

Stamford Public Schools

Private, Parochial, & Charter Schools Referral to Special Education Packet



STAMFORD PUBLIC SCHOOLS CONSENT FOR RELEASE/EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION

to und obtuin	n information from		, in regard
to (child's na	ame)	, D.O.B	
The above-n	amed agency or individual provider's address	is	
	, and contact in	nformation is	
Type of Info	ormation		
	Medical		
	Wichical		
	Psychiatric/Mental Health		
	Psychiatric/Mental Health		

Date of expiration for this consent: one year from the date of parent signature.

I understand that I may revoke this consent at any time by notifying Stamford Public Schools in writing. Any information gathered or released prior to the revocation of this consent is valid and cannot be voided. I also understand that, even if I do not revoke this consent, the consent will expire at the end of the year.

Signature of Guardian

Relationship to Child

Signature of School Personnel

-P

Date

Title

Date

Stamford Public Schools Contact Name

Stamford Public Schools Contact Title and Date

SR-7 Revised September 2013 SR-7

STAMFORD PUBLIC SCHOOLS (ESCUELAS PÚBLICAS DE STAMFORD) STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

<u>PERMISO DE DIVULGACIÓN DE DOCUMENTOS</u> <u>Y / O INFORMACIÓN DE DOCUMENTOS</u>

Nombre del estudiante:			FDN:			
	Apellido, Non	nbre, Segund	lo nombre			
Documentos a ser divul	gados: (Favo	r de mar	car el o los ítems per	tinentes)		
 ☐ Académico ☐ ☐ Asistencia ☐ ☐ Informe Interdiscip El o los documentos arriba 		□ □ án divulg	Sicológico Trabajo Social Carpeta del PPT gados a:	□ Suspensión□ Otro (Especifi□ Habla y Leng		
Escuela / Agencia:						
Dirección:						
Persona de Contacto:						
El propósito de esta divulg	ación es:					
	años puede :	revisar y nte autor s).	disputar los docume izo la divulgación de	al / estudiante mayor d ntos antes de su divulg el o los arriba menciona Fecha	gación	
	Dirección					
	Firma de funci	onario(s)		Sitio		
Nombre de la escuela que div Original en la carpeta acumu Copia para padre o madre	-	cumentos	Firma del adn de la instit	ninistrador de los docume ución	entos	
Copia que acompaña al docur	nento		Fecha			

STAMFORD PUBLIC SCHOOLS

REFERRAL TO DETERMINE ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATED SERVICES

Student:		DOB			٨ مو.	Grade	
Address:			-	-	-		
Telephone:							
1. <u>AREA(S) OF (</u>	CONCERN:						
	f concern, and briefly de one area of concern, circ						hecked. If you have
Academic	□ Social/Emotional	□ Gross/Fine	Motor		tivities of	Daily Living	
\Box Health Related	□ Behavior	🗆 Communic	ation	🗆 Otl	her: (speci	ify)	
A. Describe Spe	cific Concerns:						
B. <u>Describe Alte</u>	ernative Strategies Atter	mpted and Out	<u>come:</u> (U	Jse addit	ional page	es if necessary.)	
ED621							
January 2006 Page 1 of 2							

Stude	ent:	DOB:		
2.	Special Services History:			
Are y	you aware of any special services provided for this child now or in the past?		□ Yes	□ No
If Yes,	describe the type, location, and provider of the service.			
3.	Other Relevant Information:			
4.	Parent Notification:			
Has t	the parent/guardian been notified about your concerns regarding this student?		□ Yes	□ No
If Ye	s, method of notification:			
Date	(s) parent/guardian was notified:			
Signe	ed: Date:			
	(Signature of individual completing this form)			
proce 10-76 forty- whose progr conse evalue comm refer provid shoul servid	use note: The special education referral date immediately affords the student a edural safeguards. This referral also "starts the clock" with respect to a edural (2) which provide that "(1) The individualized education progrative days of referral or notice, exclusive of the time required to obtain parental e individualized education program calls for out-of-district or private placements and shall be implemented within sixty days of referral or notice, exclusive of the time required to be the there end." If a parent communicates in writing directly with a staff member that the ation to determine her/his eligibility for special education services, the date the nunication constitutes the date of referral. If a parent communicates verbally with their child for an evaluation to determine her/his eligibility for and, when necessary, assist the form the be understood that, in all instances, this is a referral for an evaluation to determine the the set. Actual eligibility for special education services is determined by the PPT of the test.	the timel ram shall consent, the e time req ey wish to staff mem h a staff m services, parent in hine eligib	ines speci be impler (2) In the individuali quired to o perfer the obser receiv hember that the staff m completing ility for speci	fied in RCSA mented within case of a child zed education btain parental ir child for an es this written at they wish to member should g this form. It ecial education

