

Ronald E. McNair High

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fq/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the [CDE DataQuest Web page](#) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



Mr. Mark Dawson, Principal

Principal, Ronald E. McNair High

About Our School

Welcome to Ronald E. McNair High School where every student is expected to achieve at high levels. This is my first year as principal and I am very excited to be here. The 2016-2017 school year saw an improvement in the Smarter Balanced Assessment scores in both English/Language Arts and Mathematics and an increase in the percentage of English Learners who were re-classified as proficient. The 2017-2018 school year has brought changes to both administration and the facilities. The entire school was painted over the summer and a new all-weather track and field opened in September. During this school year and beyond the staff and students at McNair High School continue to work together to provide a safe and effective learning environment.

Contact

Ronald E. McNair High
9550 Ronald East McNair Way
Stockton, CA 95210-2800

Phone: 209-953-9245
E-mail: mdawson@lodiUSD.net

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

| District Contact Information (School Year 2017-18) | |
|--|--|
| District Name | Lodi Unified |
| Phone Number | (209) 331-7000 |
| Superintendent | Cathy Washer |
| E-mail Address | cwasher@lodiUSD.net |
| Web Site | www.lodiUSD.net |

| School Contact Information (School Year 2017-18) | |
|--|--|
| School Name | Ronald E. McNair High |
| Street | 9550 Ronald East McNair Way |
| City, State, Zip | Stockton, Ca, 95210-2800 |
| Phone Number | 209-953-9245 |
| Principal | Mr. Mark Dawson, Principal |
| E-mail Address | mdawson@lodiUSD.net |
| Web Site | www.lodiUSD.net |
| County-District-School (CDS) Code | 39685850108225 |

Last updated: 12/2/2017

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Ronald E. McNair High School is the newest comprehensive high school in Lodi Unified School District. We currently service students in the 9th through 12th grades. The teaching staff covers the following areas: Business, Consumer Education, English, World Language, Math, Physical Education, Science, Social Studies, Special Education, and Visual and Performing Arts. McNair High sits at the corners of West and Morada Lanes. It is surrounded on three sides by farmland. McNair High opened on August 15, 2005. The site boasts a main building which houses 84 classrooms, Library, career center, and offices. In addition, two gymnasiums with locker rooms and a community center, a multi-purpose room/cafeteria, theater with band, choir, and media broadcast classrooms, and a consumer education building which includes the CTE restaurant.

MISSION STATEMENT:

To ensure that ALL students learn. To embrace diversity and a commitment to create a respectful community that develops academic and interpersonal skills.

VISION STATEMENT:

McNair Eagles soar to excellence in learning, respect, and achievement to empower our local and global communities.


SCHOOL MOTTO:

Soar to Excellence

Last updated: 11/28/2017

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Grade 9 | 456 |
| Grade 10 | 447 |
| Grade 11 | 420 |
| Grade 12 | 396 |
| Total Enrollment | 1719 |



Last updated: 12/2/2017

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

| Student Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Black or African American | 10.4 % |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.5 % |
| Asian | 39.0 % |
| Filipino | 6.3 % |
| Hispanic or Latino | 35.0 % |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 2.0 % |
| White | 5.0 % |
| Two or More Races | 1.0 % |
| Other | 0.8 % |
| Student Group (Other) | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 79.6 % |
| English Learners | 20.1 % |
| Students with Disabilities | 10.8 % |
| Foster Youth | 0.8 % |

Last updated: 12/2/2017

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


| Teachers | School | | | District |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2017-18 |
| With Full Credential | 71 | 68 | 63 | 1222 |
| Without Full Credential | 5 | 5 | 9 | 85 |
| Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |



Last updated: 12/3/2017

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments* | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 1 | 2 | 5 |



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 12/3/2017

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which the data were collected: July 2017

