

2023-2024

Tincher Elementary

School Plan for Student Achievement

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the performance of all students. The SPSA contains the following:

Needs Assessment

- School Demographics
- English Language Arts
- Mathematics
- English Learners
- Culture/Climate Domain
- SPSA Effectiveness

Accountability Measure I: Increase Achievement-

- Core Programs (ELA, Writing, Math)
- Interventions
- Transitions

Accountability Measure II: School Climate

Accountability Measure III: Professional Development

- Professional Development
- Teacher Involvement

Accountability Measure IV: Parents and Community Involvement Assurances

- School Budget for Categorical Programs
- SSC Membership
- ELAC Membership
- ELAC Recommendations
- Assurances, Approval and Signatures

The School Site Council (SSC) completed a comprehensive needs assessment, including analyses of student performance in relation to the state

academic content standards. In order to provide supplemental interventions, the SSC analyzed the academic performance of all students and subgroups, and it considered the instructional program's effectiveness for at-promise students.

The School Site Council aligns the Long Beach Unified School District's Strategic Plan and LCAP into the SPSA. The LCAP Goals are presented at: http://www.lbschools.net/Departments/Local_Control/. The LCAP Federal Addendum is presented at: http://www.lbschools.net/Departments/EACCR/lea_plan.cfm.

Education Code 52062 (4) requires that the superintendent of the school district review school plans for schools within the school district and ensure that the specific actions included in the local control and accountability plan or annual update to the local control and accountability plan are consistent with strategies included in the school plans submitted.

The LBUSD Strategic Plan (2017-2022), which can be viewed at http://www.lbschools.net/Departments/Strategic_Planning/, has the following goals:

- · Ensure equitable opportunities for every student
- Provide a safe, welcoming, respectful and rigorous learning environment for every member of the school community.
- Promote academic growth for every student.
- Establish college and career readiness for every student.
- Support effective communication throughout the district.

In addition, the SSC recognizes following recommendations made by the District Community Advisory Committee (DCAC) and the District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC):

DCAC Recommendations:

- **Recommendation 1:** Teachers/school staff train parents in English Language Arts, Math, and other critical topics (such as A-G, SAT, PSAT, Career Pathways, SBAC, etc.) in order for parents to assist students to succeed in school.
- **Recommendation 2:** Execute technology purchases to bring computers up-to-date to meet students' needs. Also, provide parent training in the computer programs and applications that are used in school so parents can assist their children at home.
- **Recommendation 3:** Create parent leadership programs for all parents, with an emphasis on assisting early childhood/preK parents to introduce the LBUSD system.

Recommendation 4: Create additional, continuous training for all teachers to better serve special education students, African American students,

Pacific Islander students, at-risk students, long-term English Learners, and English Learners (specifically emphasizing reclassification training).

- **Recommendation 5:** Provide prevention and intervention support and services to continue to reduce the achievement gap for special education students, African American students, Pacific Islander students, long-term English Learners, English Learners, and other at-risk students.
- **Recommendation 6:** Provide more parent involvement and parent education training at all schools, including sending parent participants to conferences in order to empower and train parent leaders.
- **Recommendation 7:** Create and provide a more inviting, safe and welcoming climate/community atmosphere at all sites for all cultures, parents and students.
- **Recommendation 8:** Re-establish district Parent Centers and encourage sites to establish a parent center/parent resource room to better engage and serve parents.
- Recommendation 9: Expand Female and Male Leadership programs at schools to provide more opportunity for under-represented students.
- **Recommendation 10:** Expand tutoring and other intervention programs that emphasize content-specific instruction to meet the individual needs of non-proficient students, including before/after school, Saturday school, "double dose" courses, and Summer school, also considering preK students.
- **Recommendation 11:** Expand Summer School opportunities, especially for "transition" grade levels. Explore adding social-emotional and growth mindset instruction for summer programs.
- **Recommendation 12:** Work with college partners to provide quality transitional counseling and mentoring services to students, including goal setting, course planning and guidance from current college students.
- **Recommendation 13:** Expand programs of instruction to the educational system to new families, including civics education.
- **Recommendation 14:** Ensure that school sites have resources that are necessary to implement recommended programs, especially programs designed to close the achievement gap.
- Recommendation 15: Provide workshops on values and character building that contribute to a safe, civil and productive school.

DELAC Recommendations:

- 1. Monitor the implementation of the district's comprehensive English Language Development (ELD) program in grades K-12th addressing language and academic needs of a diverse EL population from newcomers to Long Term English Learners.
- 2. Continue to identify students in need of interventions in English language arts focusing on reading comprehension and math in order to provide individualized services for these students;

- 3. Provide and implement research-based intervention programs either before, after school and/or during summer months to ensure that all EL students' academic needs are being targeted and met through supplementary instructional programs;
- 4. Support a welcoming environment which includes bilingual office staff who speak the language and understand the services and resources available for parents and ELs at sites with high EL population; and staff to focus on a more personal approach when working with parents;
- 5. School sites need to invest time in parents and need to do a better job in providing communication between school and parents;
- 6. Provide and implement and monitor/assess highly effective instructional intervention programs in developing oral language proficiency, reading and writing in English for all ELs, RFEPS and LTELs; to include an update to parents and other advisory committees on what programs and services are being provided at schools sites to address;
- 7. Provide parent workshops to build capacity on specific topics such as LCFF, LCAP and SSC to better understand how each functions;
- 8. Create additional continuous trainings for all teachers to better serve English Learners, Long Term English Learners and RFEPS in Math, English and English Language Development (ELD);
- 9. Continue to implement high quality teacher training for all adopted textbook series, with special emphasis on the new ELD curriculum adoption;
- 10. Continue to explore programs at sites that address the social/emotional development and well-being of all students, including English Learners
- 11. District to continue to promote parental engagement and to motivate parents to become more involved in their children's education and to increase opportunities for parents to attend parent workshops to ensure that they can support their children at home;
- 12. Provide more parent involvement and parent education training at all schools, including sending DELAC representatives to conferences in order to empower and train parent leaders;
- 13. Expand tutoring and other intervention programs that are content-specific to meet the student's identified needs including special education students;
- 14. Collaborate with our neighboring universities to coordinate mental health workshops for parents and students
- 15. Establish a structured program that is implemented districtwide for tutoring EL students (before, after-school) which ensures that teachers are delivering high-quality lessons addressing the needs of EL students. In addition, district to monitor these programs to ensure effective implementation as intended and are having a positive impact for EL students.
- 16. Continue to support the implementation and expansion of bilingual pathway programs with the passage of Proposition 58; not limited to only dual immersion programs but also to include developmental bilingual educational programs
- 17. To access the periodic review of the authorized and agreed upon DELAC recommendations in order to understand the effectiveness of programs and services for EL students

The SSC recognizes the importance of Parent and Family Engagement and emphasizes LBUSD Board Policy 6020.1, which contains the

following fifteen components:

- 1. Involving parents/guardians in the joint development of the district's planning efforts for providing programs that increase the academic quality of schools and including parents in the process of school review and improvement;
- 2. Consulting on an ongoing basis with parents/guardians concerning the manner in which the school and parents/guardians can work together to identify objectives, plan, design, implement, and evaluate school programs to ensure student academic achievement and school performance;
- Providing the coordination, technical assistance, and other support necessary to assist schools in planning and implementing effective parent/family involvement activities to improve student academic achievement and school performance; at least 1 percent of the district's Title I, Part A funds will be reserved for parental involvement and 95% of those funds will be distributed to schools, where parents of Title I students shall be involved in the decisions to be used for parental involvement programs;
- 4. Supporting the efforts of parents/guardians in working with their children to understand/attain the State Academic Content and Performance Standards, assessments being used, the requirements of Title I, Part A, and the monitoring of their children's progress and work with teachers to improvement achievement and social development;
- 5. Building parent capacity for meaningful parental involvement and providing opportunities to help parents/guardians strengthen their parenting and literacy skills to improve their child's academic success and social development, including, but not limited to, such topics as ensuring appropriate learning conditions at home, helping their child at home with schoolwork, and fostering a growth mindset, self-efficacy and self-management skills.
- 6. Ensuring that information related to school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to the parents of participating children in a format, and to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand; when 15 percent or more of the student population at the district or school site speak a single primary language other than English, all notices, reports, statements, or records sent to a parent/guardian of any such student by the school or school district, are in addition to being written in English, written in the primary language(s);
- Informing parents/guardians of participating children of the (a) reasons their children are participating in the program(s), (b) specific instructional objectives and methods of the program(s), and (c) the academic performance of their child's school and of the options they have to ensure appropriate educational placement of their student;
- 8. Training parents/guardians and teachers to build a partnership between home and school to increase student achievement and promote effective two-way communication in a language all parents can understand; providing School-Home Compacts that set out the respective responsibilities of the school staff, parents and students in striving to raise student achievement;
- 9. Encouraging parents/guardians to assume school and district leadership roles in governance, advisory, and advocacy decision-making processes; providing parents with an opportunity to submit dissenting views to the district if a school's parent involvement guideline s or Single Plan for Student Achievement are not acceptable to them;

- 10. Providing professional development for teachers, pupil services personnel, principals and other staff, with the assistance of parent input, on ways to reach out to, communicate with and implement parent programs that build partnerships between parents and the schools;
- 11. Involving parents/guardians in annual evaluations of the content, effectiveness, and relevance of the District's Parent Involvement Policy and Parent Involvement Guidelines at the school site level in improving the academic quality of the schools, including identifying barriers to greater student achievement and using the findings of such evaluations to design strategies for more effective involvement of parents and families and uses the findings of the evaluation to design more effective parental involvement and revise the policy, as necessary;
- 12. Coordinating and integrating Title I, Part A parental involvement programs and strategies with parent involvement strategies of other programs, including with the district's Child Development Centers (CDC), Head Start, and other preschool programs that encourage parent participation, seamless transitions and greater success of preschoolers;
- 13. Coordinating and maintaining Parent Resource Centers (as budget allows) that provide literacy training, home-learning activities, and the guidance parents need to support their child's academic success.
- 14. Helping parents/guardians acquire needed services through identified school district and community resources; providing other reasonable support for parent involvement activities as parents may request;
- 15. Establishing a district parent advisory council (DCAC) with representatives from each school, to provide advice or input on matters related to parent involvement, allotted Title I funds at the school site level and awareness of funded programs.

Conclusions from the needs assessment were used to prioritize supplemental programs, materials, professional development, targeted interventions, and other activities that will strengthen the school's academic achievement, close the achievement gap, and provide a safe, civil and productive school.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

English-Language Arts

Written Analysis - ELA Findings

Written Analysis

SBAC ELA Data, 2022-23 69% of students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards in spring 2023 (1% increase from 2021-22).

Disaggregated SBAC ELA Data, Gr. 3-8 Gr. 3: 75% met/exceeded (9% increase) Gr. 4: 66% met/exceeded (4% decrease) Gr. 5: 68% met/exceeded (1% decrease) Gr. 6: 64% met/exceeded (2% decrease) Gr. 7: 71% met/exceeded (5% decrease) Gr. 8: 71% met/exceeded (6% increase)

82% of our White students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards (2% increase). 50% of our African American students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards (8% decrease). 29% of our RSP students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards (13% increase). 25% of our EL students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards (1% increase).

SBAC Achievement Gap between subgroups: AA/White 32% (increased gap by 10%,) and RSP/White 53%.

iReady Reading Diagnostic

70% Gr. 1-8 overall met mid-grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (1% increase over 21-22)
79% of our White students in Gr. 1-8 met mid grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (2% decrease from year prior).
47% of our African American students in Gr. 1-8 met mid grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (2% decrease from year prior).
48% of our EL students in Gr. 1-8 met mid-grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (11% increase over year prior).
41% of our RSP students in Gr. 1-8 met mid grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (10% increase over year prior).

i-Ready Achievement Gap between subgroups: AA/White 32%, and 39% RSP/White.

K-2 Foundational Reading Skills Assessment (FRSA), 2022-23

87% overall met/exceeded standard (4% increase over 2021-22)

95% White students met/exceeded standard (5% increase over year prior)

85% African American students met/exceeded standard (22% increase over year prior)

64% EL students met/exceeded standard (18% decrease from year prior)

67% RSP students met/exceeded standard (17% increase over year prior)

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

English-Language Arts

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

Page 10 of 53

Written Analysis - ELA Goals

Written Analysis

Tincher students in Gr. 3-8 had the 2nd highest SBAC ELA scores overall out of our 6 K-8 schools. Most of our Tincher subgroups in Gr. 3-8 increased SBAC ELA scores last year.

In the iReady Reading Diagnostic Tests, Tincher students exceeded the district K-8 schools percent who met their growth targets by 3%.

In the K-2 FRSA, Tincher students exceeded the district K-8 schools percent proficient by 14%.

Below are our ELA goals for the current school year, 2023-24:

ELA Goals:

90% of Tincher students scoring on or above grade level will achieve at least one year of academic growth based on the ELA iReady assessment administered between September 2023 and May 2024.

45% of Tincher students scoring below grade level will demonstrate greater than one year of growth based on the ELA iReady assessment administered in May 2024.

By June 2024, 80% of our Gr. 3-8 Tincher students will meet or exceed standards on SBAC ELA.

By June 2024, 95% of our K-2 Tincher students will score met/exceeded on the Foundational Reading Skills Assessment (FRSA).

Writing:

By June 2024, 75% of Tincher students will score a 3 or higher on the End-of-Unit ELA Writing Performance Task.

Achievement Gap

By June 2024, all Tincher student subgroups will shrink the achievement gap by 50% or more in their ELA and Math scores for SBAC, i-Ready and FRSA.

This year we are implementing more push-in support from our literacy teacher and retired reading specialist to support students. Additionally, teachers will receive training on Getting Reading Right and guided reading strategies. Selected students will be offered before and after school tutoring, as well as weekly reading practice through the BARK Program. Middle school teachers will receive twice monthly support from the NSI ELA coach. Progress will be monitored regularly during teacher planning and collaboration meetings.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Mathematics

Written Analysis - Math Findings

Written Analysis Overall Math SBAC Data, 2022-2023 61% of students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards in spring 2023, a 4% increase from 2021-22. **Disaggregated Math SBAC Data** Gr. 3: 82% met/exceeded (10% increase) Gr. 4: 63% (9% increase) Gr. 5: 59% (6% increase) Gr. 6: 65% (12% increase) Gr. 7: 48% (10% decrease) Gr. 8: 51% (no change) 76% of our White students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (4% increase). 36% of our African American students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (no change from prior year). 26% of our EL students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (8% increase). 21% of our RSP students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (2% decrease). Achievement Gap between subgroups AA/White: 40%, increased by 4%; EL/White: 50%, RSP/White: 55% 92% of our GATE students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (1% decrease). iReady Math Diagnostic 42% met mid- or on-grade level achievement standard in Math iReady last year, 2022-23 (1% decrease from year prior) 54% White students met mid or higher (4% decrease) 18% African American students met mid or higher (1% increase)

14% EL students met mid or higher (9% decrease)

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

18% RSP students met mid or higher (2% increase)

Achievement Gap on i-Ready Math mid- or on grade level achievement met: AA/White 36%, EL/White 40%, RSP/White 36%

61% of Gr. 1-8 students met growth targets for Math iReady last year, 2022-23. (Comparison to year prior differed with K not included last year, 5% decrease from year prior.)
63% White students met (6% decrease)
58% African American students met (5% decrease)
55% EL students met (18% decrease)

53% RSP students met (4% decrease)

Achievement Gap on i-Ready Math growth targets met: AA/White, 5%, EL/White 8%, RSP/White 10%

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Mathematics

Written Analysis - Math Goals

Written Analysis

Tincher Elementary students had the third highest SBAC Math scores overall out of our 6 K-8 Schools in 2022-23 (Newcomb 79%, Cubberley 70%, Tincher 69%)

Tincher Middle School students had the second hightest SBAC Math scores overall out of our 6 K-8 schools in 2022-23 (Newcomb 69%, Tincher 54%, Cubberley 44%).

Our subgroup progress varied in Math SBAC and i-Ready performance.

Below are our Math goals for the current school year, 2023-24:

90% of Tincher students scoring on or above grade level will achieve at least one year of academic growth based on the Math iReady assessment administered in May 2024.

45% of Tincher students scoring below grade level will demonstrate greater than one year of growth based on the Math iReady assessment administered in May 2024.

By June 2024, 80% of our Gr. 3-8 Tincher students will meet or exceed standards on SBAC Math.

Achievement Gap

By June 2024, all Tincher student subgroups will shrink the achievement gap by 50% or more in their ELA and Math scores for SBAC, i-Ready and FRSA.

This year our 3-5 students will receive push-in support from our 3-5 Math Lead and retired math specialist. Selected students will be offered before and after school tutoring. Middle school teachers will receive twice monthly support from the NSI math coach. Progress will be monitored regularly during teacher planning and collaboration meetings.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

English Learners

Written Analysis - English Learner Findings

Written Analysis

Tincher had 31 English Learner (EL) students in 2022-23.

25% of our EL students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards (1% increase).

48% of our EL students in Gr. 1-8 met mid-grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (11% increase over year prior).

26% of our EL students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (8% increase).

55% EL students in Gr. 1-8 met i-Ready growth targets in Math (18% decrease).

64% EL students in Gr. K-2 met/exceeded standard on Foundational Reading Skills Assessment FRSA (18% decrease from year prior)

Our EL redesignation rate was 50% in 2022-23, an 8% decrease from 2021-22.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

English Learners

Written Analysis - English Learner Goals

Written Analysis

As of November 2023, we now have 40 EL students at Tincher, and an English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). 75% of these speak Spanish as their home language, and the rest speak Vietnamese, Japanese, or Chinese.

The majority of our EL students scored at the Moderately Developed level 3 out of 4 on ELPAC (15 students). 5 scored at the beginning level 1, 10 scored at the somewhat developed level 2, and 10 scored at the well developed level 4. All ELPAC levels are represented across the grade levels, K-8. Speaking was the highest scoring ELPAC subtest, followed by Listening, then Writing, then Reading.

Our ELAC committee recommended that we provide software to support EL students (such as Reflex for Math facts instruction), tutoring, and use of practice tests to prepare for the ELPAC.

Our schoolwide goal for our EL student subgroup is as follows:

By June 2024, all Tincher student subgroups will shrink the achievement gap by 50% or more in their ELA and Math scores for SBAC, i-Ready, and FRSA.

Teachers will utilize the Ellevation platform and teach integrated and designated ELD to their EL students to aid in their acquisition of English language. Leveled text will be purchased to provide scaffolded support that is incrementally matched to students' reading levels. Before and after school tutoring will be offered to help build academic vocabulary, comprehension skills and format practice for the ELPAC assessment.

There will be professional development to review the Ellevation resources to meet the needs of our EL students.

Progress will be monitored through the Ellevation platform and teachers will collaborate to discuss student needs and best practices.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Culture/Climate Domain

Written Analysis - Culture/Climate Findings

Written Analysis

ATTENDANCE 93.9% = overall Attendance rate, 2022-23 (+.2% over prior year) 96.0% = overall Attendance to date, 2023-24 (current- +3% over year prior) 96% = District goal

CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM 14.2% = 2022-23 (+3% over prior year) 7.5% = Current Chronic Absenteeism (61 students) Reflected in all subgroups except GATE.

SUSPENSIONS 2.2% school suspensions for 2022-23 (+.1% over year prior)

.7% (6) suspensions as of 11/14/23 for the current school year

OFFICE REFERRALS FOR BEHAVIORS

Daily office referral rate: 1-3 incidents referred to office every day remains somewhat reduced from last year. The full time assistant principal and full time social worker help to address and prevent these incidents. Principal, Asst. Principal, and Counselor are able to provide lunchtime playground supervision for middle school on most days.

PULSE SURVEY OF STUDENTS, 2022-23

Increase in all 3 measures for Gr. 4-5 in Spring 2023 Pulse Survey: Belonging +8% from year prior, Agency +5%, Identity +2%. All three measures were higher than span schools (+9%, +6%, +2%).

Increase in 1 of 3 measures for Gr. 6-8 in Spring 2023 Pulse Survey: Identity +1%, Agency -2%, Belonging -3%. Identity (+3%) and Belonging (+2%) were higher than span schools.

WELLNESS CENTER, 2023-24 TO DATE

80 Middle School students (28% of middle schoolers) through self, family, or staff have had referrals to the Wellness Center for mental health/SEL support as of 11/15/23. In addition, 60 Middle School students indicated a need for self-referral on the Fall Pulse Survey, plus 9 referrals for grief/loss.

11 Elementary students (.01%) were referred to the School Counselor as of 11/15/23.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Culture/Climate Domain

Written Analysis - Culture/Climate Goals

Written Analysis

By June 2024, student responses to CORE Survey responses in the following areas will increase by 10% through outreach by our Wellness Center and Project Optimism mentoring:

Gr. 4-5 Climate of Support for Academic Learning

Gr. 6-8 Sense of Safety (stealing, damaging property, sense of safety at school)

Gr. 6-8 Cultural Awareness and Action (thinking deeply about race related topics and speaking about race)

Staff will continue to implement PBIS, restorative practices, wellness lesson, school clubs and celebrations to increase student voice and agency, attendance, and to reduce the number of daily office behavior referrals. This year new support will include the SEL support offered by full time vs. part time Wellness Center services and Asst. Principal, and a 50% Facilitator to support teachers and students.

Given the Zones of Regulation/SEL Rating Posters, all teachers will conduct a daily SEL check-in with students, as measured by administrative observation and related CORE/PULSE responses.

Counselor and Social Worker will develop methods to reduce chronic absences overall and in RSP student subgroup as measured by Build Report. Administrative staff will continue to collaborate with the Instruction/Intervention Coordinator to implement tutoring intervention opportunities for atpromise students at every grade level, with varied times of day (before/during/after school) and formats (1:1, small group), materials, and providers, in order to meet students' varied needs.

Tincher continues to build our parent volunteer community through communication and outreach, and will work with PTA to create more opportunities for parents to interact with each other at school events.

Tincher is using special one-time enrichment funds to support students' sense of agency and SEL by paying fees for our after school programs such as Yoga, Basketball Hoops program, After School Science, Spanish, etc.

Progress will be monitored through the Pulse and Core Surveys and teachers will regularly collaborate around student need, survey results, and best practices.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

SPSA Effectiveness

SPSA Effecti	iveness			
Area	Prior Year Goal	Status	Data Analysis of Goal Attainment and Selected programs and services that impacted goal attainment	For this school year, what modifications may be planned for programs and services to have more positive impact on current year goals
ELA	scores overall out of our 22 Middle/K-8 schools. Tincher students in Gr. 3-8	Goal Partially or Not Met	increase from 2021-22).	K-2 teachers will participate in one week of Getting Reading Right training (Science of Reading) and will implement the daily decoding routine and guided reading groups.
	exceeded the district percent of students who met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards by 15%. Most of our Tincher subgroups in		level or higher iReady Reading	K-2 Literacy Teacher will provide push-in small group intervention support for phonics and reading, and coaching support for teachers.
	Gr. 3-8 increased SBAC ELA scores last year. RFEP subgroup which decreased significantly in SBAC ELA (21% decrease).		SBAC ELA Achievement Gap between subgroups: AA/White 32%	Retired Reading Specialist will provide push-in small group intervention support for Gr. 3-5 students in Reading, and Guided Reading training for K-2 teachers.
	In the iReady Reading Diagnostic Tests, both our Middle and our Elementary students K-8 had the		i-Ready Reading Achievement Gap between subgroups: AA/White 32%, and 39% RSP/White.	Selected Classroom Teachers will provide ELA tutoring before/after school: Lit Teacher, FRS
	highest percent of students who met their growth targets last year compared to all of the other 22 Middle/K-8 schools.		K-2 Foundational Reading Skills Assessment (FRSA), 2022-23	BARK Program will provide weekly reading practice time for selected elementary students. NSI ELA Coach will provide twice monthly
	Below are our ELA goals for the		(4% increase over 2021-22)	support for our Middle School ELA and History teachers.

current school year, 2022-23.