ED621 January 2006 Page 2 of 2 Escuela

Fecha recibida

ESCUELAS PÚBLICAS DE STAMFORD

REFERIDO PARA DETERMINAR ELEGIBILIDAD PARA EDUCACIÓN ESPECIAL Y SERVICIOS RELACIONADOS

			Grado	
Estudiante :			Edad: :	
Padre/Tutor: Dirección:		Deferido	Principal: □Inglés □Otro:	
Direction.		F_ 1		
Teléfono:			n con niño(a):	
1. <u>ÁREA(S)</u>	<u>DE INTERÉS:</u>			
			el niño, o su rendimiento en cada área marcada. Si us jue considera la más alta prioridad.	ted
🗆 Académica	□ Social/Emocional	Motor Grueso/Fino	Actividades del Diario Vivir	
🗆 De la Salud	Conducta	🗆 Comunicación	Otro: (especifique)	
B. Describa	sus preocupaciones particu	lares:		
C. <u>Describa</u>	estrategias alternativas int	entadas y los resultados	(Use hojas adicionales si es necesario.)	
ED621 Enero de 2006				

Estudiante:	FDN:	
2. <u>Hisotrial de Servicios Especiales:</u>		
¿Está usted consciente de algunos servicios especiales proporcionados para este niño(a), ahora o en el pasado?	🗆 Sí	🗆 No
En caso afirmativo, describa el tipo, el lugar, y el proveedor del servicio.		
3. <u>Otra información relevante</u> :		
4. <u>Notificación a los padres</u> :		
¿Se le ha notificado al Padre/Tutor respecto a sus preocupaciones por este niño(a)?	🗆 Sí	□ No
En caso afirmativo, el método de notificación:		
Fecha(s) cuando se notificó al Padre/Tutor:		
Firma: Fecha:		
(Firma del individuo que llenó este formulario)		

*Por favor note: La fecha del referido a educación especial otorga al estudiante y al(a los) padre(s) todas las salvaguardas de procedimiento. Este referido también "empieza a contar el tiempo" respecto a los plazos especificados en RCSA 10-76d-13(a)(1) y (2) los cuales disponen que "(1) El programa educativo individualizado deberá implementarse dentro de cuarenta y cinco días a partir del referido o la notificación, sin contar el tiempo requerido para obtener el consentimiento de los padres. (2) En el caso de un niño cuyo programa educativo individualizado estipula una ubicación privada o fuera del distrito, el programa educativo individualizado deberá implementarse dentro de sesenta días a partir del referido o la notificación, sin contar el tiempo requerido para obtener el consentimiento de los padres." Si un padre se comunica por escrito directamente con un miembro del personal indicándole que desea referir a su niño para una evaluación para determinar su elegibilidad para servicios de educación especial, la fecha del recibo de dicha comunicación escrita por el miembro del personal constituirá la fecha de referido. Si un padre se comunica verbalmente con un miembro del personal indicándole que desea referir a su niño para una evaluación para determinar su elegibilidad para servicios de educación especial, el miembro del personal deberá entregar al padre una copia de este formulario de referido y, cuando sea necesario, ayudarle al padre a llenar este formulario. Hay que tener en cuenta que, en todo caso, este es un referido para una evaluación para determinar la elegibilidad para servicios de educación especial. La elegibilidad eventual para servicios de educación especial es determinada por el PPT solamente después de terminada la evaluación.

Stamford Public Schools Request for Assistance

Date Completed:	Date Received:	S	Student Name:		DOB:		Grade:		
Home Dominant Language: Is a		ls a translat	a translator needed? Y N Is student Eligible for ELL Serv		L Services: Y N Attendance This School # of Absences:				
Was the student previously retained? Y N Grade:		rade:	Has t	he student	received SRBI suppo	ort previo	usly? Y N	# of Tardies:	
Vision Tested: Y N Results:		-	Hearing Tested: Y N R		Results:	esults:			
Other relevant medical infor	mation:								
Mother's Name: Address:		dress: Phon		Phone:	Phone:		il:		
Father's Name: Address:				Phone:		Emai	il:		
*Attach recent and historical record cards/progress reports									

Parent Input Related to Progress and Concerns:

Parent Contact log:

When was contact made?	Who was contacted?	How was contact made?	Discussion Notes:

Student Strengths:						
Reading	Written Expression	Mathematics	Observable Behaviors			
Phonemic Awareness	□Letter/Word Formation &	□ Fact Fluency	□ Organization/Preparedness			
Decoding: Phonics Level	Spacing	□ Operations/ Computation	□ Time Management			
Decoding: Multi-syllabic	□ Planning/Organization	□ Problem-solving Strategies	□ Task Initiation			
□ Fluency: Rate	□ Spelling/Conventions	□Conceptual Understanding	□ Sustained Attention			
Fluency: Accuracy	□ Sentence Structure/ Grammar	□ Application/Reasoning	□ Planning/Prioritization			
□ Fluency: Prosody	Paragraph Composition/ Sequencing	□Other:	Peer Relations/Working with others			
□Listening Comprehension	□ Elaboration/Language Usage		□ Following Directions			
□Literal Reading Comprehension	□ Constructed Response		□ Persistence with Complex Tasks			
□ Inferential Reading Comprehension	Editing/Revising		□ Flexibility/Transitions			
□Vocabulary: Word Knowledge	□ Oral Language		□Other:			
□Vocabulary: Content	☐ Other:					
□Other:						