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| Reading/Language Arts | <p>(Grade 9-12 ELD Support) Scholastic English 3D, Scholastic, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval of Supplemental Non-Core on 7/22/2014</p> <p>(Grade 9 Base Core) StudySync, McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016</p> <p>(Grade 10 Base Core) StudySync, McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016</p> <p>(Grade 11 Base Core) StudySync, McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016</p> <p>(Grade 11 AP Language) The Language of Composition: Reading, Writing, Rhetoric, Bedford, c.2013 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 1/12/2016</p> <p>(Grade 12 Base Core) StudySync, McGraw Hill, c. 2017 Adoption Year: 2016 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/19/2016</p> <p>(Grade 12 AP Literature) Bedford Introduction to Literature, Bedford/St. Martin's Press, c. 2005 Adoption Year: 2007 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 Creative Writing) Bedford Introduction to Literature, Bedford/St. Martin's Press, c. 2005 Adoption Year: 2007 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 Intensive Intervention) Scholastic Read 180: Next Generation, Scholastic, c.2012 Adoption Year: 2011 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval of Supplemental Non-Core on 4/17/2011</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 Intensive Intervention) Scholastic System 44 Next Gen, Scholastic, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 7/22/2014</p> | | 0.0 % |
| Mathematics | <p>(Grade 9 Intervention) Core Connections Course 3, CPM, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 7/22/2014</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 1, CPM, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2014</p> <p>(Grade 10-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 2, CPM, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2014</p> <p>(Grade 10-12 Base Core) Core Connections: Integrated Course 3, CPM, c.2014 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2014</p> <p>(Grade 11-12) AP The Practice of Statistics, Bedford, Freeman & Worth Co., c.2015 Adoption Year: 2014 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2014</p> | | 0.0 % |

(Grade 12) **Pre-Calculus with Limits**, Houghton Mifflin, c. 2008
 Adoption Year: 2009
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 3/3/2009

(Grade 12) **Calculus for AP**, Cengage 2017
 Adoption Year: 2017
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/6/2017

(Grade 10-12) **Stats in Your World**, Pearson, c.2016
 Adoption Year: 2017
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/6/2017

Science

0.0 %

(Grade 9) **Earth Science**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2006
 Adoption Year: 2007
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/3/2007

(Grade 10-12) **CA Biology**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2007
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/3/2007

(Grade 11-12 AP) **Biology AP**, Pearson/Benjamin Cummings, c.2008
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12) **Chemistry Matter & Change**, McGraw Hill, c. 2007
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12 AP) **Chemistry 7th ed.**, McDougal/Cengage, c.2007
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12) **Holt Environmental Science**, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2008
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12) **AP Living in the Environment**, 18th ed., Cengage, c.2015
 Adoption Year: 2014
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2014

(Grade 9-12) **Conceptual Physics 12th Ed.**, Pearson c.2015
 Adoption Year: 2015
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/5/2015

(Grade 11-12) **Physics: Principles & Problems**, Glencoe/McGraw Hill, c.2008
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 12 AP) **Physics 6th ed.**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2005
 Adoption Year: 2007
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 11-12) **Fundamentals of Anatomy & Physiology**, Pearson/Benjamin Cummings, c.2006
 Adoption Year: 2006
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/6/2006

(Grade 11-12) **Astronomy: Journey to the Cosmic Frontier**, McGraw Hill, c.2008
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

(Grade 11-12) **Life on an Ocean Planet**, Current Publishing, c.2006
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2008

History-Social Science

0.0 %

(Grade 9) **World Geography Today**, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2005
 Adoption Year: 2007
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 9-12 AP) **The Cultural Landscape: An Introduction to Human Geography**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2008
 Adoption Year: 2008
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/15/2008

(Grade 10) **World History: A Modern World**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2007
 Adoption Year: 2007
 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 10-12 AP) **Western Civilization Since 1300**, Cengage Learning, c.2015

Adoption Year: 2016

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 1/12/2016

(Grade 11) **The Americans: Reconstruction to the 21st Century**, McDougal Littell, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 11 AP) **The American Pageant**, Cengage, c.2015

Adoption Year: 2014

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/6/2014

(Grade 11-12 AP) **Psychology 9th ed.**, Bedford St. Martin/Worth, c.2010

Adoption Year: 2009

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 10/20/2009

(Grade 11-12) **Sociology & You**, Glencoe, c.2003

Adoption Year: 2004

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/1/2004

(Grade 11-12) **Psychology: Principles & Practice**, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2003

Adoption Year: 2004

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/1/2004

(Grade 12) **Magruder's American Government**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2006

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 12 AP) **American Government and Politics Today**, Thomson Learning, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 12) **Economics: Principles in Action**, Pearson/Prentice Hall, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 12 AP) **Principles of Economics**, Thomson Learning, c.2007

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 9-12 AP) **Art Through the Ages: A Global History**, Cengage Learning, c. 2016

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/19/2015

Foreign Language

0.0 %

(Grade 9-12 Spanish) **Que Chevere! Level 1-4**, EMC Publishing, c.2016

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 Spanish for Native Speakers) **Que Chevere! Level 4-5**, EMC Publishing, c.2016

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 AP Spanish Language) **Temas**, Vista Higher Learning, c.2014

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 AP Spanish Literature) **Abriendo Puertas: Ampliando Perspectivas**, HMH Co., c.2013