ELA Goals:

90% of Tincher students scoring on or above grade level will achieve at least one year of academic growth based on the ELA iReady assessment administered between September 2022 and May 2023.

45% of Tincher students scoring below grade level will demonstrate greater than one year of growth based on the ELA iReady assessment administered in May 2023.

By June 2023, 80% of our Gr. 3-8 Tincher students will meet or exceed standards on SBAC ELA, an 12% improvement from 2022.

By June 2023, 95% of our K-2 Tincher students will score met/exceeded on the Foundational Reading Skills Assessment (FRSA), a 15% improvement from 2022.

Writing:

By June 2023, 74% of Tincher students will score a 3 or higher on the End-of-Unit ELA Writing standard (5% increase over year prior) 85% African American students met/exceeded standard (22% increase over year prior) 64% EL students met/exceeded standard (18% decrease from year prior) 67% RSP students met/exceeded standard (17% increase over year prior)

	Performance Task. RSP: At December and June progress reporting dates, 75% of RSP students will score 4's for 75% or more of their individual education plan goals (IEP), indicating that they are making adequate progress towards their annual goals. (Scores collaboratively determined by RSP teacher and Gen Ed teacher.) Achievement Gap By June 2023, all Tincher student subgroups will shrink the achievement gap by 50% or more in their ELA and Math scores for SBAC and FRSA.			
Math	 Tincher students in Gr. 3-8 had the 2nd highest SBAC Math scores overall out of our 22 Middle/K-8 Schools. Tincher students in Gr. 3-8 exceeded the district percent of students who met/exceeded SBAC Math standards by 18%. Most of our Tincher subgroups in Gr. 3-8 increased SBAC Math 	Goal Partially or Not Met	 61% of students in Grades 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards in spring 2023, a 4% increase from 2021-22. 42% met mid- or on-grade level achievement standard in Math iReady last year, 2022-23 (1% decrease from year prior) 61% of Gr. 1-8 students met growth targets for Math iReady last year, 	 Gr. 3-5 Math Lead will provide push-in small group intervention support for Math instruction, and coaching support for teachers. Selected Classroom Teachers will provide Math tutoring before/after school for students in need: Gr. 3, 4 6, 7 Retired Math Specialist will provide push-in small group Math intervention support. NSI Math Coach will provide twice monthly

scores last year. Grades 4 and 5 decreased in Gr. 3-8 SBAC Math (7% and 4%, respectively).

In the iReady Math Diagnostic Tests, both our Middle and our Elementary students K-8 had the highest percent of students who met their growth targets last year compared to all of the other 22 Middle/K-8 schools.

Below are our Math goals for the current school year, 2022-23. Math Goals: 90% of Tincher students scoring on or above grade level will achieve at least one year of academic growth based on the Math iReady assessment administered in May 2023.

45% of Tincher students scoring below grade level will demonstrate greater than one year of growth based on the Math iReady assessment administered in May 2023.

By June 2023, 79% of our Gr. 3-8 Tincher students will meet or exceed standards on SBAC Math, a 22% improvement from

support for our Middle School Math and Science 2022-23. (Comparison to year prior differed with K not included last teachers. year, 5% decrease from year prior.) Achievement Gap between subgroups on SBAC- AA/White: 40%, increased by 4%; EL/White: 50%, RSP/White: 55% Achievement Gap on i-Ready Math mid- or on grade level achievement met: AA/White 36%, EL/White 40%, RSP/White 36% Achievement Gap on i-Ready Math growth targets met: AA/White, 5%, EL/White 8%, RSP/White 10%

2022.			
By June 2023, 88% of our Gr. K-5 students will score met/exceeded on the district Basic Math Facts tests			
SPED: At December and June progress			
reporting dates, 75% of RSP students will score 4's for 75% or more of their individual education			
plan goals (IEP), indicating that they are making adequate			
progress towards their annual goals. (Scores collaboratively determined by RSP teacher and			
Gen Ed teacher.)			
Achievement Gap By June 2023, all Tincher student subgroups will shrink the			
achievement gap by 50% or more in their ELA and Math scores for SBAC and FRSA.			

English Learner		Not Met	 Tincher had 31 English Learner (EL) students in 2022-23. 25% of our EL students in Gr. 3-8 met/exceeded SBAC ELA standards (1% increase). 48% of our EL students in Gr. 1-8 met mid-grade level or higher Reading iReady targets for last year, 2022-23 (11% increase over year prior). 26% of our EL students in Grades 3 -8 met/exceeded SBAC Math standards (8% increase). 55% EL students in Gr. 1-8 met i- Ready growth targets in Math (18% decrease). 64% EL students in Gr. K-2 met/exceeded standard on Foundational Reading Skills Assessment FRSA (18% decrease from year prior) Our EL redesignation rate was 50% in 2022-23, an 8% decrease from 2021-22. 	School will purchase leveled text to provide books that are incrementally matched to students' reading levels to provide scaffolded support. Tutoring for EL students will be provided to build academic vocabulary, comprehension skills, and format practice for the ELPAC assessment.
Culture/Climate	1) Staff will continue to	Goal Partially or Not Met	ATTENDANCE 93.9% = overall Attendance rate,	Increase in FTE to full time for both the Asst. Principal and Social Worker, as well as adding a

practices, and GSA club to increase student voice and agency, attendance, and to reduce the number of daily office behavior referrals by 50%. This year new support will include the SEL support offered by the Wellness Center and Asst. Principal (both 50% positions)

Administrative staff will continue to collaborate with the Instruction/Intervention Coordinator to implement tutoring intervention opportunities for atpromise students at every grade level, with varied times of day (before/during/after school) and formats (1:1, small group), materials, and providers, in order to meet students' varied needs.

Tincher is also re-building our parent volunteer community through communication and outreach, and will work with PTA to create more opportunities for parents to interact with each other at school drop-off or pickup.

Tincher is using special one-time enrichment funds to support students' sense of agency and SEL by paying fees for our after

2022-23 (+.2% over prior year) 96.0% = overall Attendance to date,	.50 Facilitator, will help address and prevent behavior incidents and mental health issues.
2023-24 (current- +3% over year	
prior)	Principal, Asst. Principal, and Counselor are ab
96% = District goal	to provide lunchtime playground supervision for middle school on most days to help prevent
CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM	behavior incidents.
14.2% = 2022-23 (+3% over prior	
year)	Asst. Principal is providing Elementary
7.5% = Current Chronic	Playground supervision coordination and
Absenteeism (61 students)	game/activity education with students and
Reflected in all subgroups except	Recreation Aides to support student safety and
GATE.	appropriate social behaviors.
SUSPENSIONS	Counselor provides monthly Wellness Lessons
	for all classrooms.
23 (+.1% over year prior)	
	The teacher/administrator Site Decision Making
7% (6) suspensions as of 11/14/23	Team meets monthly and will address any
for the current school year	culture/climate ideas or issues to promote
OFFICE REFERRALS FOR	student health/well being.
BEHAVIORS	Our Social Worker will provide follow up suppor
Daily office referral rate: 1-4	for families in need (food pantry boxes, clothing
incidents referred to office every	gift cards, services, etc.).
day 2022-23.	
PULSE SURVEY OF STUDENTS, 2022-23	
Increase in all 3 measures for Gr. 4-	
5 in Spring 2023 Pulse Survey:	
Belonging +8% from year prior,	
Agency +5%, Identity +2%. All	
three measures were higher than	

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

school programs such as Fitness for All, Basketball Hoops program, Chess program, etc.	span schools (+9%, +6%, +2%). Increase in 1 of 3 measures for Gr. 6-8 in Spring 2023 Pulse Survey: Identity +1%, Agency -2%, Belonging -3%. Identity (+3%) and Belonging (+2%) were higher than span schools.	
	WELLNESS CENTER, 2023-24 TO DATE 80 Middle School students (28% of middle schoolers) through self, family, or staff have had referrals to the Wellness Center for mental health/SEL support as of 11/15/23. In addition, 60 Middle School students indicated a need for self- referral on the Fall Pulse Survey, plus 9 referrals for grief/loss. 11 Elementary students (.01%) were referred to the School Counselor as of 11/15/23.	

Program Impact

Program	ELA	Math	EL	Climate
	Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact
Tutoring Standards-based Intervention: Before, During and Afterschool Tutoring (4 teachers x 2 days per week x 12 weeks x 72.00 per hour) (IN 2)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong
	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
	Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact

Conference Attendance: Registration, transportation, hotel, mileage, parking, materials fees for Teachers' Conference attendance, e.g. standards-based methods, GATE strategies, etc. (PD 3)	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact
Half-Day Sub Release Collaborative Grade Level/Dept. Planning, All Teachers, Quarters 1-3: 30 Teachers x 3 released half-days x \$105/half-day sub = 9,450 (PD 1)	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact
Hire 50% Assistant Principal to support SEL needs of students, implement Restorative Justice methods and CARES model. (IN 1)	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact
Science and technology supplies, manipulatives for concrete representations, site/individual licenses subscriptions/renewals for intervention programs, SEL/DEI curriculum and supplies (including WEB), leveled reading texts, and other supplemental supplies (SM 1)	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact
Recreation Staff: District provided LCFF budget for 5 Recreation Aides and 1 Recreation Leader: District funds 61,400 + Supplemental 29,100 Tincher LCFF to provide needed SEL support and supervision (IN 3)	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact
Workshops to support parents of struggling students: 1 hour presentation + .5 hour planning x 2 presentation = 3 hours (1.5 hours per workshop) x 2 teachers= 450 Family Math, Reading and/or Science Night, Orientations= 1 night / 5 TK-8 teachers x 1.5 hours each (1.0 presentation + .5 planning) = 7.5 hours = \$540	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact
Student Planners for home/school communication (414 Gr. 4-8 studens x 3.20/planner) (PI 1)				
Before/After School Collaborative Grade Level/Department Planning (2 hrs/quarter x 3 quarters x 35 teachers x \$70/hour = 14,700 (PD 2)	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact	Strong Positive Impact

Core Programs (ELA, Writing, Math)

Core Program - English Language Arts	ore Program - English Language Arts					
Curriculum/Instruction	Assessments	Resources/Materials				
For Elementary (K-5) Grades: All K-5 classrooms will include instruction designed to move all students towards mastery of grade-level California Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts, including the California English Language Development Standards for English learner students, with an intense focus on: Building disciplinger, and concentual knowledge through	level Unit of Instruction in both Reading and Writing, including daily and unit performance tasks that require:	K-8: Informational text and literature selections to match the unit content for read aloud and/or small group or independent reading. Content Area textbooks (e.g. Health, Science, Social Studies)				
Building disciplinary and conceptual knowledge through content rich nonfiction and informational text. Reading and Writing grounded in evidence from text. Regular practice with complex text and its academic vocabulary with intensity.	Students to demonstrate their understanding of texts and the "essential questions" by meeting grade level CCSS expectations for reading, listening, and language. Students to demonstrate their ability to express	Supplemental Reading materials matched to students' instructional Reading level - NewsELA Thinking Maps				
Through an Integrated Model of Literacy, K-2 students will participate in daily activities to include (a) Foundational Reading Skills (b) Listening and Learning, (c) Reading and Writing, (d) Instructional Differentiation in Reading and	their understanding by meeting grade level CCSS expectations for speaking, writing/drawing, and language.	ELLevation iReady				
Writing and (e) Accountable Independent Reading and Writing. District generated, grade level Scope & Sequence and Units of Instruction will ensure all students are engaged in Common Core aligned classroom instruction processes.	through a "cold read" assessment, that includes citation of evidence in a written response. K - 2: Foundational Reading Skills Assessment (FRSA)	Elementary (K-5): Wonders / Maravillas (Dual Immersion) and associated ancillary materials McGraw-Hill				
Teachers and students will monitor and adjust teaching and learning, guided by the systematic application of formative assessment practices to identify students' progress and needs.	3 – 5: Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium Summative Assessments For Middle (6-8) Grades: Formative and Interim assessments within the	Core5 Middle (6-8): myPerspectives and associated ancillary materials, Pearson				
Through an Integrated Model of Literacy, 3-5 grade students will participate in daily activities to include (a) Reading, (b) Writing, (c) Research, (d) Instructional Differentiation of Reading and Writing and (e) Accountable	grade level Unit in both Reading and Writing, including: A cold-read Unit assessment, which assesses					

District generated, grade level Scope & Sequence and Units of Instruction will ensure all students are engaged in Common Core aligned classroom instruction processes. Teachers and students will monitor and adjust teaching and	whether students can read "new" text(s) and apply the same level of understanding and mastery of the CCSS. Daily, weekly on-going checks for understanding (e.g., exit slips, learning logs, quizzes, other vocabulary, literary, grammar, spelling, or mechanics tasks)	
All 6-8 classrooms will include instruction designed to move all students towards mastery of grade-level California Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts,	Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium summative assessment (Grades 6-8)	

Core Programs (ELA, Writing, Math)

Core Program - Writing					
Curriculum/Instruction	Assessments	Resources/Materials			
All K-5 and 6-8 ELA classrooms will include Writing instruction designed to ensure that all students are prepared to write the following text types: Arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics/texts Informative/explanatory texts to examine and convey complex ideas and information clearly and accurately Narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events All K-5 and 6-8 ELA classrooms will engage in frequent/daily Writing activities embedded into the grade level Scope and Sequence and Units of Instruction to include any of the following: Reading to build knowledge for written pieces Working through the writing process for all 3 text types including planning, revising, editing and publishing Orally rehearsing using linguistic patterns Writing routinely over extended time frames and shorter time frames for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes, and audiences Conferring with the teacher and other students	Formative and Interim Writing assessments within the grade level Unit of Instruction, including: All: Daily Performance Activities such as: short constructed responses, analyses using evidence, multi-paragraph responses, essays Research Task & Presentation SBAC Summative assessment (Grades 3-8) Elementary (K-5): "On Demand" Reading/Writing assessments Culminating Writing Task Middle (6-8): At least 3 pieces of processed writing that include the three writing genres, and may include research that will be analyzed using evidence. Students will craft written works that display logical integration and coherence, varying in length from answering brief questions to multi-paragraph responses. At least 3 pieces of "On Demand" Reading/Writing Culminating Writing Assessment that include the three writing genres. Students will craft written works that display logical integration and coherence, in a multi-paragraph response.	K-8: Write from the Beginning & Beyond supplemental Writing program materials Informational text and literature selections to match the unit content for read aloud and/or small group or independent reading. Content Area textbooks (e.g. Health, Science, Social Studies) Thinking Maps Elementary (K-5): Wonders / Maravillas (Dual Immersion) and associated ancillary materials Newsela Middle (6-8): myPerspectives and associated ancillary materials, Pearson			

Core Programs (ELA, Writing, Math)

Core Program - Math					
Curriculum/Instruction	Assessments	Resources/Materials			
All K-8 classrooms will include instruction designed to move all students towards mastery of grade-level California Common Core State Standards in Mathematics with particular attention to: Strategically focusing where the Standards focus Coherence: think across grades and link to major topics within grades Rigor: require conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency All K-8 students will receive CCSS aligned instruction based on district developed Scope and Sequence (by grade level) and Units of Instruction (by grade level) that include the CCSS Math Standards and the CCSS Standards for Mathematical Practice. As part of the K-5 Units of Instruction, all K-5 students will engage in: Daily Math Routines Math Tasks Mathematical Discourse As part of the 6-8 Units of Instruction, all 6-8 students will engage in: Mathematical Discourse		Elementary (K-5): HMH - GoMath Textbook Series Early Mathematics, A Resource for Teaching Young Children ST Math Middle (6-8): Content area textbooks and online resources from Big Ideas Mathematics Khan Academy All: LBUSD Supplemental Instructional Resource			

Interventions

Interventions							
Identify Data and Describe Student Needs	ACTION Description of Scientifically- based Intervention	List the sub- groups served	Funding Source and Cost	Time Frame and Frequency of Program	Personnel Delivering the Intervention	Progress Monitoring	
calls, Threat Assessments	Principal to support	All Students		07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Daily	Assistant Principal Principal	Principal, Counselor Asst. Principal, Facilitator Culture- Climate Survey (Student-Staff) 100	

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

Page 34 of 53

Progress Data Achievement gap data Core Curriculum 100	ELA, Math, Science, History and technology supplies, manipulatives for concrete representations, site/individual licenses subscriptions/renewal s for intervention programs, SEL/DEI curriculum and supplies (including WEB), leveled reading texts, and other supplemental supplies	All Students	07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Weekly		Data anlaysis Budget analysis Core Curriculum 100
achievement and progress data; subgroup data Core Curriculum 100	30Gr. 3-5 Math/ELATutoring Standards-based Intervention: Before, During and Afterschool Tutoring (30 teachers x 7.5 hrs x 72.00 per hour)	Other Targeted Students	07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Weekly	Teachers Principal IIC, Asst Principal	progress data Core Curriculum 100

Supervision for students; SEL needs Culture- Climate Survey (Student- Staff) 50, Culture-Climate Survey (Parent) 50	Recreation Staff: District provided LCFF budget for 7 Recreation Aides: District funds 64,000 + Supplemental 17,330 Tincher LCFF to provide needed SEL support and supervision. Additional funding provided by ESSER funds for TK/K Rec Aide and Before/After School Coachie.	All Students	- + ,	07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Daily	Supervising Secty Principal	Rec Aides Secty Principal Culture- Climate Survey (Student-Staff) 50, Culture-Climate Survey (Parent) 50
---	---	--------------	-------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---
Supervision for students; SEL needs Culture- Climate Survey (Parent) 100	Recreation Staff: District provided LCFF budget for 5 Recreation Aides	All Students	LCFF Rec \$63,923 Recreation Aide .375 FTE - LCFF Rec 100% Recreation Aide .375 FTE - LCFF Rec 100% Hourly - Recreation Aide (8) for 53 hours annually - LCFF Rec 100%	06/30/2024 Daily	Recreation Aides Supervising Secty Principal	Rec Aides Secty Principal Culture- Climate Survey (Parent) 100
--	---	--------------	--	------------------	--	---
--	---	--------------	--	------------------	--	---

Accountability Measure 1: Increase Achievement

Program Description for Transitions

Accountability Measure 1: Increase Achievement

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

Program Description for Transitions

Program Description for Transitions					
Preschool Transition	Gr. 5 to MS Transition	Gr. 8 to HS Transition			
learning. The curriculum consists of Wonders ELA Program, Interactive Writing and Name Game, Shared and Modeled Reading, Math, Science and History/Social Science. Foundational Reading Skills assessments (FRSA) are used to monitor student progress in ELA.	inform them on how their schedules are developed. Training provided to parents to assure familiarity with this process on Parent/vue. 5th grade students are placed into 6th grade College and Career Readiness support classes in ELA/Math based on teacher recommendation, grades, and SBAC performance. All Students are placed in Math Acclerated classes, and if needed, Math Development support classes. 5th grade to Middle School Orientation: 6th grade students,	Middle School to High School 8th grade students will receive a presentation during History classes in November from the counselor to explain the High School Information Website and student Canvas resource with HS Choice information. Both platforms have been created by the District to ensure families and students are aware of high school options. Parents have access to information on the High School choice application process via the District web page, the district Parent University workshops, and a HS Choice tile on the Student Canvas page consisting of informational videos.			

Accountability Measure 2: Organizational Climate

Organizational Climate

Indentified Need(s)	Program & Materials	Funding Source & Cost	Time Frame	Personnel	Progress Monitoring for
		CUST			Assessing Effectiveness
Supervision of boys locker room during PE classes	Recreation Aide, 2.5 hours daily	LCFF, \$12,000	September-June, 2023-24	Hired in November, 2021	All students will dress out for PE; monitor PE participation and reduction in number of daily behavior office referrals
Students have the opportunity to participate in Student Council.	Student Council organizes activities and incentives for students. These activities contribute to cultural awareness and help to increase students' sense of belonging, identity and agency.	n/a	August - June 2023- 2024	Teacher, counselor, asst. principal, principal	Increase in sense of belonging, identity and agency as evidenced on student PULSE survey results.
Data - office referrals, playground and classroom offenses, incentive program, report cards, test scores and progress reports - reveal a need to provide additional support for some students and families	 Provide assistance for those students experiencing a personal, academic, or social concern that is interfering with learning Maintain documentation related to services provided to at-promise students such as the recommended academic interventions, student/parent conferences held, and attendance interventions Enhance the College Ed. 		as needed	Counselor for grades 6-8, asst. principal, social worker	 Increased communication with parents per logs and parent conference sign-in sheets Decrease in number of office referrals Increase in number of students planning for college prep classes per conferences with students and parents

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

	Curriculum by coordinating additional lessons with the Health teacher • Conduct Academic Review Conferences with students which cover current academic status and test results, high school graduation requirements, CAHSEE, and post-secondary options and includes conferences with parents • Create a college-going culture on campus and provide low-achieving students and their parents information that creates an atmosphere of college expectation aligned with the District's Academic and Career Success Initiative, train teachers on SST data collection in LROIX				 Increase in number of students participating in interventions per attendance sheets Improvement of grades
--	--	--	--	--	--

Accountability Measure 3: Professional Development

Professional Development

Indentified Need(s)	Planned Staff Development	Funding Source & Cost	Dates/Hours of Training Session	Personnel	Tools Used for Monitoring Implementation & Effectiveness
subgroup data progress data Core Curriculum 100	Half-Day Sub Release Collaborative Grade Level/Dept. Planning, All Teachers, Quarters 1-3: 30 Teachers x 6 released half- days x \$105/half-day sub = \$18,900	Title 1 \$23,970 Substitute teacher half day (29) for 6 days - Title 1 100%	07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Monthly	Teachers Principal IIC, Asst Principal	Data analysis: unit data, FRSA, Math Facts, iReady, SBAC, CORE Survey
subgroup data progress data Core Curriculum 100	Before/After School Collaborative Grade Level/Department Planning (3 hrs x 30teachers x \$72/hour = 7,560		07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Quarterly	Teachers Principal IIC, Asst Principal	unit data, FRSA, Math Facts, iReady
achievement and progress data, subgroup data Core Curriculum 100	Conference Attendance: Registration, transportation, hotel, mileage, parking, materials fees for Teachers' Conference attendance, e.g. standards-based methods, GATE strategies, etc.	LCFF \$380 Materials - LCFF 100%	07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Other	Teachers Principal	Data analysis

Accountability Measure 3: Professional Development

Teacher Involvement

Describe Teacher Involvement

Written Analysis

Teachers are involved in all school-based committees (SBDM, SDM, SSC, PTA, etc.).

Tincher teachers are involved in formal leadership of school programs including the SBDM, SSC, PTA, WRAP/AYA, GATE, Teacher Council, Cotsen Professional Development, Building Thinking Classrooms action research group, Department Heads, Grade Level Representatives, Technology Coordination, Student Council, Yearbook Club, Robotics Club, Think Green, other clubs and additional adjunct duties.

To ensure students who require additional interventions receive the services they need, teachers actively participate in the SST process. Teachers identify and refer students, work with counselor to set up LROIX tracking system, implement and record interventions and results. If additional steps are necessary, teachers will work with counselor and other administrative/support personnel and parents to develop an individualized intervention plan or refer for further assessment. or tutoring services.

Accountability Measure 4: Parent & Community

Parent and Community

Parent and Community Involvement					
Indentified Need(s)	Program & Materials	Funding Source & Cost	Time Frame	Personnel	Method for Assessing Effectiveness
Results from Core Climate Survey, and achievement gap data on high stakes assessments Culture-Climate Survey (Parent) 100			06/30/2024 Quarterly	Principal IIC	Principal IIC

Orientations = \$1,080	Par Inv \$1,037 Teacher Hourly Extra Comp (8) for 1.5 hours annually - Par Inv 100%	06/30/2024 Quarterly	Principal IIC	Principal IIC
home/school communication (414 Gr. 4-8 students x	Par Inv \$1,381 Materials - Par Inv 100%	07/01/2023 - 06/30/2024 Daily	Principal IIC	Principal IIC

School Budget for Categorical Programs

The following site budget represents programs funded through the Consolidated Application. This Budget summary lists the funds that are to be consolidated as part of a schoolwide program. The SPSA's budgeted activities have been approved by the School Site Council and are in compliance with program regulations.

