 million or 18.4% of expenditures, which we consider very strong.

We consider Dexter Community Schools' financial management practices "standard" under our financial management assessment (FMA) methodology, indicating the finance department maintains adequate policies in some, but not all, key areas. The FMA was revised to "standard" from "good" due to our understanding that the district does not have an up-to-date capital improvement plan. Officials reference historical data in setting the budget, and use an outside consult for enrollment projections. The school board receives monthly budget-to-actual updates and annual investment reports. Officials maintain a long-range financial plan that goes out three years beyond the budget year, and update it annually. The district has its own investment policy but does not have a debt management policy. The board aims to maintain an unassigned general fund balance between 3% and 5% of expenditures, a goal which it currently meets.

We consider the district's overall debt burden to be high at \$6,541 per capita and moderate at 5.1% of market value. In our opinion, debt service carrying charges for fiscal 2014 were elevated, at 29% of total governmental expenditures less capital outlay. Debt amortization is average, with 69% of direct debt principal due to mature within 10 years. We understand the district has no additional debt plans.

The district participates in the Michigan Public School Employees' Retirement System, a statewide, cost-sharing, multi-employer defined-benefit retirement plan that includes postemployment health care benefits. For fiscal 2014, the district contributed \$6.1 million toward pension benefits, which accounted for 11% of total general fund expenditures. Combined, debt service and pension payments accounted for nearly 40% of total governmental expenditures in 2014; this level amount of fixed costs relative to the budget is a credit weakness, in our view, as it reduces the district's expenditure flexibility.

## Outlook

The stable outlook on the underlying rating reflects our anticipation that the district will maintain at least strong reserves and continue to operationally produce near-balanced results; we believe the district's stable enrollment trend will allow the district to achieve these results. We do not anticipate changing the rating within the two-year outlook timeframe.

### Upside scenario

Were the district to significantly increase its reserve level, while simultaneously experiencing a reduction in fixed annual debt costs, we could raise the rating.

### Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the district draws down its committed general fund balance substantially without replenishing the reserves due to an imbalanced budget.

## Related Criteria And Research

### Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Key General Obligation Ratio Credit Ranges – Analysis Vs. Reality, April 2, 2008
- USPF Criteria: Financial Management Assessment, June 27, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Debt Statement Analysis, Aug. 22, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Assigning Issue Credit Ratings Of Operating Entities, May 20, 2015
- Criteria: Use of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).