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

(Grade 9-12 Japanese)

Adventures in Japanese 1, Cheng & Tsui Co., c.2004

Adventures in Japanese 2, Cheng & Tsui Co., c.2004

Adoption Year: 2007

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 4/17/2007

(Grade 9-12 Japanese) **Adventures in Japanese 3**, Cheng & Tsui Co., c.2004

Adoption Year: 2013

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 3/5/2013

(Grade 9-12 French) **T'es Branche? Level 1-4**, EMC Publishing, c.2014

Adoption Year: 2015

From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|-----|-------|
| | <p>(Grade 11-12 AP French) Themes, Vista Higher Learning, c.2016 Adoption Year: 2015 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/2/2015</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 Italian) Avanti 1-3, McGraw Hill, c.2018 Adoption Year: 2017 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 3/7/2017</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 German) Komm Mit! 1, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2006 Komm Mit! 2, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2006 Komm Mit! 3, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, c.2006 Adoption Year: 2008 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/20/2008</p> <p>(Grade 9-12 AP German) Stationen-Ein Kursbuch Fuer die Mittelstufe, Thomson & Heinle, c.2008 Adoption Year: 2008 From most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 5/20/2008</p> | | | |
| Health | <p>(Grade 9-10) Glencoe Health, Glencoe/McGraw, c.2005 Adoption Year: 2004 From the most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/6/2004</p> <p>(Grade 9-10) Project Toward No Drug Abuse, USC, c.2004 Adoption Year: 2005 From the most recent adoption: No, Local Board Approval on 6/7/2005</p> | | | 0.0 % |
| Visual and Performing Arts | N/A | | | 0.0 % |
| Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12) | N/A | | N/A | 0.0 % |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 12/26/2017

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

AGE OF SCHOOL BUILDINGS:

Year built 2005.

MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:

The McNair High School facilities' condition, including grounds and restrooms, are very good based on custodial staffing, a regular district painting and maintenance schedule and funding available for equipment and supplies. Custodial workers are assigned based on a district formula to ensure equity. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

CLEANING PROCESS AND SCHEDULE:

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by Lodi Unified School District to ensure that all classrooms, restrooms and facilities are well-maintained and in good repair. Staff works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

DEFERRED MAINTENANCE PROJECTS: N/A

MODERNIZATION PROJECTS: 2012 Installed netting outside lunch area for bird problem, replaced fencing, re-roofed various portables, replaced various parking lot solar panels. 2015 Roof coating project for Building "A", replaced domestic water booster system, and replaced culinary arts kitchen. 2016 added access control and cameras for security, replaced various gym bleacher seats, and repaired JV baseball field. 2017 Prop 39 Yr 2 Wireless Energy Management System Replacement Project. 2017 Measure U Painting Project. Athletics Field Improvements. Installed weed barriers in flower beds of tennis courts and parking lot areas. 2017-18 Adding outside lighting to gym area and planning on re-ballasting gym lights.

NEW SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS: N/A

Data on school facilities was collected for Ronald E. McNair High on 10-13-17.

Lodi Unified School District takes great effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office or the district office. As of October 2017, none of the eight emergency facilities needs specified in Education Code Section 17592.72 (c)(1) exist at Ronald E. McNair High. These needs include:

- gas leaks
- nonfunctioning heating, ventilation, fire sprinklers, or air-conditioning systems
- electrical power failure
- major sewer line stoppage
- major pest or vermin infestation
- broken windows or exterior doors or gates that will not lock and that pose a security risk
- abatement of hazardous materials previously undiscovered that pose an immediate threat to pupils or staff
- structural damage creating a hazardous or uninhabitable condition

Last updated: 12/10/2017

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2017

| System Inspected | Rating | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|---|--------|---|
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | Good | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | Good | |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation | Good | |
| Electrical: Electrical | Good | |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains | Good | |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | Good | |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | Good | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences | Good | |

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2017

| | |
|----------------|------|
| Overall Rating | Good |
|----------------|------|