Federal Programs (to Consolidate)	Allocation
Title I (3010)	144449
Title I Parent and Family Involvement (3008)	2801

The following amounts are the school's share of Title I required and allowed reservations:

Share	Services	Amount
Administrative Share	Services provided to sites as part of Title I program leadership: EEP and Early Childhood Education; Additional reservation for Parent Involvement (over 1% requirement); Homeless Education; Reading Intervention/Private Schools; Foster Care Social Worker; TK Teachers; Behavioral Specialist; Male/Female Leadership Academy; Research LROIX Development.	NA
Centralized Services Share	Middle School Summer Bridge, Homework Helpline 562.437.2859, Travel/Conferences, Education Celebration, CORE, Data PD/ Summer Admin PD, Supplemental Examinations (GORT), Kinder Festival, Robotics, SEAL Summer Program, SSC Institute, APEX Online, Harmony Project.	NA

State Programs *	Allocation
LCFF	45500

* It is understood that LCFF funding are district-directed; however, in the spirit of effective stakeholder engagement and transparency, LBUSD has included LCFF activities in the SPSA.

Spring Adjustment:

During the beginning of the school year, the state projects the amount that the district receives in Title I and other resources and sometimes adjustments are made mid-year to the allotment, as a result of the Consolidated Application (completed annually in February). Although most years, there is no difference in the site allocations. In the event that there is an adjustment, LBUSD will notify all site principals, who will announce the adjustment to the SSC.

Title I Reservations

Title I Reservations are used for required or Board-approved services. These reservations are made prior to Title I allocations to school sites and are designed to provide central services to Title I and/or underserved, underperforming schools. Listed programs and services are budgeted for the school year and may be slightly altered depending on need changes and budget adjustments.

Administrative Share & Reservations Title I Program Administration

Equity, Engagement & Partnerships (EEP), **State and Federal Programs** (SFP): Personnel to provide leadership for state and federal supplemental programs, Parent University offering parent workshops and community engagement. Early Childhood Education (CDC, Educare, Head Start): Personnel (incl. pre-K teachers of subsidized program, office assistants)

Parent Involvement (additional funds beyond the required 1%)

Parent University Staff to help families understand & access information, as well as become a true partner in education. In addition to providing parent trainings, staff works with parent engagement events, such as Education Celebration. Multilingual staff helps support parent engagement activities as well as personalizes information for non-English speaking families.

Homeless Education

Providing homeless students and families with supplies (uniforms, basic needs), social service referrals, transportation, and counseling.

Social Worker: Foster Care

Provides ongoing intensive case management services for foster youth and families, maintaining compliance with AB216 and AB490 regarding foster rights. In addition, collaborates with community partners to address foster youth needs, including with DCFS for tracking and consultation. Provides trainings for site counselors and staff.

Behavior Specialist

Works with elementary schools to ensure that schools are using best practices when working with Tier 2 students not experiencing success with self-control.

Male and Female Leadership Academy

The leadership academies strive to improve the graduation rate of underrepresented promising students and develop successful leaders by addressing the multi-faceted issues facing young women and men. The reservation provides personnel at high needs schools to coordinate the

academies.

Research LROIX Development

LROIX is LBUSD's data hub to help schools access pertinent, focused, live data; formulate needs assessments; and create effective interventions. The reservation provides personnel to maintain and develop the complex system.

Centralized Services

Middle School Summer Bridge

Provides a summer math program focusing on students needing a "head start" prior to entering the next middle school grade level.

Homework Helpline 562.437.2859

Homework Helpline consists of teachers guiding students through homework assignments via the telephone. The service is free to LBUSD students.

Travel/Conferences

Enables staff to develop requisite knowledge about the program(s) they lead.

Education Celebration

The annual Education Celebration features K-8 schools in one location to engage parents about site programs and features. In addition to the schools, city representatives and district resources are also present to engage parents.

CORE

The CA Office to Reform Education is a partnership of large CA urban school districts. Part of the CORE collaborative is data sharing and accountability, including the CORE surveys of parents, staff and students in grades 4-12 on a range of school climate indicators.

Data PD / Summer Admin PD

This pre-service professional development is designed for site leaders to engage in analyzing new data and begin the planning process for student needs assessment, closing the achievement gap and addressing school goals.

Supplemental Examinations

These specialized exams are supplemental and are intended to augment district formative assessments to better understand student

achievement and skill acquisition.

Kinder Festivals

The K-Fests are a series of parent involvement activities designed to introduce entering Kinder families to the system, including live student groups with teachers, parent resources and training to understand the kinder curriculum and expectations.

Robotics

These supplemental materials are designed to assist in research and special programs related to STEM.

SEAL Summer Program

The Summer Enrichment and Learning (SEAL) program provides students instruction in a variety of coursework during the summer break. Students are provided learning opportunities to strengthen skills.

APEX Online

APEX Learning program is a computerized independent learning program, coupled with teacher-directed instruction designed to assist students to address gaps in knowledge.

Youth Orchestra

Music instruction and opportunities on weekends. Students receive instruction from professional music performers in string instruments.

School Site Council Membership

Member Group	Representing	Name	Elected Term Ends
Staff	Principal	Donna Ryono	
Staff	Classroom Teacher	Tim Schugt	06-20-2025
Staff	Classroom Teacher	Kailey Ostgaard	06-20-2025
Staff	Classroom Teacher	Megan Porter-Diaz	06-20-2025
Staff	Non Classroom Teacher	Trisha Krug	06-20-2025
Community	Parent	Marisa	06-20-2025
Community	Parent	Aja	06-20-2025
Community	Parent	James	06-20-2025
Community	Parent	Matilde	06-20-2024
Community	Parent	Kyna	06-20-2024

English Learner Advisory Committee Membership

The following are members of the English Learner Advisory Committee, who duly advised and made recommendations to the School Site Council on the school's program, goals, and objectives for English learners.

Position	Representing	Name
Chair	Parent of EL Student (required)	Rebecca
DELAC Representative	Parent of EL Student (required)	Leticia
Principal or Designee	Staff Member (required)	Donna Ryono
Secretary	Staff Member (required)	Trisha Krug

Name	Representing
Haruna	Parent of EL Student
Maria	Parent of EL Student

ELAC Recommendations

Pursuant to Education Code, Section 52176, the school's English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) conducted a needs assessment as it pertains to English Learner (EL) students and presented the following recommendations about programs and services that will assist EL students to achieve standards.

Question	Answer
1. Does the school have more than 20 EL students enrolled?	Y
2. At which ELAC meeting did ELAC approve its Recommendations?	11/09/2023
3. Input ELAC's approved recommendations. These should be services and programs pertaining to EL student achievement:	 Tutoring/enrichment opportunities provided for EL students Access to software to support EL learners (ie. Reflex Math) Teacher training/PD for integrated and designated ELD instruction Send home information about ELPAC (dates & how to access practice tests) to EL families prior to testing.
4. What types of data did ELAC use to determine the need for the listed recommendations (add any that apply):	SBAC Reading Results for EL students SBAC Math for EL students CELDT/ELPAC Results Reclassification Data
5. At which SSC meeting were the ELAC recommendations presented? Ensure that the SSC Agenda and SSC Minutes list the receipt of the ELAC Recommendations	11/15/2023
6. What was SSC's response to ELAC recommendations?	After a short discussion explaining the benefits of the above recommendations to EL (and all students), the SSC voted to approve the recommendations.

School Plan for Student Achievement Tincher Elementary 2023-2024

Assurances & Approval

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is meant to consolidate all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application, pursuant to California Education Code, Section 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The SPSA's purpose is to increase the overall effectiveness of the school program by crafting a site strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school. The School Site Council (SSC) is required to analyze data as part of a needs assessment, develop the SPSA, which approves programs and services in support of an annual budget to promote student achievement, pursuant to California Education Code, Sections 52853 and 52855. SSC's approach to the utilization of federal resources to support underserved, underachieving students by providing interventions that are aligned with the school and district goals and promote continuous improvement.

Assurances:

- 1. School Site Council received recommendations from ELAC at the following SSC meeting(s): 11/15/2023
- 2. The SSC approved the Home-School Compact on 10/11/2023
- 3. The SSC approved the Parent Involvement Guidelines on 10/11/2023
- 4. Our School held two Title I Annual Parent Meetings (input dates if a Title I school): 09/21/2023, 09/21/2023
- 5. SSC Participated in the Annual Evaluation of SPSA:11/15/2023
- 6. The SPSA was approved at the following SSC Meeting: 11/15/2023

LBUSD Board of Education Approval Date:

Signatures:

Principal:	Printed Name:	Date:
SSC Chair:	Printed Name:	Date:
ELAC Chair:	Printed Name:	Date:

Attendance Rate



N's are from the current year. Attendance during the 19-20 school year only includes dates through 3/13/2020, due to the COVID-19 emergency closure. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress. Subaroups under 20 students are not included.

Attendance Rate



Submit Feedba	ack					Abou	t this report	Lege	end	Attendance	Rates:
Chronic 4	Absence by At	tendance Ba	nds					Severely	Chronic	<80%	
	ata by Subgro		indo					Moderately		>=80% & <=	
	2022-2023	ap.						At Risk C	AND ADDRESS	>90% & <93	
inter i								Satisfa	of the local division of the	>=93% & <9 >=96%	6%
Category		# Students	Pe	rcent by	Category			Strong Atte	21-22 Chronic Rate	Chonic + At-Risk Rate	K8 Chronic Rate
All Students	822	822	3 12	18	26	42		14.2%	11.5%	32.6%	23.79
	Gr. TK	26	12 12	27	19	31		23.1%	40.0%	50.0%	49.4%
	Gr. K	84	4 29	19	25	24		32.1%	9.4%	51.2%	40.4%
	Gr. 01	80	3 16	25	20	36		18.8%	14.8%	43.8%	26.4%
	Gr. 02	88	16	22	27	44	1	6.8%	9.9%	28.4%	21.5%
	Gr. 03	91	2 8	22	30	38	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.9%	7.6%	31.9%	23.0%
Grade	Gr. 04	83	11	16	33	41		10.8%	14.9%	26.5%	18.29
	Gr. 05	72	4 8	17	21	50		12.5%	10.2%	29.2%	21.19
	Gr. 06	105	2 9	16	21	52		10.5%	9.4%	26.7%	18.4%
	Gr. 07	98	3 9	14	24	49		12.2%	4.5%	26.5%	19.8%
	Gr. 08	95	3 11	14	32	41		13.7%	14.0%	27.4%	20.9%
	African American	79	5 11	19	22	43		16.5%	5.1%	35.4%	31.9%
	American Indian	2	50			50		0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Asian	62	11	10	21	52		17.7%	13.0%	27.4%	15.9%
	Cambodian	37	8 1	4 11	24	43		21.6%	13.8%	32.4%	20.8%
Ethnicity	Filipino	20	15	20	45	20		15.0%	0.0%	35.0%	13.5%
	Hispanic	325	3 14	17	29	38		17.2%	15.8%	33.8%	25.9%
	Pacific Islander	7	43	14	14	29		42.9%	57.1%	57.1%	41.0%
	White	230	8	23	23	44		9.1%	9.5%	32.2%	15.2%
	Other	97	6 4	18	24	48		10.3%	6.4%	27.8%	19.1%
	Female	403	3 13	18	25	42		15.6%	12.1%	33.7%	23.3%
Gender	Male	418	2 10	19	27	42		12.7%	10.9%	31.3%	24.1%
	Nonbinary	1	100		1.1			100.0%		100.0%	100.0%

Submit Feedb	ack							About this report	Leger	nd	Attendance	Rates:
Chronic	Absence by Att	endance Ba	nds						Severely C	hronic	<80%	
	ata by Subgrou		iius						Moderately	Chronic	>=80% & <=9	90%
	2022-2023	чÞ							At Risk C	hronic	>90% & <939	%
mener	2022-2023								Satisfac	tory	>=93% & <96	3%
								<u></u>	Strong Atte	ndance	>=96%	
	Low SES	337	4	15	20	25	37	and the second se	18.1%	19.0%	37.7%	29.0%
	ELL	35		3 14	17	31	34		17.1%	13.3%	34.3%	30.4%
	RFEP	34		6	12 12	18	53		17.6%	17.1%	29.4%	16.4%
	EL + RFEP	69		4	13 14	25	43		17.4%	15.5%	31.9%	25.0%
Special	Special Ed.	99	6	15	21	29	28	1.1.4	21.2%	13.5%	42.4%	31.4%
Populations	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	98	6	15	21	30	28		21.4%	12.7%	42.9%	26.4%
	Homeless/Foster	45	9	11	22	27	31		20.0%	17.8%	42.2%	38.5%
	Foster	2					100		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.0%
	Homeless	43	9	12	23	23	33		20.9%	18.6%	44.2%	38.8%
	GATE/Excel	157			25 14	25	54		7.0%	2.2%	21.0%	11.4%

Percent of Students in the Moderately or Severely Chronic Categories



		12.4%	15.2%	12.8%
7.7%	6.9%	9.5%	9.1%	12.5%
4.8%	5.7%	21-22	22-23	23-24

N's are from the current year. Attendance during the 19-20 school year only includes dates through 3/13/2020, due to the COVID-19 emergency closure. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress. Subaroups under 20 students are not included.

Percent of Students in the Moderately or Severely Chronic Categories

Native American

Subgroup with fewer than 20 students.

Other N = 107



Percent of Students in the Moderately or Severely Chronic Categories



Homeless or Foster Youth

Subgroup with fewer than 20 students.

Homeless or Foster Youth

Subgroup with fewer than 20 students.



Tincher

Category		Tested		Percent	by Achie	evement Le	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Coho
			Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		529	31%	13	18	32	37	69%	1-		Ĭ1
All Students	529	All K-8	48%	26	22	27	25	52%	1	-	1-
		District	52%	29	23	26	22	48%	1-	-	11
		86	26%	6	20	27	48	74%	† 9	1	
	Gr. 03	All K-8	46%	27	20	21	33	54%	<u>†</u> 4	-	-
		District	52%	30	22	21	27	48%	1 4	1.00	1.15
		82	34%	22	. 12	21	45	66%	↓4		†1
	Gr. 04	All K-8	51%	33	18	19	31	49%	1 4	- main	11
		District	54%	35	19	19	27	46%	1	1	† 2
		71	32%	2	4 8	27	41	68%	1		↓2
	Gr. 05	All K-8	49%	28	20	28	24	51%	4-		14
		District	48%	27	21	28	24	52%	1		† 8
Grade		103	36%	11	25	32	32	64%	↓2	-	↓4
	Gr. 06	All K-8	51%	24	27	27	21	49%	1	million	17
		District	56%	29	27	27	17	44%	12	-	18
		96	29%	9	20	43	.28	71%	↓6		† 8
	Gr. 07	All K-8	45%	24	21	32	23	55%	↓7		<u>†</u> 7
	1.000	District	50%	27	23	32	18	50%	↓2	1000	† 5
		91	30%	11	19	41	30	70%	<u>†</u> 5	(install	15
	Gr. 08	All K-8	44%	20	24	35	20	56%	12	-	15
		District	53%	28	25	30	17	47%	↓1	-	↓4
		216	41%	19	22	31	27	59%	1	-	↓2
Ethnicity	Hispanic	All K-8	56%	31	25	27	17	44%	↓2	11000	11
		District	58%	33	25	26	16	42%	1-		11

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested		Percent	by Achie	vement Le	vel		2 yr	3 yr	% Coho
			Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		137	18%	6	6 12	32	50	82%	12		14
	White	All K-8	24%		11 13	28	48	76%	12	1000	<u>†</u> 3
		District	26%		1 15	30	44	74%	11		11
		60	22%		10 12	25		78%	† 3		15
	Other	All K-8	31%	13	18	25	44	69%	↓2	-	1
		District	33%	16	17	29	38	67%	<u>†</u> 1	1000	11
		56	50%	25	25	36	14	50%	18	in the second	17
	African American	All K-8	65%	41	24	22 1	3	35%	↓3	-	12
		District	67%	42	25	21 1	2 3	33%	4-	_	11
		38	13	%	13	42	12 - 24	87%	1 8	I water	† 6
	Asian	All K-8	26%	1	0 16	32	42	74%	13		13
		District	34%	17	18	30	36	66%	1-	1 million	11
thnicity		26	159	%	4 12	38	46	85%	† 15	(Intelligence	† 14
	Cambodian	All K-8	31%	15	6 16	38	32	69%	<u>†</u> 7	-	18
		District	39%	19	20	32	29	61%	<u>†</u> 1	1000	12
		15*	20%	5	20	27	53	80%	↓6		-
	Filipino	All K-8	33%	10	22	30	- 38	67%	↓2		↓2
		District	28%	1	1 17	31	41	72%	<u>†</u> 2	1000	12
		6*	50%	17	33	33	17	50%	†17	Lin	-
	Pacific Islander	All K-8	48%	24	24	35	17	52%	†10	-	13
		District	63%	31	32	28	9	38%	↓2	-	13
		1*		0%			100	100%			-
	American Indian	All K-8*		0%		50	-	50 100%	† 29		-
		District	41%	25	16	41	18	59%	<u>†</u> 18		12

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested			Percent by Acl	nievement l	Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Coho
			Not+Nearly N	let N	ot Met Near	y Met Me	t Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		250		26%	12 14	31	42	42. 74%		-	Ť1
	Female	All K-8	42	%	22 21	29	28	.58%	1-	-	<u>†</u> 1
		District	479	6	25 22	28	24	53%	1 -	in succession.	1-
		278	3	35%	14 21	33	32	65%	↓2	-	11
Gender	Male	All K-8	53%	3	0 23	25	22	47%	↓1		12
		District	56%	30	3 23	25	19	44%	<u>†1</u>		11
		1*	100%	100	-		0%		↓100		
	Nonbinary	District	40)%	13 27	38	22	60%	† 6	-	16
		All K-8*	100%	100			0%		↓50	-	↓100
		55	58%	29	29	29	13	42%	↓2	Heren	↓6
	EL + RFEP	All K-8	66%	39	27	26	8	34%	↓4		11
		District	64%	38	26	24	12	36%	↓1	-	11
		24	75%	42	33	17 8	5	25%	<u></u> †4	-	
	ELL	All K-8	86%	60	26	12 2	14	1%	<u>†</u> 3		<u>†</u> 1
		District	86%	60	25	11 3	14	4%	1 4		<u>†</u> 3
		31	450	%	19 26	39	16	55%	<u></u> ²	Tem	↓5
Special Populations	RFEP	All K-8	44	%	16 28	42	14	56%	↓4		↓2
		District	44	%	17 27	35	21	56%	1-		↓4
	1000	1*		0%			100	100%	-		1. 24
	Foster	All K-8	78%	44	33	15 7		22%	13		13
		District	75%	50	25	16 9		25%	↓3	-	14
		145		7%	1	6 26	<u>()</u>	67 93%			↓2
	GATE/Excel	All K-8		13%	2 11	30		5 7 87%	↓3		14
		District		14%	3 11	32		54 86%	1-		13

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested			t by Ach	ievement L	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+Nearly		early Met Not Met Nearly Met			Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		32	50%	19	31	31	19	50%	↓10	1.00	14
	Homeless	All K-8	57%	38	19	29	14	43%	↓2	-	11
		District	64%	40	24	24	12	36%	↓ 1	-	1
		33	48%	18	30	33	18	52%	↓10	and the second	14
	Homeless/Foster	All K-8	59%	39	20	28	13	41%	↓3	-	12
		District	65%	41	24	23	12	35%	1	-	11
		242	44%	21	23	31	24	56%	↓5	1	Į6
Special Populations	Low SES	All K-8	61%	35	26	26	14	39%	↓4	-	12
opulations		District	59%	34	25	25	16	41%	11	-	11
		53	72%	43	28	21 8	1000	28%	111	-	† 6
	Special Ed.	All K-8	82%	61	21	12 5	1	8%	1		<u>†1</u>
		District	84%	65	19	11 5	1	5%	11		<u>†1</u>
		52	71%	42	29	21 8		29%	112		<u>†</u> 6
	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	All K-8	78%	52	26	16 6		22%	12		<u>†1</u>
		District	79%	56	23	14 7	2	21%	12	1	12

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. *Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested		Percen	t by Achi	evement	Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Coho
			Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly	Met M	et Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		528	39%	16	23	26	-35	61%	14	-	1-
All Students	528	All K-8	58%	33	25	21	21	42%	13	and the second s	12
		District	66%	40	26	19	16	34%	<u>†</u> 1	-	15
		85	18	3%	4 14	36		46 82%	†10		e.,
	Gr. 03	All K-8	46%	24	22	28	26	54%	12	-	-
		District	53%	30	23	26	21	47%	1 4	-	-
		82	37%	13	23	34	29	63%	1 8	The lat	15
	Gr. 04	All K-8	53%	25	28	26	.21	47%	17	and the second s	15
	1.	District	59%	30	30	22	18	41%	13	-	↓2
		71	41%	8	32	25	-34	59%	† 6	winds.	<u>†</u> 3
	Gr. 05	All K-8	62%	37	25	17	21	38%	14	-	15
		District	65%	37	28	18	17	35%	1-	-	13
Grade		103	35%	13	22	27	38	65%	<u>†</u> 12	and the second s	† 9
	Gr. 06	All K-8	58%	29	29	20	22	42%	† 5	-	<u>†</u> 3
		District	67%	39	27	18	15	33%	1	-	11
		96	52%	26	26	16	32	48%	10	-	↓2
	Gr. 07	All K-8	63%	38	25	17	20	37%	↓2	-	1-
	1.000	District	68%	42	26	18	15	32%	11	-	1-
		91	49%	29	21	21	30	51%	↓2	-	17
	Gr. 08	All K-8	63%	40	24	19	18	37%	1-	-	12
		District	72%	49	23	14 1	4	28%	↓2		13
		215	50%	21	29	27	23	50%	14	THE OWNER WATER	<u>†</u> 2
Ethnicity	Hispanic	All K-8	66%	39	28	19	14	34%	12	-	1-
		District	72%	45	27	17	11	28%	12		15

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested		Pe		2 yr	3 yr	% Coho			
			Not+Nearly M	let Not	Met Nearly	Met Me	t Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		137		24%	7 17	26	50	76%	13		13
	White	All K-8	4	33%	11 21	26	-41	67%	12	1000	14
		District	38%		17 21	27	35	62%	13	In succession	16
		60		22%	8 13	28	50	78%	† 8		e
	Other	All K-8	39	9%	19 19	24	37	61%	12	-	15
	-	District	459	% 2	3 22	24	31	55%	1-	-	15
		56	64%	34	30	29	7	36%	↓1		16
	African American	All K-8	78%	51	27	16 6		22%	1		15
		District	82%	57	25	12 6	1	8%	1		14
		38		18%	5 13	26	55	82%	12	in the second second	<u>†</u> 10
	Asian	All K-8	3	33%	12 21	27	40	67%	† 10	1000	<u>†</u> 3
		District	49%	25	24	22	29	51%	1	-	↓6
Ethnicity		26	-	19%	8 12	35	4	16 81%	121	Indi	† 14
	Cambodian	All K-8	39	9%	19 20	28	34	61%	†10	-	-
		District	55%	28	27	23	23	45%	↓2	-	15
		15*		27%	13 13	13	60	73%	12		-
	Filipino	All K-8	3	7%	17 20	22	42	63%	<u>†</u> 4	1000	<u>†</u> 1
		District	44	% 19	25	24	33	56%	1-	-	15
		6*	50%		50	17	33	50%	-	(in sec.	-
	Pacific Islander	All K-8	65%	39	26	24	11	35%	† 6	-	13
		District	76%	46	30	17 8		24%	4-		16
		1*	100%	100			0%		↓100		-
	American Indian	All K-8*	50%		50	1	50	50%	-		150
		District	73%	41	31	14 14		27%	11	1	18

