Spring Branch Independent School District Valley Oaks Elementary School 2016-2017 Campus Improvement Plan



Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Strengths

73% of our English Language Learners in first grade through fifth made at least one years' growth as measured by 2016 TELPAS.

84% of our second grade learners achieved DRA scores of 28 or above.

Student Achievement Needs

Looking forward to our goal with second grade students. Adjusting the goal to include a percentage of students above DRA level of 28 would be appropriate at this time.

Continue to focus on one year growth of all ELL learners as measured by TELPAS results.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

According to our Organizational Health Survey, our scores were the highest of all elementary schools in the district. The average score for elementary schools was 579. Valley Oaks overall score for the 2014-15 school year was 703. We will continue to maintain these high scores by focusing on building and maintaining positive relationships.

Technology

Technology Summary

The data from teacher observations and the observations of student work does not show that our students are utilizing technology to it's fullest capacity. We will need to focus on providing students the opportunity to create products with the use of technology.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Goals

Goal 1: English Language Learners in all grades will make at least one full year of growth on TELPAS scores.

Performance Objective 1: ELL Learners will make one full year of growth as measured by TELPAS scores.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: TELPAS scores

Summative Evaluation 1: Some progress made toward meeting Performance Objective

Stuatogy Deganintion	Title I Staff Responsible		Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Formative Reviews		
Strategy Description	1 lue 1	Staff Responsible	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Nov	Jan	Mar
State System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4, 9	Instructional	At least one year growth on TELPAS scores.			
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2		Coach/Administration				
1) John Seidlitz training for all staff in January '17.	Funding Sources: Other: See Account Code - 21000.00					
State System Safeguard Strategy		Administration	Student PSA scores			
2) 2) Staff development regarding ELP's strategies.		Instructional Specialists	Student STAAR scores			
			Student DRA Scores			
	100%	Accomplished =	No Progress = Discontinue			

Goal 2: 90% of all students in second grade will be on grade level in reading at the end of the year, as determined by the developmental reading assessment.

Performance Objective 1: 90% of all second grade students will be on grade level at the end of the year.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: End of year developmental reading assessment.

Summative Evaluation 1: Significant progress made toward meeting Performance Objective

Stuatogy Decemention	Strategy Description Title I Staff Responsible		Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Formative Reviews		
Strategy Description			Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Nov	Jan	Mar
Critical Success Factors CSF 1	1, 3, 4, 7,		datawise results - % of second grade students at or above DRA 28			
1) Neuhaus Training for pre-k, kinder, first and second grade teachers.			datawise results - % of third graders at or above DRA 40			
Neuhaus Training for 3rd grade teachers.	Funding Sources: Other: See Account Code - 23000.00					
2) All staff members will participate in peer observations.	4	Instructional Coach Administration	feedback survey between teachers and administration			
	100% = A	ccomplished 0%	= No Progress = Discontinue			

Goal 3: Staff members will write personal professional development goals.

Performance Objective 1: Utilizing the new T-TESS Teacher Evaluation System, teachers will write personal professional development goals to enhance their instruction.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Goal attainment and data supporting improved instruction. Goal attainment through use of T-TESS Appraisal Instrument

Summative Evaluation 1: Met Performance Objective

Strategy Description Title I		Staff	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Formative Reviews		
		Responsible	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Nov	Jan	Mar
1) Staff members will utilize the T-TESS model for writing personal goals.	3, 5	Administrator	Formal and informal walkthroughs by administrators Ongoing feedback and conversations between teachers and administration			
	100% = A	ccomplished 0%	= No Progress = Discontinue			

Goal 4: To remain in compliance with Federal and State law.

Performance Objective 1: To remain in compliance with Federal and State law, the campus will implement the following strategies.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: All strategies will be implemented.

Summative Evaluation 1: Met Performance Objective

Strategy Description		Staff	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Formative R		eviews	
Strategy Description	Title I	Responsible	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Nov	Jan	Mar	
1) Conduct annual program evaluation (CATE, SCE G/T, LEP,) utilizing student performance data derived from special populations for the purpose of program review and revision.							
2) Develop/strengthen/monitor capacity of teachers, grade levels and departments to support measurable growth in reading proficiency as measured by an increase in the percentage of students in "Developing As Expected" and "Advanced Development" categories in the Reading Standards.		Instructional Coach Administration	TELPAS scores DRA Scores				
State System Safeguard Strategy 3) Monitor progress of students failing to meet SSI promotion requirements in the previous academic year and provide remediation via supplemental materials and services. Progress will be recorded on the accelerated instruction plan; interim reports and opportunities to conference will be provided to parents of students so identified.		Administration Classroom Teachers	Teacher progress monitoring forms				

8) SPECIAL EDUCATION - Examine state assessment reports to evaluate progress of students with disabilities relative to ARD committee recommendations and predictions. How accurately did ARD committee recommendations predict and guide student achievement on state assessments?	Special Education Staff Administration	% of students who were referred qualifying for sped		
9) SPECIAL EDUCATION - Ensure that Special Education staff, building administrators, and counselors are trained on and adhere to Special Education timelines and compliance requirements.	Special Education Staff Administration	training documents		
10) STATE COMP ED - Provide supplemental At-Risk services/support in the content areas: * Language Arts * Math * Science * Social Studies	Instructional Coach	increase of scores		
11) Identify At-Risk students; provide them with supplemental services; and monitor progress (including continual English language development for LEP students)	Administration Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach	increase in scores		
	Funding Sources: 199 - General	Fund: SCE (At-Risk) - 1027.00		
12) Develop, monitor, and evaluate campus volunteer/partnership programs that include: * recruitment * training/support * recognition of volunteers/partnerships	Counselor	end of year evaluation		