Last updated: 12/10/2017

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

| Subject | Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| | School | | District | | State | |
| | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11) | 47% | 54% | 41% | 43% | 48% | 48% |
| Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11) | 18% | 26% | 31% | 33% | 36% | 37% |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 12/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| All Students | 403 | 394 | 97.77% | 53.81% |
| Male | 213 | 207 | 97.18% | 44.44% |
| Female | 190 | 187 | 98.42% | 64.17% |
| Black or African American | 38 | 36 | 94.74% | 36.11% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 173 | 170 | 98.27% | 63.53% |
| Filipino | 29 | 29 | 100.00% | 62.07% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 132 | 128 | 96.97% | 40.63% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| White | 20 | 20 | 100.00% | 75.00% |
| Two or More Races | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 343 | 336 | 97.96% | 51.49% |
| English Learners | 118 | 117 | 99.15% | 23.93% |
| Students with Disabilities | 33 | 31 | 93.94% | -- |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 12/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
|---|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| All Students | 402 | 393 | 97.76% | 25.70% |
| Male | 213 | 208 | 97.65% | 25.48% |
| Female | 189 | 185 | 97.88% | 25.95% |
| Black or African American | 38 | 36 | 94.74% | 16.67% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 173 | 170 | 98.27% | 32.94% |
| Filipino | 29 | 29 | 100.00% | 44.83% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 131 | 127 | 96.95% | 14.96% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| White | 20 | 20 | 100.00% | 25.00% |
| Two or More Races | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 342 | 335 | 97.95% | 24.18% |
| English Learners | 117 | 116 | 99.15% | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | 33 | 31 | 93.94% | -- |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 12/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight and Ten

| Subject | Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| | School | | District | | State | |
| | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) | 37.0% | 27.0% | 51.0% | 50.0% | 56% | 54% |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Last updated: 12/17/2017

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

At Ronald E. McNair High we believe that a carefully selected path will better prepare students for a more productive work life. We strongly encourage students to choose a challenging curriculum to better prepare them for the work place. Programs and courses offered at McNair are designed to improve student achievement, while continuing to place specific emphasis on the standards-based curriculum.

CTE courses offered include Business, Health Science, Medical Technology, Hospitality & Tourism Management, Child Development, Information Technology, Culinary Arts and many more.

Students also have the opportunity to participate in CTE courses at Lincoln Technical Academy, the district's CTE learning center, in which courses are designed with career and college preparation in mind.

Last updated: 12/13/2017

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

| Measure | CTE Program Participation |
|--|---------------------------|
| Number of Pupils Participating in CTE | 718 |
| Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma | 56.0% |
| Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education | 40.0% |

Last updated: 12/25/2017

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
|---|---------|
| 2016-17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 93.1% |
| 2015-16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 38.8% |

Last updated: 12/17/2017

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

| Grade Level | Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Four of Six Fitness Standards | Five of Six Fitness Standards | Six of Six Fitness Standards |
| 9 | 20.6% | 20.1% | 28.7% |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 12/17/2017

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents who wish to participate in Ronald E. McNair High School's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become volunteers may contact Principal Mark Dawson at (209) 953-9245.

1. Parents are encouraged to complete parent satisfaction surveys in the spring so results data can direct school plan review and revision for the next year. Results will be distributed to all parents.
2. Parents are notified of School Site Council voting procedures, names of council members, yearly schedules, agendas and minutes of regular meetings so they have opportunities to participate in making decisions that affect their child's education.
3. Parents are notified and encouraged to participate in activities presenting information about parenting skills, volunteering and learning at home opportunities, academic content, achievement standards and assessments.
4. Parents are notified and encouraged to participate in the next level information to aid in the smooth transition of parents and students.
5. Every year, parent groups will review and revise, if necessary, the site parent involvement policy.
6. Parents are invited and encouraged to attend/participate in School Site Council and other decision making activities that affect the education of their students.

Last updated: 11/28/2017


State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School | | | District | | | State | | |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Dropout Rate | 17.2% | 11.9% | 15.9% | 12.3% | 7.9% | 9.4% | 11.5% | 10.7% | 9.7% |
| Graduation Rate | 78.5% | 83.4% | 80.7% | 80.6% | 85.4% | 84.9% | 81.0% | 82.3% | 83.8% |



Last updated: 12/17/2017

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016**(One-Year Rate)**

| Student Group | School | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| All Students | 79.8% | 82.0% | 87.1% |
| Black or African American | 72.1% | 60.3% | 79.2% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 100.0% | 87.5% | 80.2% |
| Asian | 85.0% | 84.8% | 94.4% |
| Filipino | 90.9% | 89.7% | 93.8% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 80.0% | 79.9% | 84.6% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 66.7% | 76.0% | 86.6% |
| White | 63.2% | 87.3% | 91.0% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0% | 93.3% | 90.6% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 79.6% | 80.9% | 85.5% |
| English Learners | 58.1% | 49.7% | 55.4% |
| Students with Disabilities | 38.3% | 36.7% | 63.9% |
| Foster Youth | 25.0% | 50.0% | 68.2% |