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested		Percen	2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor				
			Not+Nearly Me	t Not Met	Nearly Met Met		et Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		250	40	% 18	22	27	33	60%	† 5	1000	1.1
	Female	All K-8	58%	33	25	21	21	42%	13	-	ţ-
		District	67%	41	26	18	74	33%	11	-	15
	Male	277	38	% 14	24	26	37	62%	† 3		1-
Gender		All K-8	58%	32	25	21	21	42%	12	-	13
	1.	District	64%	39	25	19	17	36%	12	-	15
		1*	100%	100			0%		-		-
	Nonbinary	District	62%	42	20	22	16	38%	14	-	13
		All K-8*	100%	100			0%		125		-
		54	70%	41	30	20	9	30%		-	12
	EL + RFEP	All K-8	76%	48	28	16 8	1	24%	↓1		11
		District	77%	52	26	14 8	2	23%			15
		23	74%	39	35	22	4	26%	112		-
	ELL	All K-8	91%	66	25	8 1	9%		12		12
		District	90%	68	22	8 2	10%	6	13		1-
		31	68%	42	26	19	13	32%	15	in the second	111
Special Populations	RFEP	All K-8	59%	28	31	25	-16	41%	1	-	1-
		District	66%	36	30	20	14	34%	1		19
		1*	100%	100			0%		-		-
	Foster	All K-8	89%	59	30	74	119	6	14		-
		District	85%	62	23	13 2	15	%	12		12
		145		8%	16	18	74	92%	6 <u>1</u> 1		11
	GATE/Excel	All K-8	-	20%	4 15	27	54	80%	11		14
				26%	8 18	28	47	74%	12	-	19

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested			Percent by Achievement Level								
		Not+Near			Not Met		Nearly Met Met E		Exceeded Met+Exceeded		Chg	Chg	Chg
	1	31	52%		29	23		35	13	48%	<u></u> *8	-	† 7
	Homeless	All K-8	70%	43		27	21	9	30%	Ų.	<u>†</u> 2		-
		District	78%	52		26	14	8	22%	1	1-		15
		32	53%		31	22		34	13	47%	18	-	<u>†</u> 7
	Homeless/Foster	All K-8	72%	44		27	20	8	28%		<u></u> ¹²	-	-
		District	79%	53		25	14	7	21%		<u>†</u> 1		↓4
		242	51%		22	29	24	4	25	49%	<u>†</u> 1	-	
Special Populations	Low SES	All K-8	71%	43		28	18	11	29%	1. C	1-	-	↓2
		District	73%	46		27	17	11	27%		12	_	↓5
		53	77%	51		26	17	6	23%		↓2		17
	Special Ed.	All K-8	85%	65		20	11		15%	-	11		13
		District	88%	72		16	8 5		12%		<u>†</u> 2		1
		52	77%	50		27	17	6	23%		↓2		17
	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	All K-8	82%	58		24	14	5	18%		1-		↓4
		District	84%	64	-	20	10 6	8	16%		12		12

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. *Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested			2 yr	3 yr	% Coho					
			Not+Nearly Met		Not Met	Nearly Met	Nearly Met Met Exceed		Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
		162	64%	10	53	21		15	36%	1		-
All Students	162	All K-8	70%	16	53	19	1	1	30%	1	-	100
		District	74%	17	57	18	8	·	26%	1	-	
		71	62%	6 8	54	18		20	38%	19	100	-
	Gr. 05	All K-8	69%	17	53	18	18	3	31%	<u>†</u> 3	-	-
Grade		District	70%	16	54	20	1	0	30%	1	Harmer	
Grade		91	65%	12	53	23		12	35%	<u>†</u> 3	-	-
	Gr. 08	All K-8	69%	16	53	22		9	31%	1	-	-
		District	77%	21	56	16	7		23%	%		-
		76	78%	16	62	16	7	13	22%	† 6	-	-
	Hispanic	All K-8	79% 19		59	17	5	2	21%	1-	-	-
		District	81% 19		62	15	4	4 19%		1	-	3
	White	38		39%	3	9	37	24	61%	† 6	1.000	-
		All K-8		43%	4 3	18 2	9	28	57%	1 8	in the second se	
		District	5	1%	6 45	2	9	20	49%	1	The state	-
		20	85% 1	5	70	15		15	5%	↓4	-	
Ethnicity	African American	All K-8	85%	27	57	12		15	5%	↓-	_	-
		District	86%	29	57	12 2	1	14	%	1	-	1.1
		15*		47%	7 4	0 13		40	53%	↓20	1 Bin	
	Other	District	56	i% 1	1 46	2	5	18	44%	1-	Firms	1
		All K-8		47%	8 4	0 24	24 28		29 53%			
		6*	5	50%	50		5	Ó	50%	† 17	1 million	1.05
	Asian	District	57	% 6	50	2	8	15	43%	12	(in the second	-
		All K-8	5	50%	4 46	2	8	22	50%	18	1 Same	÷

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested	Percent by Achievement Level									3 yr	% Coho
			Not+Nearly Met		Not Met Nearly		y Met Met Exceeded		Met+Exceeded		Chg	Chg	Chg
	Filipino	5*		20%	0% 20		40	-40		80%	<u>†</u> 20	1	-
		District	54%	5	49		29	18	46%		12	Distant	1.5
		All K-8	55%	13	42		29	16	45%		↓7	1000	-
	Cambodian	4*	75%		75	2	5	1.1.1.	25%		† 5	_	÷
		District	65%	9	56	2	5	10	35%		1-	No.	
theisity		All K-8	56%	8	47		31	14	44%		† 15	1.00	1.15
Ethnicity	American Indian	1*		0%				100		100%	-		
		District	73%		73		.7		27%		-	-	е.
		All K-8*		0%				100		100%			1.12
	Pacific Islander	1*	100%	100	C			0%					25
		All K-8*	82% 12		71	18		1	8%		12	-	
		District	88% 20		69	10 2		12	%		↓4	_	1
	Female	74	72%	14	58	18	11		28%		↓10		-
		All K-8	70%	14	56	20	10)	30%		1		1
		District	75%	15	60	18	7		25%		1	iller and	-
Gender		88	57%	8	49	2	4	19	43%		† 6	and the	-
	Male	All K-8	70%	19	51	19	12		30%		12	-	-
		District	74%	19	55	18	8		26%		11	ini-	1.1
	Nonbinary	District	68%	18	50	14	18		32%		11	1.00	-
	EL + RFEP	18*	94% 28		67	6		6%			↓12		
		All K-8	84% 23	E .	61	14	2	16	6%		↓1	-	1.1
Special Populations		District	84% 21		63	13	3	10	6%		1	-	1
	ELL	6*	100%	67	33	3		0%			-	1	-
	LLL	District	98 <mark>% 40</mark>		58	2		2%			1-		1

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested			Percent	by Achieveme	nt Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Coho
			Not+N	learly Met	Not Met	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg		
	ELL	All K-8	97 <mark>%</mark>	42	54	3	3%		12		-
		12*	92% 8	line and the	83	8	8%		13	-	1.5
	RFEP	All K-8	74%	6 8	66	22	4 2	26%	1		
		District	75%	10	66	20	5 2	25%	† 3	-	
	Foster	1*	100%	-	100		0%		-		- 14
		All K-8*	100%	43	57		0%		13		
		District	88%	31	58	8 4	12%	σ	13		2.00
	GATE/Excel	46		24%	2	22 3	35 41	76%	18		-
		All K-8		36%	2 :	34 3	3 31	64%	↓1	-	1.1
		District		43%	3 4'	1 3	34 23	57%	↓2	-	
	Homeless	13*	85%	23	62	15	159	%	† 15		
Special		All K-8	83%	23	60	11 7	17	%	12		
opulations		District	86%	24	62	10 3	14%	6	↓1	_	
	Homeless/Foster	14*	86%	21	64	14	149	%	14	_	
		All K-8	84%	24	60	10 6	16	%	1		-
		District	87%	25	62	10 3	13%	6	1	-	-
		71	82%	17	65	7 11	18	%	↓1		-
	Low SES	All K-8	82%	22	60	14 5	18	%	↓1		-
		District	81%	20	61	15	4 19	9%	12	-	-
	Special Ed.	15*	93%	33	60	7	7%		17		
		All K-8	95% <mark>4</mark>	49	46	2 2	5%		↓2		
		District	93%	47	46	52	7%		11		1.00
	Spec Ed.	15*	93%	33	60	7	7%		17		-
	Speech/RSP	Áll K-8	94%	39	55	33	6%		↓3	1.000	1.1.2

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

*Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.

Tincher

Category		Tested			Percent	Percent by Achievement Level						% Cohort
			Not	+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
	Spec Ed. Speech/R	District	90%	37	53	7 3		10%		1		-

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. *Not currently a significant subgroup. Interpret subgroups with small N with caution. 20+ required for accountability purposes.
	Category			Average Scale Score Change from Prior Year to This Year	Percent of Students Change in Scale (Comparing prior year's scale score to this year)
			N		Growth Target Declined Below Target Above Target Accelerated*
1			431	33	30 15 19 35
	All Students	431	All K-8	27	34 16 18 32
			District	27	34 15 16 34
ĺ			78	50	23 21 31 26
		Gr. 04 (Minimum Growth Target: 44)	All K-8	42	26 24 27 23
			District	48	22 24 27 27
			69	36	28 26 17 29
		Gr. 05 (Minimum Growth Target: 35)	All K-8	41	26 22 20 32
			District	49	22 20 22 36
			99	27	32 15 21 31
	Grade	Gr. 06 (Minimum Growth Target: 27)	All K-8	13	42 16 16 26
1			District	11	43 16 16 25
			96	42	24 11 21 44
		Gr. 07 (Minimum Growth Target: 25)	All K-8	35	31 12 18 39
			District	30	32 14 16 38
			89	7	44 6 8 43
		Gr. 08 (Minimum Growth Target: 14)	All K-8	8	42 9 10 39
			District	8	45 8 8 38
			176	29	33 14 19 35
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	All K-8	24	36 16 17 31
			District	26	35 15 16 34

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

Category	White African American Other Asian		Average Scale Score Change from Prior Year to This Year	Percent of (Comparing prio	r year's	scale	score	Scale to this yea	ar)
		N		Declined Below Tar	Growtl			Accelerate	ed*
		114	41		28	16	21	35	
	White	All K-8	31		31	20	17	32	
		District	29		33	16	16	35	
		48	21		35	10 1	5	40	
	African American	All K-8	22		35	18	19	29	
		District	25		36	16	15	33	
		44	23		36	20	20	23	
	Other	All K-8	34		30	15	18	36	
		District	30		32	16	17	35	
		31	45		10	26		29	35
Ethnicity	Asian	All K-8	41		22	18	27	3	3
		District	33		30	16	16	38	
		22	62			23		32	45
	Cambodian	All K-8	41		21	21	2	5 3	2
		District	34		30	16	17	37	
		12^	1 <u>.</u> .		25	17		58	
	Filipino	All K-8	33		33	9	25	33	1
		District	31		32	14	16	37	
		5^	-		40	20		-40	
	Pacific Islander	All K-8	57		24	13	18	45	
		District	26		32	17	18	33	

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

	Category		S	verage Scale core Change rom Prior Year to This Year	Percent (Comparing pi	of Stude rior year'	nts Cha s scale	ange ir score	n Scale to this year)	
							th Targ			
			N		Declined Below T	arget A	bove T	arget	Accelerated*	
			1^						100	
	Ethnicity	American Indian	All K-8 [^]	96					100	
			District	41		26	18	11	45	
			201	37		29	12	21	(38)	
		Female	All K-8	31		32	16	18	34	
			District	28		34	15	16	-34	
			229	29		31	17	18	33	
ELA	ender	Male	All K-8	24		35	17	18	30	
			District	26		35	15	16	34	
		Nonbinary	1^	1	100		0			
	-		All K-8 [^]	-12	100		0			
			District	28		33	15	8	-44	
			42	23		33	12	17	38	
		EL + RFEP	All K-8	23		35	15	18	32	
			District	25		36	13	15	36	
			15^			20	20	7	53	
	Special Populations	ELL	All K-8	29		31	18	20	31	
			District	34		31	16	18	35	
			27	11		41	7	22	30	
		RFEP	All K-8	17		39	12	15	33	
			District	18		39	12	14	36	

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

Category		S	verage Scale core Change rom Prior Year to This Year	Percent of Stu (Comparing prior ye	dents Chang ar's scale s	ge in Scale core to this year)
					owth Target	
		N		Declined Below Target	Above Tar	get Accelerated*
		1^	1.00 T			100
	Foster	All K-8	-4	57	10 14	19
		District	23	40	12 2	20 29
		126	28	3	0 15	21 33
	GATE/Excel	All K-8	22	35	17	19 29
		District	27	34	15	18 33
		27	16	41	15	22 22
	Homeless	All K-8	28	3	22	18 29
		District	28	33	16	17 34
		28	16	39	14	21 25
: Special Populations	Homeless/Foster	All K-8	26	34	21	18 28
		District	28	34	16	17 33
		202	24	32	2 15	19 34
	Low SES	All K-8	23	35	17	18 30
		District	26	35	15	16 34
		41	50	2	9 10 <mark>2</mark>	59
	Special Ed.	All K-8	25	36	17	13 34
		District	27	35	15	15 35
		41	50	2	9 10 <mark>2</mark>	59
	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	All K-8	23	37	16 1	1 36
		District	28	35	15	16 34

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

Category			Average Scale Score Change from Prior Year to This Year	Percent of (Comparing prio	r year's	scale s	score	Scale to this ye	ear)
				Declined Below Tar	Growth			Accelera	ted*
	Students 429 Gr. 04 (Minimum Growth Target: 42) Gr. 05 (Minimum Growth Target: 39)	N		Decimed Delow Tar					
Sector Sector	-	429	22		34	18	22	26	
All Students	429	All K-8	23		32	19	20	29	
		District	16		38	19	18	24	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	78	27		35	26		24 1	5
	Gr. 04 (Minimum Growth Target: 42)	All K-8	43		19	29	6	31	20
		District	44		18	29		31	21
		68	49		12	34	4	34	21
	Gr. 05 (Minimum Growth Target: 39)	All K-8	28		27	28		24	21
		District	32		28	27		26	19
		99	29		28	16	21	34	
Grade	Gr. 06 (Minimum Growth Target: 24)	All K-8	26		30	16	19	35	
		District	17		38	16	16	31	
		95	7		43	14 1	1	33	
	Gr. 07 (Minimum Growth Target: 17)	All K-8	12		42	11 10		37	
		District	11		43	11 10)	36	
		89	4		46	8 2	24	22	
	Gr. 08 (Minimum Growth Target: 19)	All K-8	9		43	11 1	5	31	
		District	5		46	11 1	2	31	
		175	22		33	17	24	26	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	All K-8	23		31	19	19	31	
No. of Contract of Contract		District	14		39	19	18	24	

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

Category			Average Scale Score Change from Prior Year to This Year	Percent of (Comparing prior	year's	scale s	score to	scale o this year)	
		N		Declined Below Targ	Growth et Abc			ccelerated*	
1		114	26		30	19	23	28	
	White	All K-8	22		31	19	23	28	-
		District	19		34	22	19	24	
		48	8	4	3	19	15	19	
	African American	All K-8	16		38	18	18	26	
		District	14		40	19	17	24	
		44	12		39	20	23	18	
	Other	All K-8	21		37	21	16	26	
		District	22		34	22	19	26	
		31	47		16	19	19	45	
Ethnicity	Asian	All K-8	37		25	16	26	33	
		District	19		36	19	20	26	
		22	27		32	9	41	18	
Ethnicity	Cambodian	All K-8	27		35	17	27	21	
		District	19		36	19	20	25	
		11^		55		18	18	9	
	Filipino	All K-8	-30		31	14	30	26.	
		District	22		36	17	22	26	
		5^	-		20	20	20	40	
	Pacific Islander	All K-8	27		24	21	24	32	
		District	14		42	17	18	24	

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

	Category			Average Scale Score Change from Prior Year to This Year	(Com	Percent paring p	of Stude rior year'	nts Cha s scale	nge in score t	Scale to this year	n
					T a statio			th Targ			2
_			N		Declined	Below T	arget A	bove Ta	arget A	Accelerated	1*
		1	1^			100		0			
	Ethnicity	American Indian	All K-8 [^]	-48		100		0		_	
			District	17			39	16	13	32	
			201	19			39	16	20	25	
		Female	All K-8	23			33	17	21	-29	
			District	15			38	19	19	24	
			227	25			29	20	24	27	
	Gender	Male	All K-8	23			32	20	18	30	1
			District	16			38	20	18	25	
			1^	-						100	0
Mat	C10	Nonbinary	All K-8 [^]	9			_			100	0
h			District	5			38	20	18	25	
			42	-2			55	14	14 1	7	
		EL + RFEP	All K-8	19		11	35	17	18	-31	
			District	12			41	18	17	-24	
			15^	· · · · ·			47	20	20	13	
	Special Populations	ELL	All K-8	18			32	22	20	25	
			District	23			35	19	19	26	
			27	-1			59	11	11 19		
		RFEP	All K-8	21			37	12	16	35	
			District	4			46	17	15	23	

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

Category			Average Scale Score Change from Prior Year to This Year	Percent of Students Change in Scale (Comparing prior year's scale score to this year)						
		10		Distant Dates		th Targe				
		N		Declined Below	arget A	bove la	rget A	ccelerated		
		1^	-	100		0				
	Foster	All K-8	31		29	10	38	-24		
		District	21		39	16	19	25	-	
		124	27		31	16	24	29		
	GATE/Excel	All K-8	27		30	18	22	30		
		District	19		35	21	20	25		
		27	28		30	11	33	-26		
	Homeless	All K-8	24		29	21	21	29		
		District	13		40	19	17	24		
		28	24		32	11	32	25		
I t Special Populations	Homeless/Foster	All K-8	24	29 20	22	29				
		District	14		40	19	17	24		
		201	19		36	19	21	24		
	Low SES	All K-8	21		34	19	19	29		
		District	14		39	19	18	24		
		41	19		34	22	17	27		
	Special Ed.	All K-8	18		34	21	14	30		
		District	20		36	19	16	29		
		41	19		34	22	17	27		
	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	All K-8	18		34	21	14	31		
		District	19		36	19	17	28		

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results. ^Not currently a significant subgroup. *Accelerated Growth = At least double the minimum growth target for the grade level.

Percent of Students with Achievement Level of Met or Exceeded in SBAC





Filipino

Subgroup with fewer than 20 students.

Asian



Hispanic N = 216



Pacific Islander

Subgroup with fewer than 20 students.



Percent of Students with Achievement Level of Met or Exceeded in SBAC



Subgroup with fewer than 20 students.



N's are from the current year. SBAC was not administered durring the 19-20 school year, due to the COVID-19 emergency closure. Subgroups under 20 students are not included.

Percent of Students with Achievement Level of Met or Exceeded in SBAC



SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

School	Tested		Perc	ent by Achi	evement	Level			2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+Nearly Met	Not M	et Nearly	Met Me	t Excee	ded Met+Ex	ceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All Elementary	12,227	52%	31	21	23	25	48%		1		†6
Addams	380	64%	42	22	25	11	36%		1		<u>†10</u>
Alvarado	175	43%	19	24	29		57	%	<u>†</u> 7	100	<u>†</u> 11
Barton	215	77%	59	18	17 7		23%		1-	_	
Birney	241	52%	34	18	23	25	48%	a	11	1000	↑4
Bixby	234	35%	1	5 20	29		36 6	5%	17	-	<u>†13</u>
Bryant	159	57%	32	25	24	19	43%		13	-	†3
Burbank	281	63%	36	27	22	16	37%		12	-	† 9
Burcham	167	28%	6	16 13	24		48	72%	12		<u>†6</u>
Carver	236	29%	ó	10 19	26		45	71%	1	- 000	<u>↑</u> 7
Chavez	165	68%	50	18	23	8	32%		†2		↑2
Cleveland	217	23	5%	6 16	30	the second	47	77%	1-		↑2
Dooley	384	59%	33	26	23	19	41%		<u>†6</u>	-	↑6
Edison	199	65%	41	24	25	10	35%		<u>†</u> 6	-	<u>†17</u>
Emerson	158	29%	6	12 17	20	ŧ	it	71%	1-	-	12
Fremont	203	20	0%	9 11	29		52	80%	<u>†</u> 7	100	<u>†12</u>
Gant	277	21	1%	9 12	25	-	55	79%	14		↑1
Garfield	285	56%	38	18	25	19	44%		<u>†</u> 4	-	<u>†</u> 13
Gompers	148	41%	100	29 11	30	3	0 59	9%	<u>†</u> 7	Trimes.	<u>†12</u>
Grant	456	63%	42	21	23	34	37%		1-	-	<u>†</u> 2
Harte	406	64%	41	23	21	15	36%		<u>†</u> 4		<u>†</u> 7
Henry	385	34%	1	2 22	25	- 4	¥1 6	66%	1-	1.000	<u>†</u> 4
Herrera	337	60%	36	23	23	-17-	40%		12	-	↑6
Holmes	172	42%	21	22	26	31	58	%	<u>†</u> 6	and the	19

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

School	Tested		Percent	by Achi	evement	Leve	el		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly	Met. Me	et E	xceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
Hudson	225	63%	45	18	23	-14		37%	<u>†</u> 5	-	†3
Kettering	131	30%	· 10	20	25		45	70%	18		<u>†</u> 1
King	306	67%	48	19	24	9		33%	1		<u>†1</u>
Lafayette	398	65%	37	28	21	14		35%	12	-	<u>†</u> 3
Lincoln	404	60%	33	26	22	19	(40%	1	-	<u>†6</u>
Longfellow	468	39%	17	22	22		- 39	61%	<u>†</u> 4	1000	¢1
Los Cerritos	241	27%	6 1	4 14	27	-	45	73%	1	1000	↑4
Lowell	240	259	%	1 14	22		53	75%	<u>†</u> 7	1000	↑4
Macarthur	149	40%	15	26	20		40	60%	<u>†1</u>	1000	13
Madison	152	43%	23	20	23		34	57%	↓4	-	11
Mann	164	65%	39	26	14	21		35%	<u>†1</u>	-	1.2-1
McKinley	239	63%	43	20	23	14		37%	1-	-	<u>†</u> 13
Naples	140		14%	49	21	-	66	86%	1		4
Oropeza	272	68%	46	22	13 1	19		32%	1		<u>†</u> 5
Prisk	273	22	2%	7 15	26		52	78%	12		¢1
Riley	196	55%	34	20	22	2	23	45%	12	-	<u>†8</u>
Roosevelt	451	70%	42	28	20	10		30%	<u>†1</u>	_	↑7
Signal Hill	319	48%	26	22	24		28	52%	1-	-	†16
Smith	352	65%	39	26	19	16		35%	12	-	î-
Stevenson	247	68%	46	22	15	17		32%	↓4	1	1
Twain	190	44%	23	21	31		25	56%	<u>†4</u>	-	† 7
Webster	225	71%	47	24	17	12		29%	15	-	13
Whittier	292	77%	57	21	15 7		2	3%	† 5	-	<u>†</u> 4
Willard	273	68%	41	27	18	14		32%	<u>†</u> 4		13