	1	la . 00 t t		
13) TITLE II A - Provide professional development to CIT,	l	Staff development logs		
teachers, and administrators that increases knowledge and	Instructional coach			
skills related to:				
* vertical alignment				
* instructional strategies to meet the needs of diverse				
student populations				
* integration of technology into curricula and instruction for				
improving teaching, learning, and technology literacy				
* STAAR testing and the state curriculum standards				
(TEKS) in the content areas of English/Language Arts,				
social studies, and/or science, and/or math.				
* DDI				
* Problem-Based Learning				
* Co-Teach Training				
* Small Group Instruction				
* This includes opportunities for teachers to be coached,				
attend sustained training/inservices/ workshops and/or				
conferences together with structured follow-up.				
conferences together with structured follow-up.				
State System Safeguard Strategy		Staff Development logs		
14) Teachers/Administrators/Staff will develop	Administration	Staff Surveys		
understanding of the (a) Professional Development		Campus and Grade Level PLC Rubrics		
Framework and continue participation in professional				
development in the areas of Teaching and Learning and				
Leadership for Results; including (b) The Process for				
Designing and Delivering Effective Instruction through				
differentiation and technology integration.				
(c) Implement Professional Learning Communities				
frameworks within grade levels to enable staff to				
collaborate effectively regarding student progress and				
research based strategies.				
research sused strategies.				
15) Provide support for new teachers with ongoing		% of students in new teachers classrooms exhibiting one year		
mentoring and planning with certified staff.	Campus Lead Mentor	growth.		
16) Recruit and retain highly-qualified staff, defined	Administration	NCLB reports		
through state, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) and local				
criteria, by highlighting the school and its students on the				
website and by participating in job fairs.				
	ı	1	ı	1

 17) The CIT, teachers, administrators, other staff members, and parents will collaborate and coordinate planning efforts and implementation of staff development that will build ties between parents and school. 18) PreK and K teachers develop transition strategies. Elementary campuses provide kindergarten orientation 	Administration CIT Pre-K teachers Instructional Coach	CIT Minutes Vertical Team minutes		
different times and in a variety of settings.	Pre-K Center (Bear Blvd)			
19) GIFTED AND TALENTED - Provide opportunities for G/T professional development, based on level of expertise and need, in one of the following areas: a) Nature and needs of G/T students b) Assessing and identifying G/T student needs c) Differentiating Curriculum for G/T students d) Assessing social and emotional needs of G/T students e) Creativity and instructional strategies for G/T students.	Administration Counselor Librarian	Teacher Staff Development Hours		
20) GIFTED AND TALENTED - Implement and evaluate development of differentiated curriculum for meeting needs of gifted students using instructional techniques from gifted and talented education.	Counselor Instructional Specialists	End of Year GT Survey		
21) GIFTED AND TALENTED - Conduct annual G/T evaluation by following the district-wide procedures for referral, testing and identification of students. Emphasis on finding and identifying minority G/T students, low SES G/T students, and those students showing great potential but who are difficult to identify as intellectually-gifted.	Counselor	End of Year Survey		

22) COORDINATED SCHOOL HEALTH (CSH) and CIP -	Counselor Health Fitness Teacher	CSHAC Meeting minutes/end of year survey	
Steps to incorporate CSH - 1.Review the School Health Index completed by the C- SHAC 2.Identify focus area(s) for campus 3.Choose focus area(s) to place in this area of Required Elements 4.Recommended indicators for assessing CSH may be chosen from this list of approved indicators that are completed each year: a.District Five Year Goal Campus Survey b.School Health Index c.SEL/40 Developmental Asset Survey			
	= Accomplished	= No Progress = Discontinue	 •

Goal 5: Increase parent communication and supports in order to enhance student self esteem.

Performance Objective 1: Increase involvement of fathers within the school to improve self esteem of students.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Sign in sheets from WatchDogs "kick-off" program in January. Percentage of dads registering to participate.

Summative Evaluation 1: Exceeded Performance Objective

Strategy Description Title I		Staff	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Formative Reviews		
Strategy Description	1 Ittle I	Responsible	Evidence that Demonstrates Success	Nov	Jan	Mar
1) Implement "WatchDogs Program in January '17	l		Number of fathers participating in program.			
			Student and parent survey			
		Assistant				
	100% = A	ccomplished 0%	= No Progress = Discontinue			

State System Safeguard Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	1	1	John Seidlitz training for all staff in January '17.
1	1	2	2) Staff development regarding ELP's strategies.
4	1		Monitor progress of students failing to meet SSI promotion requirements in the previous academic year and provide remediation via supplemental materials and services. Progress will be recorded on the accelerated instruction plan; interim reports and opportunities to conference will be provided to parents of students so identified.
4	1		Teachers/Administrators/Staff will develop understanding of the (a) Professional Development Framework and continue participation in professional development in the areas of Teaching and Learning and Leadership for Results; including (b) The Process for Designing and Delivering Effective Instruction through differentiation and technology integration. (c) Implement Professional Learning Communities frameworks within grade levels to enable staff to collaborate effectively regarding student progress and research based strategies.

Campus Funding Summary

199 - General Fund: SCE (At-Risk)				
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
4	1	11	At-Risk 6399	\$1,027.00
			Sub-Total	\$1,027.00
Other: S	ee Account Code	e		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Funding for Staff Development	\$21,000.00
2	1	1	Neuhaus training funds PTA	\$23,000.00
4	1	5	PTA Funding	\$5,000.00
		•	Sub-Total	\$49,000.00
Grand Total				\$50,027.00