Last updated: 12/17/2017



State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School | | | District | | | State | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Suspensions | 10.0% | 9.0% | 9.6% | 5.6% | 5.5% | 5.1% | 3.8% | 3.7% | 3.7% |
| Expulsions | 1.3% | 0.7% | 0.8% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% |



Last updated: 12/17/2017

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan is in place to address safety issues as well as a school wide plan to eliminate bullying behavior. Key elements of the safety plan include safe school strategies and programs, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe ingress and egress and rules and procedures on school discipline. School wide behavior expectations and discipline policies are consistently applied, and students are provided adult supervision at all times. Visitors to the school must sign in at the office and receive a visitor's pass prior to going to classrooms. Visitors must return to the office and sign out upon leaving the school. Our Comprehensive School Safety Plan was last reviewed on 12/16/2016.

Last updated: 12/12/2017

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

| Indicator | School | District |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | 2014-2015 | 2004-2005 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 1 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A | 19 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A | 67.9% |

Last updated: 12/17/2017

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2014-15 | | | 2015-16 | | | 2016-17 | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|---------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|-----|--------------------|---------------------|-------|-----|
| | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * | | | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * | | | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * | | |
| | | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 26.0 | 21 | 29 | 8 | 26.0 | 12 | 24 | 12 | 28.0 | 12 | 26 | 12 |
| Mathematics | 24.0 | 9 | 19 | 2 | 28.0 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 28.0 | 4 | 18 | 9 |
| Science | 27.0 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 29.0 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 29.0 | 2 | 13 | 5 |
| Social Science | 29.0 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 32.0 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 33.0 | 2 | 3 | 18 |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 12/17/2017

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

| Title | Number of FTE* Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Academic Counselor | 4.0 | 429.8 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0.0 | N/A |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 1.0 | N/A |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.9 | N/A |
| Psychologist | 0.0 | N/A |
| Social Worker | 0.0 | N/A |
| Nurse | 0.6 | N/A |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.6 | N/A |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | 4.0 | N/A |
| Other | 0.0 | N/A |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 12/16/2017

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

| Level | Total Expenditures Per Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted) | Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted) | Average Teacher Salary |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| School Site | \$11124.0 | \$3459.0 | \$7665.0 | \$61804.3 |
| District | N/A | N/A | \$7521.0 | \$70254.0 |
| Percent Difference – School Site and District | N/A | N/A | 1.9% | -12.8% |
| State | N/A | N/A | \$6574.0 | \$79228.0 |
| Percent Difference – School Site and State | N/A | N/A | 15.3% | -24.7% |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 12/16/2017

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

In addition to services provided with general funding, Lodi Unified School District also provides programs and supplemental services that are funded through either categorical or other sources. Services vary by school and may include:

- After School Programs
- AVID Programs
- Special Education
- Counseling Services (Academic and Career)
- Extended Day/Year/Summer School
- Supplemental instructional materials
- Enrichment Programs
- Access to Technology
- Social Services
- Athletic Programs
- Program for English Learners



Check with the school principal to get more information about the services that are specific to the school.

Last updated: 12/16/2017

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

| Category | District Amount | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$40,781 | \$47,808 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$64,791 | \$73,555 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$88,064 | \$95,850 |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | \$117,196 | \$120,448 |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | \$128,029 | \$125,592 |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | \$128,461 | \$138,175 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$248,676 | \$264,457 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 34.0% | 35.0% |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 4.0% | 5.0% |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.



Last updated: 12/16/2017

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Computer Science | 0 | N/A |
| English | 1 | N/A |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 | N/A |
| Foreign Language | 0 | N/A |
| Mathematics | 1 | N/A |
| Science | 1 | N/A |
| Social Science | 2 | N/A |
| All Courses | 5 | 8.9% |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Last updated: 12/16/2017

Professional Development

Lodi Unified School District is committed to supporting school district staff through quality professional development. Teachers receive professional development each year. A variety of data is used to determine the focus of staff development in Lodi Unified School District. Data from state assessments, district assessments and teacher surveys assist us in identifying areas of concentration. Our current focus is on common core in mathematics and English language arts, social science, core practices, assessment, and technology. Our goal is to continue to have a highly trained professional staff that supports the success of all students in the classroom. We provide several programs and a variety of strategies to allow staff full access to training.

Teacher Induction Program (TIP) is available to newly credentialed teachers through the district. Teachers participate for two years in workshops, trainings and work closely with an assigned mentor teacher. Non-instructional personnel are offered training in technology, budget, and job specific content. Many Paraprofessionals take advantage of district offered training. Instructional coaches are available to work with staffs on-site in their classrooms as well as through district sponsored events.

Last updated: 11/28/2017