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC Math 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percer	nt by Ach	ievement Le	vel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+Nearly Me	t Not Met	Nearly	y Met. Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All Elementary	12,299	60%	33	27	22	18	40%	†2	-	12
Addams	378	70%	42	28	21 9		30%	12		15
Alvarado	175	56%	25	31	26	18	44%	11	-	1
Barton	216	83%	60	24	11 6	179	%	†2		12
Birney	245	60%	34	25	25	16	40%	12	-	16
Bixby	234	48%	26	22	28	24	52%	<u>†12</u>	and the second s	<u>†6</u>
Bryant	161	62%	39	23	27	11	38%	12	-	15
Burbank	284	70%	46	24	23 7		30%	12	_	† 6
Burcham	167	37	% 12	25	26	:37	63%	13	-	12
Carver	236	3	2% 14	18	31	37	68%	<u>†5</u>	in section in	12
Chavez	166	85%	55	30	10 5	159	6	1-		15
Cleveland	217	3	2% 7	25	33	35	68%	12	- mail	15
Dooley	385	68%	35	34	21 10	0	32%	1	_	1-
Edison	200	76%	46	31	17 7	2	4%	13		<u>†3</u>
Emerson	158	36	% 15	21	37	27	64%	111	T-max	19
Fremont	203	3	3% 11	22	30	37	67%	1		15
Gant	277		24%	6 18	33	43	76%	1	-	1
Garfield	286	70%	37	33	17 13		30%	1-	_	13
Gompers	149	52%	24	28	26	-21-	48%	12	-	1
Grant	456	73%	40	33	19 8	2	27%	1-	_	15
Harte	408	74%	48	27	14 11	2	6%	1-		16
Henry	385	43%	5 15	28	26	31	57%	13	-	19
Herrera	342	72%	40	32	20 8	:	28%	14		13
Holmes	172	54%	23	31	23	23	46%	12		-

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC Math 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Per	cent by Ach	ievement L	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly M	et Not I	Met Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
Hudson	225	73%	49	24	17 10		27%	<u>†6</u>		19
Kettering	131	3	7% 1	1 25	34	29	63%	11	with the	19
King	308	73%	40	33	20 7		27%	<u>†6</u>	-	
Lafayette	403	65%	36	29	25	10	35%	<u>†</u> 6	-	1-
Lincoln	411	67%	35	32	21	12	33%	1-	-	4-
Longfellow	468	50%	20	30	21	29	50%	11	Tool State	12
Los Cerritos	241	40	% 17	7 24	26	34	60%	16		18
Lowell	240	1.3	33%	14 19	23	- 44	67%	<u>†</u> 6	11 intel	19
Macarthur	149	50%	17	33	28	23	50%	13	-	10
Madison	153	47%	22	25	28	25	53%	14	10000	13
Mann	163	62%	34	28	25	13	38%	<u>†9</u>	-	<u>†</u> 5
McKinley	242	73%	42	31	20 7		27%	<u>†5</u>		↑2
Naples	140		19%	6 13	25	56	81%	†2		18
Oropeza	276	77%	49	29	12 11	2	23%	<u>†</u> 4		†3
Prisk	274		29%	11 18	28	-43	71%	13		1
Riley	197	65%	36	30	21	14	35%	4	-	17
Roosevelt	456	70%	43	27	19 1	1	30%	†4		<u>†</u> 3
Signal Hill	320	59%	29	30	22	19	41%	11	-	1.15
Smith	357	75%	44	31	16 9	1	25%	14	-	15
Stevenson	252	73%	46	27	16 11		27%	† 5		<u>†3</u>
Twain	193	54%	26	27	26	20	46%	†2	-	<u></u> †2
Webster	224	74%	47	27	18 8		26%	1		15
Whittier	295	81%	61	20	12 7	19	9%	†4		<u>†1</u>
Willard	281	73%	41	32	18 9		27%	†2		17

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested			Percer	nt by Achieve	ment L	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly M	et	Not Met	Nearly Me	t Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All Elementary	4,183	70%	16	54		20 1	0	30%	1-	-	~
Addams	119	76%	18	58		20 4		24%	16		-
Alvarado	61	66%	8	57		25	10	34%	1-		1
Barton	64	88% 27		61	8	5	13	%	14		-
Birney	79	70%	20	49	9	22	9	30%	† 6	-	-
Bixby	69	61%	4	57		30	9	39%	13	-	1.100
Bryant	55	65%	15	51	P	25	9	35%	<u>†17</u>	1.00	7
Burbank	88	75%	15	60	1	6 9		25%	13	_	-
Burcham	58	55%	9	4	7	21	24	45%	14	L	~
Carver	77	47%	6	3 4	14	32	21	53%	14	THEFT	-
Chavez	67	85% 2	в	57	10	4	15	5%	†2		-
Cleveland	76	51%		5 4	6	36	13	49%	17	-	-
Dooley	137	85% 18		68	12	2	15	5%	16	_	-
Edison	74	82% 2	27	55	1	5 3	1:	8%	11		
Emerson	49	459	10	8	37	37	18	55%	14	mill	-
Fremont	73	42	%	3	40	34	23	58%	19	T	-
Gant	86	38	3%	3	35	31	30	62%	1	1 100	-
Garfield	88	78% 14	ł.	65	1	5 7	2	22%	12	1	-
Gompers	52	54%		15	38	37	10	46%	121		-
Grant	158	85% 20		65	10	5	15	5%	14		-
Harte	149	83% 22	2	60	1:	4	1	7%	13		~
Henry	135	59%	9	50	D C	27	15	41%	1	-	1.15
Herrera	130	68%	12	55		25	7	32%	15	-	
Holmes	57	86% 16		70	9	5	14	%	11		-

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percent	by Achieveme	nt Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
Hudson	78	86% 23	63	10 4	14	1%	<u>†8</u>		-
Kettering	44	41%	2 3	39	41 18	59%	12	-	~
King	111	77% 13	64	16	7	23%	15		
Lafayette	116	90% <mark>15</mark>	75	9	10	%	18		-
Lincoln	118	79% 13	66	18	3	21%	13		-
Longfellow	170	57%	5 52	20	23	43%	16	1000	-
Los Cerritos	80	45%	8 :	38 30	25	55%	4		1.000
Lowell	82	51%	2 49	29	20	49%	1	-	-
Macarthur	55	62%	4 58	18	20	38%	1		~
Madison	43	56%	12 44	4 26	19	44%	<u>†6</u>	-	h. Texa
Mann	60	80%	45	35 12 8	1 2	20%	†13		-
McKinley	85	75% 1	5 59	21	4	25%	† 6		1.2
Naples	43	35	% 2	33 30	35	65%	115		~
Oropeza	104	88% 33	56	10 2	12	%	111		-
Prisk	91	2	9% 1	27	43 2	9 71%	16		-
Riley	73	71%	16 55	21	8	29%	12	-	-
Roosevelt	149	84% 20	64	11 5	1	5%	15		-
Signal Hill	107	72%	16 56	19	9	28%	14	-	1.121
Smith	123	74%	25 49	20	7	26%	18		-
Stevenson	97	78%	.7 52	19	3	22%	13		~
Twain	71	61%	20 4	1 25	14	39%	16	1000	-
Webster	89	76% 11	65	19	4	24%	†10		1.15
Whittier	100	84% 3	5 49	12 4	1	6%	†3		1. m
Willard	93	87% 24	63	11 2	13	%	19		-

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

School	Tested		Percen	t by Achi	evement Le	vel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All Middle	12,090	54%	29	25	29	16	46%	1	-	13
Bancroft	829	45%	20	25	35	20	55%	12	THEFT	↑2
Franklin	1,035	64%	40	25	26	9	36%	15	1.000	1
Hamilton	762	70%	38	32	23 6	1	30%	19	1	19
Hoover	512	66%	35	31	25	9	34%	17	-	18
Hughes	1,238	51%	29	22	31	18	49%	12	In COLUMN	4
IVA	1		0%			100	100%	<u>†</u> 24	- mill	200
Jefferson	977	50%	26	23	33	18	50%	13	-	18
Keller	448	37%	11	25	41	22	63%	15	-	15
Lindbergh	398	70%	40	30	25 6		30%	12		1
Lindsey	720	68%	37	31	26	Б	32%	4		15
Marshall	907	42%	20	23	34	24	58%	18	and in case	17
Nelson	785	64%	35	28	25	11	36%	†2	-	13
Rogers	746	290	%	4 15	33	38	71%	1		12
Stanford	1,124	33%	. 15	19	36	31	67%	17	The state	1 -
Stephens	700	63%	34	29	27	10	37%	†10	-	<u>†5</u>
Washington	909	74%	43	31	20 6		26%	13		5

SBAC Math 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		P	ercent by Ac	hievemer	nt Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly M	let No	ot Met Near	ly Met	Met Exceede	ed Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All Middle	12,057	70%	44	25	16	14	30%	4-	_	12
Bancroft	828	62%	35	27	20	18	38%	12	-	11
Franklin	1,033	79%	54	26	11 9		21%	12		12
Hamilton	754	84%	59	26	11 5		16%	19		16
Hoover	510	85%	61	24	11 4	_	15%	4-	-	14
Hughes	1,222	63%	39	24	17	19	37%	1	-	13
IVA	1		0%			di di	00 100%	<u>†</u> 37		2-2
Jefferson	980	73%	48	25	17	10	27%	12	-	13
Keller	447	54%	24	29	25	21	46%	1	in the second second	13
Lindbergh	403	84%	52	32	9 7		16%	13		13
Lindsey	718	85%	63	22	10 5		15%	1	-	12
Marshall	902	56%	30	26	22	22	44%	13	-	11
Nelson	781	80%	55	25	12 8		20%	12		14
Rogers	742	469	6	23 22	23	31	54%	1	-	11
Stanford	1,119	48%	6 2	4 24	25	27	52%	14	-	<u>^1</u>
Stephens	698	78%	49	28	15	7	22%	17	-	<u>†6</u>
Washington	920	86%	59	27	10 4		14%	1-		15

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested			Percent	by Achieveme	ent Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly	Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All Middle	3,987	78%	22	57	16	6 2	2%	1	_	~
Bancroft	299	75%	17	58	16	9 2	25%	14	-	-
Franklin	331	85%	29	56	12 3	15	%	4-		-
Hamilton	239	88% 26	ł	62	11	129	6	17		-
Hoover	167	92% 30		62	8	8%	1	19	_	-
Hughes	416	69%	14	55	20	11	31%	12		-
IVA	1		0	%		100	100%	<u>†</u> 41		~
Jefferson	299	81% 1	7	64	15	4 19	9%	12		-
Keller	135	65%	7	59	24	11	35%	12	-	-
Lindbergh	137	88% 23	8	64	10 2	129	%	13		=
Lindsey	239	89% 22		67	10	119	6	1		-
Marshall	294	74%	20	54	21	4	26%	19	- min	
Nelson	276	93% 37	7	56	52	7%		1		-
Rogers	246	46	i%	9 3	38 3	3 21	54%	<u>†9</u>	and the second	1.121
Stanford	365	62%	13	48	25	13	38%	<u>†</u> 3	-	1.161
Stephens	250	86%	29	57	11 3	14	%	12		-
Washington	294	91% 32	2	59	63	9%	4	<u>†</u> 4		-

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

School	Tested			Percent	by Achi	evement Le	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly I	Viet	Not Met	Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All K-8	3,706	489	10	26	22	27	25	52%	41	1000	1-
Avalon	249	74%	44		30	19 7		26%	16	-	14
Cubberley	635		32%	17	16	29		68%	12	-	1
Muir	644	59%		33	26	25	16	41%	15	The second second	11
Newcomb	513		19%		6 13	31		50 81%	13		12
Powell	592	64%	3	7	27	26	10	36%	1	-	1-
Robinson	541	69%	40		28	22 8		31%	17	-	12
Tincher	529		31%	13	18	32	37	69%	1-	-	1-

The percentages in each Claim may not equal 100% due to rounding. Students without scores are not included in the graphical comparison of these results.

SBAC Math 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested			Percen	t by Ach	ievement	Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+Near	ly Met	Not Met	Nearly	y Met M	et Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All K-8	3,712	589	16	33	25	21	21	42%	<u>†</u> 3	-	12
Avalon	249	81%	58	3	22	16 3		19%	1-		1 6
Cubberley	638	4	45%	24	21	23	.32	55%	†2	-	17
Muir	648	66%		36	31	21	13	34%	13	-	<u>†</u> 2
Newcomb	512		26%	7	20	29	- 4	5 74%	1		<u>†</u> 2
Powell	592	79%	49		29	16 5		21%	11		15
Robinson	542	79%	49	8 C C.	30	13 8		21%	12		<u>†</u> 1
Tincher	528		39%	16	23	26	35	61%	<u>†</u> 4	-	1-

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested			Percent	t by Achievem	ent Le	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+N	learly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All K-8	1,211	709	% 16	53	19	11		30%	†1	_	-
Avalon	109	85%	30	55	10 5		15	%	12		~
Cubberley	198		55%	13 4	2 23		22	45%	<u>†6</u>	weild.	~
Muir	201	81%	22	59	16	3	1	9%	1		-
Newcomb	163		29%	1	28	43	-20	8 71%	†7		-
Powell	202	88%	24	64	10 2		120	%	15		-
Robinson	175	87%	17	70	12 1		13	%	15		
Tincher	162	64	4% 10	53	21		15	36%	11		-

SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

School	Tested		Percent	by Achi	evement Le	vel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All High	4,776	48%	27	21	28	24	52%	†2	Transit	19
Browning	58	78%	62	16	19 3		22%	120	-	129
Cabrillo	416	61%	33	28	28	11	39%	†1	The second	1
CAMS	162		1%		16	-	83 99%	<u>†</u> 1		<u>†</u> 2
EPHS	262	71%	43	29	24 5		29%	<u>†</u> 4	-	18
Jordan	422	71%	44	27	21 9		29%	12		17
Lakewood	603	56%	31	24	27	17	44%	↓4	Baner	11
McBride	165	31	% 9	22	40	2	69%	↓4	(internet)	1
Millikan	755	35%	6 16	18	34	32	65%	<u>†10</u>	Time	11
Polytechnic	906	48%	29	19	26	26	52%	<u>†</u> 4	Time and	17
Reid	53	98	77	21	2	2%	2	12		11
Renaissance	91	47%	21	26	29	24	53%	†1 9	11.0	123
Sato	120		4%	3	2 39		57 96%	1		↑2
Wilson	763	45%	23	21	31	24	55%	14		13

SBAC Math 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percent	t by Achie	evement L	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+Nearly M	et Not Met	Nearly	Met Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All High	4,737	75%	53	21	15 11	25%	2	†3	-	25
Browning	59	98 <mark>%</mark>	83	15 2		2%		15		133
Cabrillo	410	92%	69	23	72	8%		12		↓ 26
CAMS	162		6%	5	20	74	94%	14		10
EPHS	225	97 <mark>9</mark> *	85	12	3	3%		1	-	23
Jordan	420	93%	75	18	7	7%		1		128
Lakewood	603	85%	62	23	12 3	15%		12	-	22
McBride	168	65%	36	29	28	7 35	5%	13	(illusing)	131
Millikan	756	66%	35	30	21	13 34	1%	14	No.	130
Polytechnic	902	69%	54	15	17 13	319	%	12	10.00	19
Reid	53	100%	96	4		0%		-	-	16
Renaissance	92	86%	64	22	10 4	14%		<u>†8</u>	_	1 27
Sato	118		23%	6 17	25	-52	77%	1	1 mil	12
Wilson	769	75%	49	26	16 9	25%		<u>†5</u>		29

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

AII

School	Tested			Percent	by Achieve	ment L	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohor
		Not+Nea	rly Met	Not Met	Nearly Me	Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
All High	4,432	75%	14	62	1	96	25	%	†3	-	-
Browning	59	92% 2	29	63	8		8%		†1		
Cabrillo	375	87% 14		73	13		13%	N	14		
CAMS	163	1.00	21%		20	47	3	3 79%	1-		-
EPHS	88	95% 19		76	5		5%	-	18		1
Jordan	454	91% <mark>20</mark>	6- F	71	9		9%		†2		l len
Lakewood	635	85% 1	2	72	14	2	15%		4		1.1
McBride	152	69%	7	62	1	9 12	2 3	31%	†15	-	1
Millikan	657	72%	12	59		23 6	2	8%	12	-	-
Polytechnic	852	70%	14	56		23 8	3 3	80%	12	-	1.1-
Reid	60	98 <mark>% 4</mark>	13	55	2		2%		†2		-
Renaissance	88	85% 1	6	69	15		15%		-	4	-
Sato	93		20%		20	45	-3	4 80%	†19	The St.	-
Wilson	756	76%	14	62	2	0 4	24	%	<u>†11</u>		1.

SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percent	by Achievem	ent Le	vel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
District (998)	3	67%	33	33	33		33%	-		-

All

School	Tested		Percent	by Achievem	ent Leve	el	2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met E	xceeded Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
District (998)	3	67%	33	33	33	33%	-		-

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percent	by Achievem	ent Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
District (998)	1	100%	100		0%		-		-

SBAC ELA 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percent	by Achie	vement l	_evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly M	let Me	t Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
District	32,799	52%	29	23	26	22	48%	↑-		↓ 1

SBAC Math 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested		Percent	by Achievement Level		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearly Met	Not Met	Nearly Met Met Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
District	32,805	66%	40	26 19 16	34%	† 1	_	↓5

SBAC Science 2022-2023 :: School Comparison by Subgroup

All

School	Tested			Percent	by Achieveme	ent Le	evel		2 yr	3 yr	% Cohort
		Not+Nearl	y Met	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded	Met+Exceeded	Chg	Chg	Chg
District	13,813	74%	17	57	18	8		26%	† 1	-	-

SBAC ELA 2023

	Ove	Overall		Grade 6		Grade 7		de 8	Lowest Performing			
School Name	Met Exceeded	Pct Change	Met Exceeded	Pct Change	Met Exceeded	Pct Change	Met Exceeded	Pct Change	Ethnic Group	Met Exceeded	Change	
Avalon	26.1%	-5.6%	25.8%	8.7%	32.5%	-12.5%	21.1%	-0.2%	Hispanic	20.3%	-5.9%	
Bancroft	54.9%	1.7%	48.8%	-3.2%	60.2%	4.6%	55.3%	3.7%	African American	43.3%	-1.1%	
Cubberley	67.6%	2.3%	51.6%	-5.6%	62.8%	-13.1%	67.6%	15.3%	African American	45.2%	-7.3%	
Franklin	35.7%	-5.4%	34.1%	-7.6%	39.5%	2.8%	33.2%	-11.5%	African American	29.2%	-10.0%	
Hamilton	29.5%	- <mark>8.9%</mark>	32.8%	-10.7%	30.2%	-8.7%	25.4%	-7.4%	African American	17.8%	-12.1%	
Hoover	34.2%	-6.6%	41.2%	5.9%	27.0%	-15. 7%	34.5%	-9.5%	African American	26.4%	-7.2%	
Hughes	48.9%	-1.8%	43.3%	-8.2%	54.3%	4.2%	49.0%	-1.4%	African American	34.3%	-4.6%	
IVA	100.0%	24.4%					100.0%	21.4%				
Jefferson	50.5%	3.2%	43.8%	-0.3%	56.5%	8.9%	51.7%	1.5%	African American	36.5%	-0.9%	
Keller	63.2%	- <mark>5.4%</mark>	59.6%	-1.2%	69.3%	-3.6%	60.4%	-13.4%	Hispanic	59.9%	-3.1%	
Lindbergh	30.4%	-1.8%	24.0%	1.1%	29.3%	-11.2%	37.5%	4.0%	African American	23.3%	1.3%	
Lindsey	31.8%	-3.7%	30.8%	-3.1%	32.6%	-6.2%	32.1%	-1.7%	African American	17.0%	-7.4%	
Marshall	57.6%	-7.7%	51.5%	-12.8%	62.6%	- <mark>5.2%</mark>	58.6%	-5.1%	African American	40.8%	-6.6%	
Muir	41.3%	-4.7%	38.2%	-8.6%	55.3%	-8.2%	47.2%	-15.3%	African American	30.4%	0.4%	
Nelson	36.3%	2.3%	35.5%	2.5%	39.2%	2.1%	34.3%	2.7%	African American	13.2%	-5.1%	
Newcomb	81.1%	-2.6%	82.8%	-5.0%	81.8%	-4.0%	90.6%	2.2%	African American	75.0%	-0.8%	
Powell	36.0%	1.1%	27.3%	8.1%	35.5%	-1.6%	30.8%	-10.5%	Other	18.2%	-20.3%	
Robinson	31.4%	-7.2%	36.0%	7.1%	32.0%	-13.2%	37.1%	-11.3%	African American	22.8%	-5.9%	
Rogers	71.2%	-0.6%	67.5%	-1.8%	72.7%	-6.5%	73.2%	5.9%	African American	31.1%	2.2%	
Stanford	67.0%	7.0%	68.4%	16.6%	68.1%	- <mark>5.6%</mark>	64.5%	9.9%	African American	51.8%	11.4%	
Stephens	37.0%	10.4%	32.9%	0.2%	43.2%	15.7%	34.5%	14.4%	African American	26.6%	10.2%	
Tincher	68.8%	0.2%	64.1%	-2.2%	70.8%	- <mark>5.6%</mark>	70.3%	5.2%	African American	50.0%	-8.2%	
Washington	26.3%	-3.0%	24.0%	-4.3%	26.3%	-6.5%	28.7%	1.4%	African American	20.2%	-7.0%	

SBAC ELA 2023

	Difference	Highest Performing		ELL +	RFEP	Homeless	- Foster	Spec Ed: S	beech/RSP	Spec Ed	
Ν	Highest & Lowest Ethnic Group	Ethnic Group	N	Met Exceeded	Change	Met Exceeded	Change	Met Exceeded	Change	Met Exceeded	Change
197	27.4%	White	44	18.6%	-5.9%	0.0%	-11.1%	3.0%	-8.5%	3.0%	-8.5%
97	38.3%	Filipino	38	44.2%	- <mark>3.6%</mark>	45.9%	3.1%	19.4%	-4.8%	16.3%	0.4%
42	40.5%	Asian	28	41.5%	- <mark>6.0%</mark>	56.4%	9.7%	38.6%	10.3%	22.7%	5.4%
120	30.1%	Other	27	32.3%	-6.2%	24.6%	-0.8%	9.2%	-6.7%	6.9%	-4.4%
90	36.8%	Cambodian	22	28.7%	-6.5%	16.5%	-18.3%	14.1%	-5.2%	9.7%	-2.4%
121	43.0%	Asian	36	32.6%	-4.2%	20.0%	-6.4%	11.8%	-6.2%	9.1%	-3.0%
207	37.1%	White	98	39.7%	-7.6%	33.8%	-5.8%	20.4%	0.8%	16.1%	0.7%
148	37.5%	Asian	73	48.8%	4.4%	35.4%	-4.1%	24.4%	16.2%	16.8%	8.1%
334	17.5%	Other	31	49.4%	-1.9%	44.4%	-12.7%	35.3%	18.6%	35.3%	18.6%
86	35.1%	Asian	24	29.3%	-2.7%	31.3%	-2.6%	16.1%	7.8%	11.1%	6.5%
106	16.0%	Hispanic	543	32.8%	-3.6%	33.1%	3.7%	6.7%	1.0%	5.1%	0.8%
98	50.5%	Filipino	23	41.8%	-11.1%	44.2%	-9.3%	21.8%	-5.7%	17.9%	-4.8%
69	33.9%	Filipino	70	38.9%	-3.9%	34.1%	-10.9%	7.3%	-7.0%	4.2%	-3.2%
91	42.7%	Cambodian	152	34.4%	-0.1%	25.6%	11.9%	12.5%	2.9%	8.9%	0.9%
32	10.7%	Asian	21	69.6%	8.9%	75.0%	0.0%	44.9%	1.0%	44.9%	1.0%
22	41.8%	Pacific Islander	20	34.3%	1.4%	35.6%	0.0%	20.8%	5.9%	18.9%	4.1%
123	10.7%	Hispanic	379	32.0%	-7.7%	38.9%	-10.2%	6.7%	-5.6%	6.6%	-5.7%
45	52.2%	Asian	48	48.9%	-5.3%	38.2%	1.6%	31.6%	-1.1%	21.3%	-1.0%
110	29.8%	Filipino	38	51.5%	6.7%	51.2%	-8.8%	37.8%	11.3%	28.5%	7.4%
94	35.9%	Filipino	64	34.1%	12.0%	26.5%	1.2%	2.7%	-3.3%	2.7%	-1.3%
56	36.8%	Asian	38	41.8%	-2.4%	51.5%	-9.8%	28.8%	11.8%	28.3%	11.2%
99	20.7%	Asian	22	25.2%	-2.5%	16.8%	-6.3%	6.0%	1.0%	4.6%	0.6%

SBAC ELA 2023

Gender Diff	
7.7%	
9.7%	
14.1%	
8.4%	
4.1%	
10.0%	
7.7%	
4.0%	
17.4%	
8.2%	
12.3%	
12.0%	
13.3%	
6.6%	
13.6%	
5.4%	
13.8%	
5.0%	
18.8%	
10.6%	
8.9%	
12.3%	

Tincher

2022-2023

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

Fach student is counted once har incident: each incident is categorized based on the most severe outcome f School year timeframe value subcategory subgroup restorative justice exclusionary other_action 2022-2023 YR All All 2 26 Grade Gr. 02 4 Gr. 04 5 4 Gr. 06 13 1 17 Gr. 07 7 4 Gr. 08 4 3 Gr. K 1 1 Ethnicity African American 1 8 6 Asian 2 3 Filipino 1 Hispanic 7 12 Other 5 4 White 1 5 9 Gender Female 11 4 Male 2 22 25 EL + RFEP Fluency 5 2 ELL 2 RFEP 3 2 GATE/Excel GATE/Excel 3 8 Homeless Homeless 2 1 LowSES Low SES 10 16 1 SPED Special Ed. 1 11 10 SPED-Speech/RSP Spec Ed. Speech/RSP 11 10 1

36

Report Name: Discipline - Action Type By Subgroup 5YR - ARC Report #1184 | Report Code DISC02-ACT
2021-2022

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

School year	timeframe value	subcategory	subgroup	restorative_justice	exclusionary	other_action
021-2022	YR	All	All		19	13
		Grade	Gr. 01	1	1	1
			Gr. 02		1	
			Gr. 03	1	1	1
			Gr. 04		1	1
			Gr. 06		1	1
			Gr. 07		5	2
			Gr. 08	1	11	7
		Ethnicity	African American		6	5
			Asian			1
			Filipino		1	
			Hispanic		7	4
			Other		3	2
			White		2	1
		Gender	Female		8	1
			Male		11	12

2021-2022

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

Fach student is counted once per incident: each incident is categorized based on the most severe outcome f

School year	timeframe value	subcategory	subgroup	restorative_justice	exclusionary	other_action
2021-2022	YR	Fluency	EL + RFEP		2	2
			ELL	1	1	1
	1.	RFEP		1	1	
		GATE/Excel	GATE/Excel		3	4
		Homeless	Homeless		5	1
	-	LowSES	Low SES		15	9
		SPED	Special Ed.		1	3
		SPED-Speech/RSP	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP		1	3

2019-2020

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

School year	timeframe value	subcategory	subgroup	restorative_justice	exclusionary	other_action
2019-2020	YR	All	All		4	3
		Grade	Gr. 06	1	2	1
			Gr. 07			2
			Gr. 08		2	
		Ethnicity	American Indian		1	
			Asian		1	
			Hispanic		2	2
			Other			1
		Gender	Female			2
			Male		4	1
		Fluency	EL + RFEP		1	
			RFEP		1	
		GATE/Excel	GATE/Excel		2	
		LowSES	Low SES		3	2
		SPED	Special Ed.			2
		SPED-Speech/RSP	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP			1

2018-2019

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

School year	timeframe value	subcategory	subgroup	restorative_justice	exclusionary	other_action
2018-2019	YR	All	All		16	10
		Grade	Gr. 01	0	1	2
			Gr. 02		1	1
			Gr. 03			1
			Gr. 05		2	1
			Gr. 06	1	3	
			Gr. 07		1	
			Gr. 08	1	8	5
			Gr. K		2	
		Ethnicity	African American	0	5	4
			Hispanic		7	1
			Other		1	3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	White		3	2
		Gender	Female	0	9	2
			Male		7	8
		Fluency	EL + RFEP	0	2	
			RFEP		2	-
		GATE/Excel	GATE/Excel		2	2
		Homeless	Homeless		1	3
		LowSES	Low SES	1	10	4
		SPED	Special Ed.		4	1
		SPED-Speech/RSP	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	1	3	1

Report Name:Discipline - Action_Type_By_Subgroup_5YR - ARC Report #1184 | Report Code DISC02-ACT

2017-2018

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

School year	timeframe value	subcategory	subgroup	restorative_justice	exclusionary	other_action
2017-2018	YR	All	All		31	19
		Grade	Gr. 01		1	2
			Gr. 03		1	5
		Ethnicity	Gr. 05			4
			Gr. 06		6	4
			Gr. 07		3	-
			Gr. 08		19	8
			Gr. K		1	
			African American		3	1
			Asian		2	3
			Filipino			1
			Hispanic		8	3
			Other		9	4
			White		9	7
		Gender	Female		4	9
			Male			27 10

2017-2018

Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

Fach student is counted once har incident: each incident is categorized based on the most severe outcome f School year timeframe value subcategory subgroup restorative justice exclusionary other_action 2017-2018 YR Fluency EL + RFEP 9 6 ELL 6 3 RFEP 3 3 GATE/Excel GATE/Excel 2 2 Homeless Homeless 8 4 LowSES Low SES 18 9 SPED Special Ed. 2 2 Spec Ed. Speech/RSP SPED-Speech/RSP 2 2

22-23



Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type). Each student is counted once per incident; each incident is categorized based on the most severe outcome for the student Incidents missing dispositions are counted under "No Action Takon" type.

			YR	
Category	subgroup	# Records	Percent I	by Category
All Students	All	64	41	56
	Gr. 02	4	1	100
	Gr. 04	9	56	44
Owneda	Gr. 06	31	42	55
Grade	Gr. 07	11	36	64
	Gr. 08	7	57	43
	Gr. K	2	50	50
	African American	15	40	53 7
	Asian	5	40	60
Filminity	Filipino	1	3	100
Ethnicity	Hispanic	19	37	63
	Other	9	56	44
	White	15	33	60 7
Condon	Female	15	27	73
Gender	Male	49	45	51

22-23



Count of Unique Student-Incidents by Action Type (disposition type).

Each student is counted once per incident; each incident is categorized based on the most severe outcome for the student. Incidents missing dispositions are counted under "No Action Takon" type

			YR	
Category	subgroup	# Records	Percent by	y Category
	EL + RFEP	7	71	29
	ELL	2	- 1	00
	GATE/Excel	11	27	73
Special	Homeless	3	67	33
Populations	Low SES	27	37	59 4
	RFEP	5	60	40
	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	22	50	45 5
	Special Ed.	22	50	45 5

Tincher

22-23

Students by Subgroup Categorizied by 1 or more than 1 incident

			YR		
Category	subgroup	# Students	Percent I	by Category	
All Students	All	39	28	72	
	Gr. 02	2	50	50	
	Gr. 04	5	40	60	
Orada	Gr. 06	15	40	60	
Grade	Gr. 07	9	11	-89	
	Gr. 08	6	17	83	
	Gr. K	2		100	
	African American	7	57	43	
	Asian	2	50	50	
-	Filipino	1		100	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	16	13	88	
	Other	2	50	50	
	White	11	27	73	
0 and an	Female	12	17	83	
Gender	Male	27	33	67	

Tincher

22-23

		YR					
Category	subgroup	# Students	Percen	t by Category			
	EL + RFEP	5	20	80			
	ELL	2	100				
	GATE/Excel	8	25	75			
Special	Homeless	2	50	50			
Populations	Low SES	21	19	81			
	RFEP	3	33	- 67			
	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	12	25	75			
	Special Ed.	12	25	75			

Students by Subgroup Categorizied by 1 or more than 1 incident

Suspension Rate

Tincher All Students N = 835



N's are rolling enrollment counts (count of students enrolled at any point in the year) for the current year. Only suspensions given by the selected school are counted in the displayed data. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress. Subgroups under 20 students are not included.



N's are rolling enrollment counts (count of students enrolled at any point in the year) for the current year. Only suspensions given by the selected school are counted in the displayed data. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress. Subgroups under 20 students are not included.

Suspension Rate

Gr. K

N = 91

Gr. 01 N = 83



N's are rolling enrollment counts (count of students enrolled at any point in the year) for the current year. Only suspensions given by the selected school are counted in the displayed data. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress. Subgroups under 20 students are not included.

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr	Below	Early on G	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent b	oy Catego	ry	
			1	78	10	74		12 4		
	All Students	All	2	78	1	63	3	14	22	
			3	75			32	17	51	
			1	24	17	71		84		
		Gaumer, N	2	24		75		13	13	
			3	24		1	54	4	42	
			1	26	8	77		12 4		
	Teacher	Myrick, E	2	26		65		12	23	
			3	26			23	19		58
			1	27	11	74		11 4		
		Quan, B	2	27		4	52	19	26	
			3	27			26	26		48
			1	3		67		3	3	
		African American	2	4		75		25		
1			3	2			50		50	
			1	10		70		30)	
		Asian	2	10			40	30) 3	0
			3	10				10		90
			1	2		100				
		Filipino	2	2		100				
	Ethnicity		3	2						100
	Etimony		1	35	11	80		6 3		
		Hispanic	2	35	3	6	0	14	23	
			3	34			38	18	44	
			1	22	14	73		95		
		White	2	21		71		14	14	
			3	21			33	19	48	3
			1	6		17	50	17	17	
		Other	2	6		67		3	3	
			3	6			50		50	

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr B	elow	1 Gr I	Below	Early	on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Percent b	y Categor	ry		
			1	42	10	7	9		12			
		Female	2	42		-	64		17	19		1
	Gender		3	41				29	17		54	100
	Gender		1	36	11		69		11 8			
		Male	2	36	3		61		11	25		
			3	34				35	18	1	47	
			1	22	14	3	73		95			
		Low SES	2	22	5	0	73		5 18			
			3	21			52	2	24	2	4	
			1	3	67			33				
		ELL	2	3		100						
			3	2			5	0		50		
			1	2			5	0		50		
1		RFEP	2	2			5	0	1	50		
1			3	2							100	
		EL + RFEP	1	5	4	40		40	20			
	Special		2	5		80	D		20	-		
	Populations		3	4				25	25		50	
			1	10	30		70					
		Special Ed.	2	10	10	90						
			3	9	_			33		44	2:	2
			1	10	30		70					
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	10	10	90						
			3	9				33		44	2:	2
			1	3		33		33	3	3		
		Homeless	2	3			67		3	3		
			3	2			5	0	1	50		
		Foster	2	1		100			1. ···			

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr B	elow	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
r.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent by	Categor	y	
			1	86	23	60		10 6		
	All Students	All	2	88	5	60		17	18	
			3	87		3	28	22	47	
			1	30	20	67		13		
		Seguine, P	2	30	7	57		23	13	
			3	30		3	27	23	47	
			1	28	29	54	8 - 1	7 11		
	Teacher	Smith, A	2	29		62		10	28	
			3	29			24	21	55	
			1	29	21	59		14 7		
		Wahlen, W	2	29	7	62		17	14	
			3	29		7	34	21	38	
			1	7	43	57				
	_	African American	2	7	14	57		29		
		, including	3	7		29	29	14	29	
			1	4	25	50)	25		
		Asian	2	4		50)	25	25	
			3	4		1	25		75	
			1	2		50)		50	
		Filipino	2	2		50)		50	
	1.0.0		3	2		50)		50	
	Ethnicity		1	31	32	52		13 3		
		Hispanic	2		9	72		13 6		
			3	31		3	32	32		
			1	26	12	73		12 4		
		White	2	27	1	59		19	22	
			3	27			30	22	48	
			1	16	13	69		13 6		
		Other	2			4	14	19	38	
				16				13	75	

i Ready Growth Report



			1		3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr	Below	Early on Gr	Mid Above G
. c	ategory	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent I	by Category	Y	
			1	49	14	71		86		
		Female	2	50	8	60		16	16	
	Gender		3	49		2	27	24	47	
ľ	Gender		1	37	35	1000	46	14 5		
		Male	2	38		61		18	21	
			3	38		5	29	18	47	
Γ			1	32	34	5	3	66		
		Low SES	2	32	9	69		9 13		
			3	32		3	34	25	38	
			1	3		100				
		ELL	2	3		100		1		
			3	3					100	()
			1	1		100		1		
		RFEP	2	1				1	100	0
			3	1	-				100	
			1	4	-	100				
		EL + RFEP	2	4		75		25		
Ŀ	Special		3	4					75	25
P	opulations		1	14	29	57		14		
		Special Ed.	2	14	7	64		29		
			3	14		14	29	21	36	
			1	14	29	57		14		
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	14	7	64		29		
		choosinio	3	14		14	29	21	36	
		1 2 100								
		Homeless	2	2		100				
			3	2		100				
			1	10			40	30	30	
		GATE/Excel	2					30		70
			3						100	

i Ready Growth Report



	kan ni T	Subgroup	Diagnostic	#	3+ Gr Below 2	Gr Below	1 Gr Be		rly on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category		Diagnostic Window	Students			Percent by	and the second se		
	2		1	89	7 13	63		15 2		-
	All Students	All	2			13	31	39	25	
			3	88			15 13	23	59	
			1	29	3 7	79		10		
		Peverini, S	2	29		3	31	45	21	
			3	29			33	31	6	2
			1	30	13 10	57		13 7		
	Teacher	Turien, E	2	30		37	23	33	33	
			3	30			3 7 13	20	57	
			1	29	3 24	55		17		
		Wojcik, J	2	29		1000	38	41	21	
			3	29		3	3 21	17	59	
			1	9	33 22	4	14			
		African American	2	9	22	4	4	33		
			3	8	1	13 13	25	25	25	
			1	7	14	71		14		
3		Asian	2	7	1.1		29	43	29	
			3	7			14	14	71	
	1		1	3	10	00				
		Filipino	2	3				1	100	
			3	3					100	
			1		3 26	63		6 3		
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2			4		32	21	
		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	3			3		32	41	
			1	1	10	00				
		Pacific	2						100	
		Islander	3						100	
			1		5	64		27		
		White	2			5	27	45	23	
		WING	3				5		73	
			1		8	58	-	33	13	
		Other	2		0	50	8	35	62)
		Other	3					8	85	

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 G	Below	Early	on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent	by Catego	ory		
			1	46	2 15	61	1999 - C	17	4		
		Female	2	46		2	30		41	26	- · ·
	Gender		3	46			4 1	3 26	3	57	
	Gender		1	43	12 12	65	-	12			
		Male	2	43		25	33		37	23	1
			3	42		11	25	12 19		62	
			1	37	11 24	5	7	8			
		Low SES	2	36		6	44		39	11	_
			3	35	-	3	6 23	2	9	40	
			1	7	14 14	71					
		ELL	2	7	1	.5	7	14	29		
			3	7			43	2	9	29	
			1	3		100					
		RFEP	2	3					67		33
	_		3	3						100	
3			1	10	10 10	80					
		EL + RFEP	2	10			40	3	0	30	
	Special		3	10			30	20		50	
	Populations		1	11	36	27	27	9			
		Special Ed.	2	11	9 2	7	45	9 9			
			3	11		9 27	18	9	36		
			1	11	36	27	27	9			
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	11	9 2	7	45	9 9			
		opooring	3	11		9 27	18	9	36		
			1		25	75					
		Homeless	2	4			50		50		_
			3			_			67		33
			1			6	41		41	12	
		GATE/Excel	2					11 22		67	
			3					11 6		83	

i Ready Growth Report



		Subgroup	Disgnastia		3+ Gr Below 2 Gr Below	1 Gr E	lelow	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
r.	Category		Diagnostic Window	# Students			y Category		
	2 C		1	81	4 15	43	22	16	
	All Students	All	2	81	2 6	33	30	28	
			3	82		2 2 20	26	50	
			1	28	4 25	39	25	7	
		Anderson, K	2	28	4 11	32	25	29	
			3	28		4 18	25	54	
			1	25	12	52	16	20	
	Teacher	Dau, E	2	25	4	40	32	24	
			3	25		4 20	32	44	
		1	1	28	7 7	39	25	21	
		Undlin, H	2	29	3 7	28	31	31	
			3	29		7 21	21	52	
			1	10	20 50	20	10		
		African American	2	9	11 33	33	22		
		American	3	10	10 10	40	20	20	
			1	7		43	29	29	
į.		Asian	2	7		43		57	
			3	7		14	29	57	
	0		1	3				67	33
		Filipino	2	3			33		67
		199.	3	3				100	
			1		4 16 60	D	12 8		
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2		4 8	42	38	8	
		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	3			4 4 15	42		15
			1	1	100				
		Pacific	2	1				100	
		Islander	3					100	
			1	24	8	33	33	25	
		White	2	24		21	29	50	
		WING	3	24		17	13	71	
		-	1	11	9 !	55	18	18	
			2			45	27	27	
		Other	3			45	27	45	

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 G	r Below	1 Gr	Below	Early	on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr. C	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Percent b	y Categor	у		
			1	41		5 15		44	27	10		
		Female	2	41			5 5	32	27		32	
			3	41				25 15	22		56	
	Gender		1	40		3 15		43	18	23		
		Male	2	40			8	35	33	3	25	
			3	41				2 24	29		44	
			1	39	8	21		46	15	10		
		Low SES	2	38		5	11	34	26		24	
			3	39				5 5 21	28		41	
			1	3		33		33	3	3		
		ELL	2	3			67		3	3		
			3	3		33		33	3	3		
			1	3		33		33	3	3		
4		EL + RFEP	2	3			67		3:	3		
			3	3		33		33	3	3		
			1	12	17	42		33	8			
F	Special Populations	Special Ed.	2	11	18	18	ļ	55	9			
			3	12		8 17		42	25	8		
			1	11	9	45		36	9			
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	11	18	18		55	9			
			3	11		18		45	27	9		
			1	2		100						
		Homeless	2	2				50		50		
			3	2							100	
			1	26				12	2 3	38		50
		GATE/Excel	2	26					19			31
			3	26					12		88	

i Ready Growth Report



		Subgroup	Diagnostic	#	3+ Gr Below 2 Gr Be		1 Gr B			y on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	-2-2 (2.5)	Diagnostic Window	Students			Percent by		-	_	
	2.5.5		1	70	4 6	5		19	20		
	All Students	All	2	71	16	i	37	21		35	_
			3	71			1 21	28		49	
	· · · · · ·		1	2	100						
		Dau, E	2	2		5	0		50		
			3	2		5	0		50		
			1	35	96	5	1	14	20		
	Teacher	Krug, P	2	35	3 9		40	23		26	
			3	35			3 23	3	37	37	
			1	33	6	4	18	24		21	
		Schugt, T	2	34		3	32	18		47	
	1		3	34			18	18		65	
			1	3		67		33	3		
		African American	2	3			33		6	7	
		American	3	3			33	33	3	33	
	-		1	3	1	67		33	3		
5		Asian	2	3			33	33	3	33	
			3	3			33		6	7	
	1		1	2					_	100	
		Filipino	2	2				-		100	
			3	2						100	
			1		9 3	63		16	9		
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2		3 9		47	16	25		
	Linnony	riopunic	3			3			8	34	
			1		100		-0				
		Pacific	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100					100	
		Islander	3							100	
	-				10	1	25				
			1		10		35	30		25	
		White	2	20		5	35	15	-	45	_
			3				15	20	-	65	
			1	9	11		44	11	33		_
		Other	2				20	30		50	
	1.0		3	10			20	20		60	

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr	Below	1 Gr	Below	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
r. Ca	ategory	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			P	ercent l	by Category	/	
			1	32	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	93	53		.25	9	
		Female	2	32		13	53		9	25	
	Gender		3	32			3	25	31	41	
10	Genuer		1	38		8	50	1	13	29	
		Male	2	39			3	23	31	44	
			3	39				18	26	56	5
			1	24	8 13		58		8 13		
		Low SES	2	24		4 1	3	38	25	21	
			3	24	-		4	38	25	33	
			1	4	50		50				
		ELL	2	4		50		25	25		
			3	4	25	5	50		25		
			1	1		100					
		RFEP	2	1					1.	100	<u>.</u>
			3	1						100	
			1	5	40		60				
		EL + RFEP	2	5			40	20	20	20	
Ŀ	Special		3	5		20		40	4	0	
Po	opulations	_	1	5	20	20		40	20		
		Special Ed.	2	5		20		40	4	0	
			3	5			60		4	0	
		1	1	5	20	20		40	20		
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	5		20		40	4	0	
			3	5			60		4	0	
			1	7	14 14		57		14		
		Homeless	2	7		14	4	3	29	14	
			3	7			14	29	29	29	
			1	13					8 15	77	/
		GATE/Excel	2	13					8	92	2
			3	13						100	

i Ready Growth Report



		1417 (marked 14			3+ Gr Below	2 Gr E	Below		1 Gr Bel	low	Ear	ly on Gr	N	Mid Above G
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			-	Perc	ent by (Catego	ry			
			1	105		10	7	34		31	t	17		
	All Students	All	2	104			4 4	4	21		43		28	
			3	102			3 5		23	3	4		35	
	· · · · · ·		1	2									100	
		Dobbs, S	2	2									100	
	Teacher		3	2									100	
	reacher		1	102		10	7	35		3:	2	16		
		Vo, T	2	101			34	•	22		45		27	
			3	101			4.5		23	3	5		34	
		1000	1	10	20	20		40		20				
		African American	2	10		10	10	3	0		40	10		
			3	9			11		22		56	1	11	1
			1	8				-	25		6	53		13
		Asian	2	8					13		6	3		25
			3	8					13	13		1	75	
			1	2			50)			50			
6		Filipino	2	2							50			50
	20.00		3	2			50)			50			
	Ethnicity		1	40	20	8		43		18	13			
		Hispanic	2	39		1	8 5	2	8	3	38		21	
			3	39			5 5	3	1	3	36	2	3	
			1	30			7	3	0		43		20	
		White	2	30					20		50		3	0
			3	30				3	20	(37		40	
			1	15			7	7	20	3	3		40	
		Other	2	15					77	3	3		53	
			3	14				7	14	29			50	
			1	45		11	7	33		3	86	13	1	
		Female	2	44			52	2	23		48		23	
	Sec.		3	44			1	7	18		41		34	
	Gender		1	60		10	7	35		28		20		
		Male	2	60			3	5	20		40		32	
			3	58			53	3	26	29		3	6	

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	1	2 Gr Be	low	1 Gr B	elow	Ear	rly on G	r	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students					Percent by	Categ	lory			
			1	47		11	13		38		32	6		
		Low SES	2	47			4	2	34		38		21	
			3	46				44	24		37		30	
			1	3	33		33		33					
		ELL	2	3			33		33		33			
			3	2				50)		50			
			1	5		40			40	20	61			
		RFEP	2	5				20	20		40		20	
			3	5		40			40	20				
	Special		1	8	38	8	13		38	13				
		EL + RFEP	2	8			13	13	25		38	13	8	
6			3	7		14	29		29	14	14			
0	Populations		1	10	60)		30	10					
		Special Ed.	2	10	30		20		40	10				
			3	9	33	11		56						
			1	10	60)		30	10					
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	10	30		20		40	10				
			3	9	33	11		56						
			1	9		44	k)		33	22	2			
		Homeless	2	9			11		44		33	11		
			3	9				56			33	11		
			1	35					3 9		49			40
		GATE/Excel	2	35					3 6	1	29		e	53
			3	34						3 18			79)

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 G	r Below	/ 1 Gr	Below	E	arly on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Percent	by Categ	jory		
			1	98		15	11	38		31	5	
	All Students	All	2	96		11	8	38	3	28	15	
			3	91			8 8	32		33	20	
	1		1	96		15	11	38		31	5	
	Teacher	Dobbs, S	2	96		11	8	38	4	28	15	
			3	93		1	9 8	32		32	19	
			1	12	42	8	6	42	8			
		African American	2	11	18 1	18		55	9			
			3	9	22	11		56	11			
			1	10				50		40	10	
		Asian	2	10			10	30		40	20	
			3	10				20			70	10
			1	2				50		50	D	
7		Filipino	2	2				50		50	0	
			3	2							100	
			1	39		21	23	26		31		
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	39		21	8	31	1	31	10	
			3	36		8	14	28	1	31	19	
			1	3			67	8		33		
		Pacific Islander	2	3				33			67	
			3	3			67	8		33		
			1	25		8 4		48	2	4	16	
		White	2	24		4	8	38	17		33	
			3	24		8	4	38	13		38	
			1	7				29			71	
		Other	2	7				57		43		
			3	7				14	-		71	14

i Ready Growth Report



_					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr Be	low	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent by	Category		
			1	47	1	9 9	36	36	te internet	
		Female	2	45	1	39	40	29	9	
			3	42		77	38	31	17	
			1	50	12	12	40	26	10	
	Gender	Male	2	50		10 8	34	28	20	
			3	48		8 8	3 25	35	23	
			1	1		100				
		Nonbinary	2	1		100				
			3	1	100 C	100				
			1	52	25	12	38	25		
		Low SES	2	51	18	12	41	20	10	
			3	47		11 13	30	30	17	
			1	4	50	25	25			
		ELL	2	4	50		50	-		
			3	4		50	25	25		
			1	11	27	27	27	18		
5		RFEP	2	11	27	27	18	18	9	
1			3	9	11 2	2	44	22		
			1	15	33	27	27	13		
		EL + RFEP	2	15	33	20	27	13 7		
	Special		3	13	23	15	38	8 15	1.0	
	Populations		1	8	63	3	25	13	-	
		Special Ed.	2	8	63	3	13 13	13		
			3	4		50	25	25		
			1	8	65	3	25	13		
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	8	63	3	13 13	13		
			3	4		50	25	25		
			1	4			25		75	
		Homeless	2	4			50		50	
			3	4			25	1	50	25
			1	21			19		67	14
		GATE/Excel	2	21			14	29		57
			3	21			10	29		62

i Ready Growth Report



_		0.1	1		3+ Gr Below	2 G	r Below	1	Gr Below	1	Early or	n Gr	Mid A	bove Gr
r.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Perce	ent by Ca	tegory				
			1	93		14	16	30		22	18			
	All Students	All	2	92			12 3	32		25	2	8		
			3	92			13	5 26	6	24	3	32		
	1		1	90		13	17	31		22	17	1		
	Teacher	Provost, K	2	90			12 3	31		26	2	8		
			3	91			13	5 26	6	24	3	1		
			1	16	19	19		50	1	3	-			
		African American	2	17	1	24		41		18	18			
			3	17		2	9	6 2	24	24	18			
			1	2	50			50						
		American Indian	2	2				50			50			
		in a land	3	2				50			50			
			1	3				33			67			
		Asian	2	3							67	1		33
			3	3						33			67	
	-		1	3				33		33		33		
	Ethnicity	Filipino	2	3				33			67		67 3 12 47	
			3	3				33	-		67	2		
			1	44	1	1 23		36		23	7			
		Hispanic	2	43		9 2	2	44		23	21			
			3	44		9	5	41		27	18		_	
			1	19				11 5	11	32		42		
		White	2	19				5	16	32		47		
			3	18				6	11	22	1	61		
			1	6				17	17	17		50		
		Other	2	5				40		20	4	10		
			3	5				40			60			
			1	42		12	21	2	4	26	17			
		Female	2	41			17 5	29	0	22	27			
	Sec.		3	42			14 7	29)	24	26			
	Gender		1	51		16	12	35		18	20			
	1.1	Male	2	51			8 2	33		27		29		
			-	50			12	4 2	4	24		36		

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr B	elow	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
r.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent by	Category		
			1	46	15	22	41	11 11		
		Low SES	2	47		17	47	17	19	
			3	47	_	19 2	36	23	19	
			1	2	50		50			
		ELL	2	2	50		50			
			3	2	50		50			
			1	11	18	18	36	27		
		RFEP	2	11		9	9 18		45	8
			3	11		18 9	27	36	5 9	
	Special Populations		1	13	23	23	31	23		-
		EL + RFEP	2	13		15	8 23	3	8 15	41
			3	13		23 8	31	31	8	
			1	10	50	30	20			
8		Special Ed.	2	11	55	9	27	9		
			3	11		55	9 18	18		
			1	10	50	30	20			
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	11	55	9	27	9		
			3	11		55	9 18	18		
			1	1		100				
		Foster	2	1		100				
			3	1	1	100				
			1	6	33	17	33	17		
		Homeless	2	6		33	33	17	17	
			3	6		33	33	17	17	
			1	34			6 18	35		41
		GATE/Excel	2	32			16	22	6	3
			3	33			9	33		58

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 1



_		Subgroup		_	3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr Be		Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Sundionb	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent by	Category	_	
			1	77	4	70		10 16	·	
	All Students	All	2	76		4	38	20	38	
			3	76			1 17	16	66	
	· · · · · ·		1	24	8	75		4 13		
		Gaumer, N	2	24		8	46	21	25	
			3	24			29	33	38	
			1	26		69		15 1	5	
	Teacher	Myrick, E	2	26			38	23	38	
			3	26			8	12	81	*
			1	27	4	67		11 19		
		Quan, B	2	27		4	26	15	56	
			3	27			4 15	7	74	
			1	3		67		33		
		African American	2	4		75		25		
			3	2		5	0	1	50	
1			1	9		56		4	4	
		Asian	2	8			13	25		63
			3	10				10	9	0
			1	2		100				
		Filipino	2	2		5	0	1	50	
	Filminite		3	2				i ta se	100	ý.
	Ethnicity		1	34	6	68		15 12	2	
		Hispanic	2	35		9	40	17	34	
			3	35			3 20	14	63	1
			1	23		83		13 4		
		White	2	21			33	29	38	
			3	21			19	14	67	
			1	6		17 5	0	33		
		Other	2	6		5	0	1 - 1	50	
			3	6			17	3	50	33

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 1



		0.4	1	_	3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Belov		Below	Early on (Mid Above Gr
ar.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Percent b	y Categor	y		
			1	41	2	6	3	10	24		
		Female	2	41			34	29		37	
	Condin		3	41			15	20		66	
	Gender		1	36	6	78	-	11 6			
		Male	2	35		9	43	9	40		
			3	35			3 20	11	e	56	
			1	21	5	76		14 5			
		Low SES	2	22		5	41	14	41		
			3	21			5 24	24		48	
			1	3		67	,	33	3		
		ELL	2	3		33	33	33	3		
			3	3			33		67		
			1	1		100					50
1		RFEP	2	2						100	
			3	2					50		50
			1	4		75	-	25			
	Special	EL + RFEP	2	5		2	20 20		60		
	Populations		3	5			20		60	l l	20
			1	10		100					
		Special Ed.	2	9		22	33	11	33		
			3	10			10 20	20		50	
			1	10		100				_	
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	9		22	33	11	33		
			3	10			10 20	20	1	50	
			1	3		67	1	33	3		
		Homeless	2	3			33		67		
			3	2					50		50
		Foster	2	1		100					

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 2



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr	Below	1	Gr Be	low	Early	on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Perce	ent by	Category			
			1	82		11	, i	51		20	18		
	All Students	All	2	87			2	32		23		43	
			3	84				2	14	15		68	
	1.		1	30		13		50		20	17		
		Seguine, P	2	30			7	40		20		33	
			3	30				7	13	30		50 50 48 66 45 83	
			1	28		7	5	4		21	18		
	Teacher	Smith, A	2	29				31		21		48	
			3	29					14	21		66	
			1	29		17		48		17	17		
		Wahlen, W	2	29				28	3	28		45	
			3	29					17			83	
			1	7		29		43		29			
		African American	2	7		14	1	43			43		
		, anoncan	3	7			14	29)	14	÷	43	
			1	4			75			25			
		Asian	2	4				2	5		50		25
			3	4							50		50
	0		1	2				50			50		
		Filipino	2	2				50			50		
			3	2				50			50		
	Ethnicity		1	30		13	5	53		27	7		
		Hispanic	2	31			3	35		26		35	
			3	31		_		3	13	16		68	
			1	24		8	5	4		17	21		
		White	2	27				30		22		48	
			3	26					12	15		73	
			1	15				47		27		27	
		Other	2	16				2	5	25		50	
			3	14				1	14	7		79	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 2



-		Cuberour	1					1 Gr Be			ly on Gr	
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Pe	ercent by	Catego	ry		
			1	48		6	52		17	25	5	
		Female	2	50			4	26	22		48	
	Gender		3	48				4 8	17			71
	Gender		1	34		18	50		24	9		
		Male	2	37			4	1	24		35	
			3	36				22	14		64	
			1	30		10	40	0	:	33	17	
		Low SES	2	32			5	31	16	-	47	
			3	30			7	17	10		67	
			1	3		100						
		ELL	2	2			50			50		
			3	3					:	33	-	67
			1	1		100						
		RFEP	2	1		100						
2			3	1							10	00
-			1	4		100		_				
		EL + RFEP	2	3		(57		:	33		
	Special		3	4					25		75	75
	Populations		1	13	23		54		8 1	5		
		Special Ed.	2	14		7	3	36		36	21	
			3	13		8	3	8	15		38	
			1	13	23		54		8 1	5		
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	14		7	3	36		36	21	5
				13		8	3	8	15		38	
			1	2		100						
		Homeless	2	2	50		50					
			3	2			50			50		
			1	10				10	3	0		60
		GATE/Excel	2	10				10	10		8	0
			3	10					10		-	90

i Ready Growth Report



					3+ Gr Below 2 Gr E	selow	1 Gr Be	low Ea	arly on Gr Mid	Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students		Pe	rcent by	Category		
			1	88		3 19	16	33	28	
	All Students	All	2	89		1	6 13	29	51	
			3	88			1 27	25	65	
	1		1	29		17	24	34	24	
		Peverini, S	2	29			3 7	38	52	
			3	29			3	28	69	
			1	30		7 13	13	30	37	
	Teacher	Turien, E	2	30		3	10 10	27	50	
			3	30			33 7	23	63	
			1	29		3 24	10	38	24	
	W	Wojcik, J	2	29		3	21	24	52	
			3	29			3 10	24	62	
			1	9	22 33	4	33	11		
		African American	2	9		33	11	5	6	
			3	8		13	25	38	25	
			1	7		14	14	29	43	
3		Asian	2	7			14	14	71	
			3	7				29	71	
			1	3					100	
		Filipino	2	3					100	
			3	3					100	
			1	34	3	26	21	38	12	
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	34		3	24	44	29	
	101		3	34			39	38	50	
			1	1				-	100	
		Pacific Islander	2	1					100	
			3	1					100	
			1	22		-	99	32	50	
		White	2	22			59	18	68	
			3	22			5	18	77	
			1	12			17 8	25	50	
		Other	2	13			8	8	85	
			3	13			8		92	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 3



		Subgroup	1									
ir.	Category	Sundionb	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Perc	ent by	Category			
			1	45		1	3	22	31		33	1000
		Female	2	46				2 13	30		54	
	Gender		3	46				9	22		70	
	Genuer		1	43		7	26	9	35		23	
		Male	2	43			29	14	28	28		
	-		3	42				25 5	29		60	
			1	37		8 27		24	16	24		
		Low SES	2	36			38	14	3	9	36	
			3	35				366	-	43	1	43
			1	7		43		14	4	43		
		ELL	2	7			2	29		71		
			3	7					4	43	-	57
			1	3			33	3		67		
		RFEP	2	3					33		1	67
3			3	3							100	
3			1	10		30		20		50		
		EL + RFEP	2	10				20		60		20
	Special		3	10					30		3	70
	Populations		1	11	18	55		9	18			
		Special Ed.	2	11	9	36	3	27	18	9		
			3	11		9	9	18	27		36	
		1	1	11	18	55		9	18			
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	11	9	36	1	27	18	9		-
				11		9	9	18	27		36	
			1	4		75			25			
		Homeless	2	4				25		50	2	25
			3	3						67		33
			1	16				6 6	31		56	
		GATE/Excel	2	18				6	6		89	
			3	18					17		83	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 4



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr B	elow	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students		P	ercent by	Category		
			1	79		11 8	23	29	29	
	All Students	All	2	81		6 9	21	19	46	-
			3	80		4.	4 19	20	54	
			1	28		18 7	21	29	25	
		Anderson, K	2	28		11 11	18	14	46	
			3	28		4 7	7 18	18	54	
			1	25		4 1	2 16	36	32	
	Teacher	Dau, E	2	25		4	28	28	40	
			3	25		4	28	16	52	
			1	28		14 4	32	21	29	
		Undlin, H	2	29		3 14	21	14	48	
			3	29			3 7 10	28	52	
			1	9	44	11	33	11		
		African American	2	9	33	22	22	11 11		
		Amorican	3	9	11 1	11 4	4	33		
			1	7	-	1	29	29	43	
4		Asian	2	7			29	29	43	
			3	7			29	29	43	
	1		1	3				33		67
		Filipino	2	3				33		67
			3	3					100	
	100 /		1	24		21	8 17	38	17	
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	26		8 15	19	19	38	
	1012		3	26		8	8 12	38	35	
			1	1					100	
		Pacific Islander	2	1				5	100	
		iolandoi	3	1	1	00				
			1	24		4	25	25	46	
		White	2	24		4	21	13	63	
			3	23			9	13	78	-
			1	11		18	27	27	27	
		Other	2	11			27	18	55	
			3	11			27	9	64	
i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 4



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	5	1 Gr B	elow	Ea	rly on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			Pe	rcent by	Catego	ory		
			1	41		12	2	24	2	9	32	
		Female	2	40		8	5	23	20		45	
	Gender		3	39				5 15	15		64	
	Gender		1	38		11 1	13	21	2	9	26	
		Male	2	41		5	12	20	17		46	
			3	41		2	7	22	24		44	
			1	37		19	11	19	:	32	19	
		Low SES	2	39		10 1	3	23	21		33	
			3	37		8 5		30	22		35	
			1	3		67			1	33		
		ELL	2	3		33	-	33		33		
			3	3			3	33	1	33	33	
			1	3		67			-	33		
4		EL + RFEP	2	3		33		33	1	33	10.000	
			3	3				33		33	33	
			1	10	40	20	0	20	10 1	0		
	Special Populations	Special Ed.	2	12	33	17		33	88		_	
			3	11		99	3	36	27	7	18	
			1	9	33	22		22	11	11		
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	11	27	18	3	86	9 9			
			3	10		10	40	0	3	0	20	
			1	2			50			50		
		Homeless	2	2			50			50		
			3	2			50			50		
			1	26				4	27	7		69
		GATE/Excel	2	26					12			88
			3	25					8			92

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 5



					3+ Gr Below	2	Gr Below	1	Gr Bel	low	Early on Gr		Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Percer	nt by (Category			
			1	68		4	26	26		22	21		
	All Students	All	2	69			19	26	i e	25	30		
			3	71			17	25	5	23	35		
			1	2			-	50			50		
		Dau, E	2	2				50			50		
			3	2				50			50	1	
			1	35	9	3	31	31	-	17	11		
	Teacher	Krug, P	2	35	-		29	31		26	14		
			3	35			26	26	;	29	20		
			1	33			21	2	1	27	30		-
		Schugt, T	2	34				9 2	1	24	4	7	
			3	34			6	26		15	53		
			1	3				33			67	-	
		African American	2	1			-				1	100	
		American	3	3		33	3	33		33			
			1	3				33		33		33	1
5		Asian	2	3							67		33
			3	3				33			67		
	1		1	2						-	50		50
		Filipino	2	2					-i		1	100	
			3	2		_			i		1	100	
			1	30	7	33	3	33		17	10		
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	32		1	28	31		22	19		
	1000		3				28	25	5	22	25		
			1			10	0						
		Pacific Islander	2			10	0		Ĩ				
		ISIGITUEI	3			10	0						
			1	20			20	30		20	30		
		White	2				10	35		25	30		
			3	instanting of the second second			5	30		30	3	5	
			1				11	22	11	22	33		
		Other	2					_	10	30		50	
			3					_	10	30		50	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 5



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr B	elow	1 Gr Be	low	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			F	ercent by	Category		
			1	30	7	27	1.77	37	13 1	7	
		Female	2	32		25		31	22	22	
			3	32		1	6	31	22	31	
	Gender		1	38		з	26	18	29	24	
		Male	2	37			14	22	27	38	
	_		3	39			18	21	23	38	
			1	23	4	35		30	22	9	
		Low SES	2	23		26	111	35	26	13	
			3	24		2	9	25	21	25	
			1	4	25		50		25	·	
		ELL	2	4		50		25	25		
			3	4	75			25			
			1	1		100					
		RFEP	2	1						10	0
			3	1						10	0
5			1	5	20	4	0	20	20		
		EL + RFEP	2	5		4	0	20	40)	
	Special		3	5		60		20	20		
	Populations		1	5	20		60		20		
		Special Ed.	2	5	40	D		40	20		
			3	5			60		20	20	
		1.000	1	5	20		60	- 1	20		
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	5	40	כ		40	20		
			3	5			60		20	20	
			1	7	ŧ	57		29	14		
		Homeless	2	6	5	0	12.4	33	17		
			3	7		1	43	14	29	14	
			1	13				8	23		69
		GATE/Excel	2	13				8	15	-	77
			3	13					15		85

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 6



		127.000000	1		3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Belo	W	1 Gr E	Below	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students			ĥ	ercent b	y Catego	ry	
			1	101		15	15	23	15	33	
	All Students	All	2	103		13	8	25	17	37	
			3	96		14	9	23	8	46	
	1		1	104		14	16	22	14	33	
		Lee, J	2	103		13	8	25	17	37	-
			3	104		13	12	24	9	42	
	Teacher		1	21	33	33		29	5		
		Marte, R	2	21	19	33		24	19	5	
			3	21	29	29		24	10 10		
			1	10	20	20		30	10	20	
		African American	2	9	11 11		56		22		
		Amorican	3	7	14	71			14		
			1	8				38	13	50	
		Asian	2	8						50	50
			3	8				13	25		63
			1	2			50			50	
5		Filipino	2	2			50			50	
	2003		3	2			50) [50	
	Ethnicity		1	39	26	18		28	5 2	3	
		Hispanic	2	39	23	13		33	13	18	
			3	40	2	5 15	5	28	10	23	
			1	28			7	14 11	29	39	
		White	2	30			3 7	20	20	50	
			3	25			1	8 16	4	72	
			1	14			7 7	21	21	43	
		Other	2	15				7 13	13	67	
			3	14				777	7	71	
			1	43	· ·	9	21	21	14	35	
		Female	2	44		11 3	2	32	16	39	
	Sec.		3	39		13	8	23	10	46	
	Gender		1	58	1	19	10	24	16	31	
		Male	2	59		14	12	20	19	36	
			3	57		14	11	23	7	46	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 6



			1		3+ Gr Below	2 Gr	Below	IGI	Below	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
Gr.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students				Percent b	y Catego	ry	
			1	45		18	18	27	11	27	
		Low SES	2	47		17	6	34	17	26	
			3	44	_	20	14	23	7	36	
			1	3	67	1		33			
		ELL	2	3		100			1.1		
			3	3	67			33	1		
			1	4	50		5	0			
		RFEP	2	5	20	40		40			
			3	5	60			40			
			1	7	57		14	29			
		EL + RFEP	2	8	50		25	25			
5	Special		3	8	63			38			
)	Populations		1	10		80		20			
		Special Ed.	2	10		90		1	D		
			3	10	7	0		20 1	C		
			1	10	-	80		20			
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	10		90		1	D		
			3	10	7	0		20 1	C		
			1	9	11	56		33			
		Homeless	2	9	11 11		78				
			3	8	13	38	0	25	13	13	
			1	33					6 21	1	73
		GATE/Excel	2	35				3	6 17		74
			3	33				3	6 9	82	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 7



			1		3+ Gr Below 2 Gr Below 1 Gr Below Early on Gr M	id Above Gr
r.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students	Percent by Category	
		· · · · · ·	1	98	17 9 21 22 30	
	All Students	All	2	96	17 8 14 23 39	
			3	93	13 8 17 22 41	
	1		1	54	15 7 28 19 31	
		Allen, K	2	54	13 9 15 22 41	-
			3	54	11 7 20 17 44	
			1	42	21 12 14 24 29	
	Teacher	Lee, J	2	42	21 7 12 24 36	
			3	41	15 7 15 27 37	
			1	20	35 15 30 20	
		Marte, R	2	20	35 20 15 25 5	
			3	19	32 32 26 11	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	12	33 8 25 25 8	
		African American	2	11	27 18 27 27	
		Amoneum	3	11	27 18 9 18 27	
			1	10	10 30 10 20 30	
		Asian	2	10	10 10 30 10 40	
			3	10	10 20 20 50	
			1	2	50	50
		Filipino	2	2	100	
			3	2	50	50
			1	39	21 8 23 18 31	
	Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	39	26 10 8 23 33	
			3	37	22 5 16 19 38	
			1	3	33 33 33	
		Pacific Islander	2	3	33 67	
		ISIGNUCI	3	3	33 33 33	
			1	25	12 8 24 24 32	
		White	2	24	4 4 29 17 46	
			3		9 26 13 52	
			1		14 29 57	
		Other	2		43	57
			3		57	43

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 7



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr Be	low	Early on G	Mic	Above Gr
r.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students		Pe	ercent by	Categor	y		
			1	47		17	11 13	30	30	D I	
		Female	2	45		20	77	24	4	2	
			3	44		7 11	16	27	3	9	
			1	50		18 8	28	16	30		
	Gender	Male	2	50		12 10	20	22	36		
			3	48		17 4	19	17	44		
		1	1	1	1	00					
		Nonbinary	2	1	1	00					
			3	1	1	00					
			1	52		25 10	25	19	21		
		Low SES	2	51		25	14 12	18	31		
			3	49		22 10	18	16	33		
			1	4	50	50					
		ELL	2	4	75		25	1			
			3	4	50	50					
			1	11	1	27 :	36	18	18		
		RFEP	2	11		36	18	18	27		
			3	10		40	10	10	40		
			1	15	33	4	0	13	13		
		EL + RFEP	2	15		47	13 7	13	20		
	Special		3	14		43	7 14	7	29		
	Populations		1	8	75	1	13 13				
		Special Ed.	2	8	50	38	13				
			3	7	43	43	3	14			
		1.00	1	8	75	E	13 13				
	0.0	Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	8	50	38	13				
		choosinioi	3	7	43	43	3	14			
			1	4		25	25	25	25		
		Homeless	2	4		50		25	25		
			3	4			25		50	25	
			1	21			10	14		76	
		GATE/Excel	2	21			5	10		86	
			3	19					ō	100	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 8



					3+ Gr Below	2 Gr Below	1 Gr Be	low	Early on Gr	Mid Above Gr
ir.	Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students		P	ercent by	Category		
			1	91		18 5	i 19	24	34	
	All Students	All	2	91		15 5	i 19	19	42	
			3	90		16	2 18	21	43	
	1.	5-25	1	91		19 5	i 19	24	33	
		Allen, K	2	91		16 5	5 18	19	42	
	Tasahar		3	91		16	2 18	21	43	
	Teacher		1	21	38	14	29	14 5		
		Marte, R	2	21	33	5	33	14	14	
			3	20	35	i 10	25	15	15	
			1	16		19 13	19	25	25	
		African American	2	17		24	18	24	35	
			3	16		19	6 13	19	44	
			1	2		50			50	
		American Indian	2	2	50	50				
			3	11					100)
			1	3				33		67
		Asian	2	3				33		67
			3	3			33		67	
	1.5. 5.1		1	3			33		67	
	Ethnicity	Filipino	2	3			33		67	
			3	3			33		67	
			1	43		21 5	28	30	16	
		Hispanic	2	42		14 10	26	21	29	
			3	44		16 2	25	27	30	-
			1	18			6 11	11	72	
		White	2	19			5 5 5	16	68	
			3	18			6 11	22	6	1
			1	6		17	17	17	50	
		Other	2	5		20	20		60	
			3	5		4	0		60	

i Ready Growth Report

i-Ready Reading Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup Tincher 2022-2023 Grade 8



-		0.1	1		3+ Gr Below 2 Gr Below 1 Gr Below Early on Gr Mid Above	Gr
. (Category	Subgroup	Diagnostic Window	# Students	Percent by Category	
T			1	42	14 7 31 12 36	
		Female	2	41	15 10 20 22 34	
	Gender		3	41	15 22 29 34	
	Gender		1	49	20 <mark>4 8</mark> 35 33	
		Male	2	50	16 2 18 16 48	
			3	49	16 4 14 14 51	
			1	46	22 7 30 13 28	
		Low SES	2	46	17 11 15 26 30	
			3	46	20 2 22 24 33	
			1	2	100	
		ELL	2	2	100	
			3	2	100	
		1	1	11	27 9 18 36 9	
		RFEP	2	10	10 10 40 20 20	
L			3	11	18 36 9 36	
			1	13	38 8 15 31 8	
ľ		EL + RFEP	2	12	25 8 33 17 17	
			3	13	31 31 8 31	
L	1.00		1	9	78 11 11	
l,	Special Populations	Special Ed.	2	11	64 27 9	
ľ	opulations		3	9	56 33 11	
L			1	9	78 11 11	
		Spec Ed. Speech/RSP	2	11	64 27 9	
		Shereiurioi	3	9	56 33 11	
			1	1	100	
		Foster	2	1	100	
			3	1	100	
			1	6	17 17 33 17 17	
		Homeless	2	6	17 17 17 33 17	
			3	6	17 17 33 17 17	
			1	34	12 18 71	Í
		GATE/Excel	2	32	9 22 69	
			3		9 15 76	

i-Ready Overall Relative Placement School Data by Subgroup 2022-2023 Grade

Report Name:District Assessments: i-Ready - iReady_Overall_Relative_Placement_Teacher_Level - ARC Report #1218



ELPAC Summative Assessment Grade Level Summary 2022-2023

Site :: Tincher

					<u>Site</u>	Level Ov	<u>/erall P</u>	erforn	nance Le	vel Sur	nmary	P.				
В	3% egini Stag	ning			Son	34% newha	at			50 loder Develo	rately			١	L3% Well velop	
	List	tening				Level Dor Speaking	1	erforn	<u>nance Le</u>	e <mark>vel Sun</mark> Readi				Wri	iting	
9% Beg.		5 6% ne/Mod.	34% Well Dev.		0% Beg.	55% Some/Mod.	459 . Well D		38% Beg.	44% Some/M		19% /ell Dev.	6% Beg.		8% e/Mod.	16% Well Dev.
			G	rade L	evel P	erform	ance s	Summ	ary (Ov	erall a	and by	/ Domai	n)			
	Ov	verall Dev	velopme	ent		Listening		3	Speaking			Reading			Writing	E I
Grade	Beg.	Some.	Mod.	Well	Beg.	Some/ Mod.	Well	Beg.	Some/ Mod.	Well	Beg.	Some/ Mod.	Well	Beg.	Some/ Mod.	
01	0%	20%	40%	40%	20%	60%	20%	0%	80%	20%	0%	60%	40%	20%	40%	40%
02	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	67%	33%	67%	0%	33%	0%	100%	0%
03	0%	29%	71%	0%	0%	71%	29%	0%	29%	57%	14%	71%	14%	0%	100%	0%
04	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%	0%	100%	0%
05	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	67%	33%	0%	100%	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	67%	33%
06	33%	0%	67%	0%	33%	33%	33%	0%	33%	67%	67%	33%	0%	33%	67%	0%
07	0%	40%	40%	20%	0%	100%	0%	0%	40%	60%	60%	20%	20%	0%	80%	20%
08	0%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	100%	33%	67%	0%	0%	67%	33%

Reclassifcation Criteria

ELPAC Results (CDE Site)

Semester 2 - D/F Rate

Included Departments: Art, Career Technical Education, ELD, English, General Studies, History/Social Science, Industrial Tech Ed, Inter-Department, Mathematics, Off Campus, Performing Arts, Physical Education, ROTC, Science, Special Education, World Language



N's are from the current year. Grading in semester 2 of the 19-20 school year was Pass/Fail, due to the COVID-19 emergency closure. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress.

Subaroups with fewer than 20 total arades are not included.

Semester 2 - D/F Rate



N's are from the current year. Grading in semester 2 of the 19-20 school year was Pass/Fail, due to the COVID-19 emergency closure. Dashed line represents data for current year still in progress. Subgroups with fewer than 20 total grades are not included.

Tincher

Culture-Climate Survey (Parent)

School Year: 22-23

Goals						
Area			Descri	ption		
Culture/Climate Goals	reduce the number of Wellness Center and / Administrative staff wil opportunities for at-pro group), materials, and Tincher is also re-build more opportunities for Tincher is using specia	daily office behavior i Asst. Principal (both s Il continue to collabor omise students at eve providers, in order to ding our parent volunt parents to interact w al one-time enrichme	referrals by 50%. This yes 50% positions) rate with the Instruction/In ery grade level, with varied o meet students' varied ne teer community through c ith each other at school d	ar new support will tervention Coordir d times of day (bel eeds. ommunication and rop-off or pickup. nts' sense of agen	include to in ator to in ore/durin	oice and agency, attendance, and to the SEL support offered by the nplement tutoring intervention g/after school) and formats (1:1, sma h, and will work with PTA to create EL by paying fees for our after school
93	3.8%94.1%93.0%93.0%9	00.2%91.5%93.0%93.0%	90.4%90.0%90.0% ^{92.0%}	92.4%94.3%97.0%	96.0%	88.6%90.7%90.0%90.0%
fe	rrent Climate of Support or Academic Learning	Parent Culture Climate Overall 2014-15	Parent Knowledge/Faimess of Disc./Rules/Norms 2015-16 2016-	Parent Safety		Parent Sense of Belonging
fe Budgeted Items Line		Climate Overall	of Disc./Rules/Norms	17 🔲 2017-18		Personnel
for Budgeted Items Line Number 1 Worksho planning Family N 1.5 hour	or Academic Learning ops to support parents of g x 2 presentation = 3 ho Math, Reading and/or Sc	Climate Overall 2014-15 Description f struggling students: urs (1.5 hours per wo ience Night, Orientati a + .5 planning) = 7.5	of Disc./Rules/Norms 2015-16 2016- 1 hour presentation + .5 l orkshop) x 2 teachers= 45 ions= 1 night / 5 TK-8 tea hours = \$540 Student Pl	17 2017-18 0 chers x		

Total

School Plan for Student Achievement Addendum 2023-2024 Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) -

Tincher Preparatory Academy -

If ATSI, identify subgroups:

	African-American	\Box	Foster Youth		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
	American Indian		Hispanic		Students with Disabilities
\Box	Asian-American	\square	Homeless		Two or More Races
\boxtimes	English Learner	\Box	Pacific Islander	-	White
	Filipino				

Attestation:

The School Site Council (SSC), during the process of developing a compliant School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), ensured that quality interventions and services for underachieving students are included in the SPSA, with particular focus on student groups who led to the CSI/ATSI identification.

SSC engaged in a thorough needs assessment, based on data, in order to prioritize student needs and analyze interventions/services.

In addition, the SSC engaged in discussions about resource inequalities and was informed about the supports listed in the District's LCAP regarding our identification as a CSI/ATSI school. Resource Inequities and LBUSD's CSI section are included in this Addendum.

In approving the SPSA for the school, the SSC specifically adds this Addendum to the SPSA as part of its CSI/ATSI responsibilities.

Date Approved by SSC	10/11/23
Signature of Principal	
Signature of SSC Chair	

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment. Consideration of resource inequities can inform the assessment of the effectiveness of actions in the SPSA and provide a basis for the establishment of goals and/or expected outcomes for CSI/ATSI Targeted student groups.

In our analysis of our SPSA services, our SSC found the following about resource inequalities for the targeted CSI/ATSI student groups:

There are no resource inequalities present in our SPSA. Our CSI/ATSI targeted students are a priority and are receiving appropriate services/interventions through our Schoolwide Program.

There was evidence of resource inequalities prior to the SPSA being approved. Our SSC made adjustments to the SPSA in order to correct the issue, and the SPSA is now providing appropriate services/interventions through our Schoolwide Program.

There is some evidence of resource inequalities in the current SPSA. However, our SSC continued to make adjustments to the SPSA in order to ensure that the CSI/ATSI targeted students are being given appropriate services/interventions through our Schoolwide Program.

There is some evidence of resource inequalities in the current SPSA. However, our SSC has
determined that the CSI/ATSI targeted students are receiving appropriate services/interventions through our Schoolwide Program.

Comments about Resource Inequities (optional)

Support for Schools as identified in LBUSD's LCAP

Support for Identified Schools

Support for schools is grounded in coaching and professional learning communities. LBUSD has shifted from compliance and at-a-distance supervision practices to a deeply-rooted coaching orientation, partnership in addressing student achievement issues, and a strong emphasis on quality instruction in every classroom, as defined by the district's Understandings Continuum framework. Since 2014, LBUSD has sought to enhance time spent on campuses, with leaders dedicating an average of three to five hours per month on every campus – visits that include classroom observations alongside the principal, data collection related to instructional implementation, and pedagogical guidance for teachers. Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) schools receive even more intense support, particularly in areas like English Language Arts, Mathematics, and graduation rates.

One crucial area of support centers on school-level needs assessments. LBUSD's Research Office provides in-depth data tailored to each site, which are then used to develop interventions in consultation with stakeholders. Academic measures include ELA and Math benchmarks, real-time grades (especially Ds and Fs), and whether students are on track to complete graduation requirements, while non-academic measures cover chronic absenteeism, suspensions, and disciplinary incidents. With assistance from district leaders, curriculum coaches, and other experts, CSI schools drill down by academic skill, by student group, and by logistical factors (e.g., the time of day and location of suspensions). Site staff receive tools and training to create "watch lists" – i.e., lists of classrooms to monitor closely and lists of students who require further interventions. The latter is connected to phone, email, and text communication systems that can help engage families in the process.

Here are specific supports that LBUSD delivered (and will continue to provide moving forward) to help CSI schools develop their plans:

- Level office staff spent additional hours at CSI schools to help principals conduct deeper analyses on chronic absenteeism, suspensions, disciplinary incidents, and survey data related school culture and climate and social-emotional learning. Such analyses were on top of those conducted for academic measures like English Language Arts, Mathematics, and graduation rates. In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, learning loss and other similar issues were also explored.
- Level office staff, curriculum leaders, and other district support departments provided CSI schools with research on successful evidence-based interventions in comparable schools, both at LBUSD and other districts in California. Depending on the programs, the research included either academic studies or best practices. This information was paired with budget information, so that programs can be sustainably developed.
- Level office staff, curriculum leaders, and other district support departments assisted CSI schools with identifying resource inequities by providing reports on current staff levels, budget figures, and logistical data. Through various one-on-one meetings, sites were then guided by content experts in identifying significant resource gaps. For example, a review of a site's English Learner supports would incorporate additional teachers that might be needed, along with the instructional materials, technological software, intervention scheduling design, and other details that would help build out the program, particularly in a distance-learning environment.
- Based on the research and "gap" analyses described in the two previous bullet points, level office staff, curriculum leaders, and other district support departments helped CSI schools select evidence-based interventions. Among the guiding principles that LBUSD promoted in this decision-making process is sustainability the importance of developing high-impact programs that can be implemented in multi-year timeframes. Given the depth of student needs, it was essential to have a long-term perspective and make adjustments along the way. LBUSD then ensured that CSI schools had training for program implementation.
- Lastly, level office staff, curriculum leaders, and other district support departments helped CSI schools establish monitoring and evaluation systems. Supports included training on how to identify appropriate data to collect (for example, student participation in interventions, related test scores, etc.), how to use LBUSD's "intervention tracker" or similar system, how to schedule data analyses alongside the site visits described above, and how to use feedback for program improvement. In some cases, LBUSD provided CSI schools with sample survey questions for gathering student, parent, and staff perspectives. LBUSD also paired CSI schools with similar partner sites so that data can be compared and promising practices can be shared.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

To monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the CSI plans, quarterly visits will be conducted. These formal visitations will allow site teams to reflect upon their collective efforts and engage colleagues from other schools or district departments for objective feedback.

Quarterly visits will be customized by schools, but follow a common structure:

- Whole Group Briefing: The school team (principal, teachers, and support staff) will provide background for the visit by sharing their CSI plans. They will highlight their site data, professional development activities, and key interventions. They will help visitors develop an understanding of their priorities.
- **Classroom Visits**: School team members and visitors will observe classrooms, collecting data and examples that reinforce the school's CSI plans. They will take notes, collect images, and talk to students in order to summarize their observations.
- Whole Group Debriefing: School team members will facilitate a debriefing that allows them to understand and affirm the site's continuous improvement efforts. They will focus not only on content delivery, teaching practices, and student actions, but also the various interventions that are being implemented to support struggling learners.
- **Principal Debriefing:** Principals and/or principal supervisors will engage in further discussion regarding next steps. This dialogue will be folded into the regular coaching work described in the previous section.

More broadly, data dashboard tools developed by LBUSD's Research Office will feature "Watch Lists" that allow district and site leaders to monitor the progress of CSI schools, their teachers, and their students. The "Schools to Watch" function will enable appropriate stakeholders to track the percentage of students who are "at-risk," "severely at-risk," "high risk," and "cautiously at-risk" across a broad spectrum of measures, including chronic absenteeism, discipline, reading benchmarks, math facts, course grades, graduation requirements, and high school or college readiness (as applicable). Such real-time data will be used to adjust CSI plans and develop additional interventions for struggling learners.



TINCHER PREPARATORY SCHOOL 1701 Petaluma Avenue Long Beach, CA 90815 (562) 493-2636 Mrs. Donna Ryono, Principal Home - School Compact



Dear Parents/Guardians,

The Tincher Home-School Compact is a contract between students, parents/guardians, and school personnel. It outlines our responsibilities and shows the commitment to your child's success. *After reviewing it with your child, please indicate that you have done so by acknowledging it via the accompanying Google form.* We appreciate your support and know that by working together we can increase your child's opportunities to succeed.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Ryono, Principal

The members of the Tincher Community have high expectations of themselves. To provide the highest quality instructional program at Tincher, we agree to the following:

Students:

We agree to:

- Come to class on time, ready to learn, with all necessary materials and assignments completed
- Upon return to school, participate in recreational reading for the number of minutes required by my grade
- Know and follow school and classroom rules for behavior and dress, and follow the Student Behavior Expectations and classroom norms in the Parent Information packet
- Set aside time every day to complete homework or unfinished classroom assignments
- Seek assistance and ask for help when needed
- Communicate with my parents and teachers so they can support me in being successful
- Act respectfully towards staff, students, and families
- Limit non-homework related screen time during the school week

Parents/Guardians:

We agree to:

- Ensure that my child attends school every day on time, completes school work, gets adequate sleep, and proper nutrition
- Read to my child or ensure my child reads every day
- Provide a quiet time and place for homework and limit TV viewing and non-homework time on other electronic devices
- Support the school's discipline and uniform policy
- Monitor my child's progress and communicate with the teacher or school if there is a concern
- Participate in school activities (in-person and virtual) including Fall conferences, Open House, and Back to School Night
- Communicate the importance of education and learning to my child
- Act respectfully towards staff, students, and families

Teachers:

We agree to:

- Provide high-quality curriculum and instruction
- Endeavor to motivate all students to learn
- Communicate high expectations and assist students in developing a love of learning
- Communicate regularly with families about student progress
- Participate in professional development opportunities that improve teaching and learning
- Assist students and support families to ensure each student achieves their maximum potential
- When providing homework, ensure that it is meaningful and that it reinforces and extends classroom learning
- Act respectfully towards staff, students, and families

The Home-School Compact will be sent digitally to parents. In order to ensure receipt of this important information, please ensure that you have a current email on file in ParentVue. Your receipt and acceptance of this information will be provided via the Google form included in the accompanying email.



Tincher Preparatory School Parent Involvement Guidelines

As a school in a district that receives Title I, Part A (Title I) funds, Tincher Preparatory School has developed jointly with the members of Tincher School Site Council and distributed to parents of participating children, Parent Involvement Guidelines, that contains information required by section 1118(b) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) The Guidelines establishes Tincher's expectations for parental involvement and describes how Tincher will implement a number of specific parental involvement activities. The Home-Tincher Compact is incorporated into the Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines.

PART I

Tincher agrees to implement the following requirements:

- jointly develop with parents, distribute to parents of participating children, a Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines that the Tincher and parents of participating children agree on.
- notify parents about the Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, will distribute this Guidelines to parents in a language the parents can understand.
- make the Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines available to the local community.
- periodically review/update the Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines to meet the changing needs of parents and the Tincher community.
- adopt the parent compact as a component of its Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines.
- agrees to be governed by the following statutory definition of parental involvement, and will carry out programs, activities and procedures in accordance with this definition:

PART II. DESCRIPTION OF HOW TINCHER WILL IMPLEMENT REQUIRED TINCHER PARENT INVOLVEMENT GUIDELINES COMPONENTS

- 1. Tincher will take the following actions to involve parents in the joint development and joint agreement of its Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines and its school-wide plan, if applicable, in an organized, ongoing, and timely way under section 1118(b) of the ESEA:
 - Attendance at one of the district trainings or Tincher site training. Topics include:
 - i. Responsibilities and Roles of SSC and its members
 - ii. Composition of SSCs
 - iii. Budgetary considerations
 - iv. School Plan for Student Achievement
 - v. Role of ELAC and other advisory committees
 - Plan meeting with SSC and ELAC parents to review previous year's guidelines and parent involvement activities outlined in the School Plan for Student Achievement
 - i. Invite other parents and stakeholders to attend the meeting
 - ii. Advertise in Newsletters, at Back-to-School night, through SchoolMessenger, Canvas, etc

- At Meeting
 - i. Review School Plan for Student Achievement, and as a group, note changes and make adjustments (deletions or additions) as necessary
 - ii. Write or update the Parent Involvement Guidelines and Home-School Compact
 - iii. Oral and written translations be made available for Spanish and Khmer parents to allow for discussions
- 2. Tincher will take the following actions to distribute to parents and the local community, Tincher's Parent Involvement Guidelines:
 - At a SSC and ELAC meeting (if ELAC Committee is required)
 - Section of Newsletter (Tiger's Roar)
 - Back to School Night
 - Tincher website/Parent Communication (Tiger's Roar) and school marquee

3. Tincher will update periodically its *Parent Involvement Guidelines* to meet the changing needs of parents and the Tincher community:

- At SSC and ELAC meetings
- Parent information meetings
- Tincher School Site Council must vote to approve the guidelines

4. Tincher will provide updated information to parents about programs throughout the school year via

- Tincher Website
- At SSC, ELAC meetings and other parent meetings (PTA/PTO/CAAP, etc.)
- Tincher website/Parent Communication (Tiger's Roar) and school marquee

5. Tincher will provide to parents a description and explanation of the curriculum in use at Tincher, the forms of academic assessment used to measure student progress, and the proficiency levels students are expected to meet. Tincher will also provide opportunities for regular meetings to formulate suggestions and to participate, as appropriate, in decisions relating to the education of their children:

- Parent workshops; parent surveys
- Meet with the Principal
- Tincher Website/Canvas
- Back-to-School Night and Open House
- At SSC and ELAC meetings
- 8th grade high school information night

6. Tincher will coordinate and integrate parent involvement programs and activities with Head Start, public pre-school, and other programs that encourage and support parents in participating more fully in early education of their children by:

- Coordination of Transitional Kindergarten at selected sites
- Promotion/advertisement of the District's Kindergarten festivals

7. Tincher will submit to the district any parent comments if the schoolwide plan under section (1114) (b) (2) is not satisfactory to parents of participating children

PART III

SHARED RESPONSBILITIES FOR HIGH STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

- 1. Tincher will build the school's and parents' capacity for strong parental involvement, in order to ensure effective involvement of parents and to support a partnership among the staff, parents, and community to improve student academic achievement, through the following activities specifically described below:
 - District trainings offered for parents and staff
 - Parent education workshops either on site or virtually
 - Parent-Teacher Conferences/Fall Conferences
 - Monthly calendars of Parent Workshops posted on district website
 - DCAC, DELAC, CAC and other district parent forums/meetings
 - District website resources: click "P" for Parent Involvement
 - VIPS/Volunteers In Public Schools

2. Tincher will incorporate the Home-Tincher Compact as a component of its Parent Involvement Guidelines:

- Outlines shared responsibility of home, school, and student in academic achievement
- Developed, discussed and reviewed at first SSC and ELAC meetings
- Tincher School Site Council must vote to approve Compact annually

3. Tincher will, with the assistance of the district and on-site resources, provide assistance to parents of children served by Tincher in understanding topics such as the following:

- the state's academic content standards
- the state and local academic assessments including alternate assessments

4. Tincher will, with the assistance of its district, provide materials and training to help parents work with their children to improve their children's academic achievement, such as literacy training, and using technology, as appropriate, to foster parental involvement, by:

• Hosting Parent Education Workshops

5. Tincher will, with the assistance of its district and parents, educate its teachers and other staff, in how to reach out to, communicate with, and work with parents as equal partners, in the value and utility of contributions of parents, and in how to implement and coordinate parent programs and build ties between parents and teachers.

6. Tincher will, to the extent feasible and appropriate, take the following actions to ensure that information related to the Tincher parent programs, meetings, and other activities, is available to the parents of participating children in an understandable and uniform format, including alternative formats as needed and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand:

• Bilingual staff will do translations of written materials/notifications sent to parents as needed.

PART IV. DISCRETIONARY TINCHER PARENT INVOLVEMENT GUIDELINES COMPONENTS

<u>NOTE</u>: The Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines may include additional paragraphs listing and describing other discretionary activities that Tincher, in consultation with its parents, chooses to undertake to build parents' capacity for involvement in Tincher to support their children's academic achievement, such as the following discretionary activities listed under section 1118(e) of the ESEA:

- involving parents in the development of training for teachers, principals, and other educators to improve the effectiveness of that training;
- paying reasonable and necessary expenses associated with parent involvement activities, including transportation and child care costs, to enable parents to participate in Tincher-related meetings and training sessions;
- training parents to enhance the involvement of other parents;
- in order to maximize parent involvement and participation in their children's education, arranging Tincher meetings at a variety of times, or conducting in-home conferences between teachers or other educators, who work directly with participating children, with parents who are unable to attend those conferences at Tincher;
- adopting and implementing model approaches to improving parental involvement;
- establishing a district wide parent advisory council (DCAC) to provide advice on all matters related to parental involvement in Title I, Part A programs;
- developing appropriate roles for community-based organizations and businesses, including faith-based organizations, in parental involvement activities; and
- providing other reasonable support for parental involvement activities under section 1118 as parents may request.

* * * * * * *

PART V. ADOPTION

These Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines have been developed jointly with, and agreed upon by, parents of children attending Tincher. The Guidelines were adopted by the site's Tincher School Site Council members on 10/11/23 and will be in effect for the period of <u>1 year</u>. Tincher will make the Guidelines available to all parents within 10 days of adoption. Tincher, when feasible, will provide a digital copy of these Guidelines to parents in a language the parents can understand.

Signature of Principal

Date

2023 SSC/Tincher Parent Involvement Guidelines