Student Areas of Concern and Data Illustrating Concerns: *Check areas of concern and provide data only for those areas *Include Universal Assessment and Classroom Data Sources/Scores *Attach documentation and work samples						
Reading	Data Sources and Student Scores	Written Expression	Data Sources and Student Scores			
	Related to Areas of Concern		Related to Areas of Concern			
Phonemic Awareness		□Letter/Word Formation & Spacing				
Decoding: Phonics Level		□ Planning/Organization				
Decoding: Multi-syllabic		□ Spelling/Conventions				

□Fluency: Rate		□Sentence Structure/ Grammar	
Fluency: Accuracy		□Paragraph Composition/ Sequencing	
□ Fluency: Prosody		□Elaboration/Language Usage	
□ Listening Comprehension		□Constructed Response	
□ Literal Reading		Editing/Revising	
Comprehension		□Oral Language	
□Inferential Reading		□Other:	
Comprehension			
□Vocabulary: Word Knowledge			
□Vocabulary: Content			
□Other:			
Mathematics	Data Sources and Student Scores	Observable Behaviors	Data Sources and Specific Data Points
Mathematics	Data Sources and Student Scores Related to Areas of Concern	Observable Behaviors	Data Sources and Specific Data Points Related to Areas of Concern
Mathematics		Observable Behaviors	
Fact Fluency		□ Organization/Preparedness	
□Fact Fluency □Operations/ Computation		 Organization/Preparedness Time Management 	
 □ Fact Fluency □ Operations/ Computation □ Problem-solving Strategies 		 Organization/Preparedness Time Management Task Initiation 	
 Fact Fluency Operations/ Computation Problem-solving Strategies Conceptual Understanding 		 Organization/Preparedness Time Management Task Initiation Sustained Attention 	
 Fact Fluency Operations/ Computation Problem-solving Strategies Conceptual Understanding Application/Reasoning 		 Organization/Preparedness Time Management Task Initiation Sustained Attention Planning/Prioritization 	
 Fact Fluency Operations/ Computation Problem-solving Strategies Conceptual Understanding Application/Reasoning 		 Organization/Preparedness Time Management Task Initiation Sustained Attention Planning/Prioritization Peer Relations/Working with others 	
 Fact Fluency Operations/ Computation Problem-solving Strategies Conceptual Understanding Application/Reasoning 		 Organization/Preparedness Time Management Task Initiation Sustained Attention Planning/Prioritization Peer Relations/Working with others Following Directions 	

Tiered Targeted Instructional Practices Implemented by Classroom Teacher or Intervention staff:

*Aligned with areas of concern, particularly priority area

Description of Differentiation to Core Instruction	Specific concern targeted	Frequency of support	Student Response/Result (refer to progress monitoring data)
		Student is met with in a small group times per week for minutes for weeks.	
		Student is met with in a small group times per week for minutes for weeks.	

Tiered Targeted Instructional Practices Implemented by Classroom Teacher or Intervention staff : *Aligned with areas of concern, particularly priority area		
	Student is met with in a small group times per week forminutes for weeks.	
	Student is met with in a small group times per week for minutes for weeks.	
	Student is met with in a small group times per week for minutes for weeks.	
	Student is met with in a small group times per week for minutes for weeks.	

Stamford Public Schools Written Expression Worksheet

(To document that a student has received appropriate instruction and intervention in written expression)

This checklist must be completed for <u>all</u> elementary, middle, and high school students who have been referred to special education due to a suspected learning disability that affects written expression. This information should generally be gathered prior to a referral to special education as part of early intervention (i.e., alternative procedures required to be implemented in regular education under CT Special Education Regulations §10-76d-7). (<u>All</u> boxes must be checked with appropriate documentation provided.)

1. Core General Education Written Expression Instruction (Tier I)

Student has participated in daily general education written expression instruction using scientific research-based practices provided to the entire class by the general education teacher.

Description of Instruction Provided: General education instruction should involve a comprehensive, district-wide writing curriculum that addresses state standards and all important areas of writing (e.g., through explicit teaching of basic writing skills, planning and organizational strategies, and writing knowledge; use of a writing process, with strategies for editing and revision; opportunities for practice; appropriate use of technology in writing; reading-writing connections):

2. Small Group/Differentiated Instruction by General Education Teacher (Tier I)

Student has participated in small group, differentiated written expression instruction by the classroom teacher as part of Tier I general education instruction (i.e., for all students). Materials appropriate to the student's instructional level have been used for a minimum of four days per week.

Description -How Core Curriculum was Differentiated to Meet Individual Student Needs in Small Group Setting:

3. Progress Monitoring Assessments (Tier I)

Continuous progress monitoring has been provided to establish a basis for instructional decisions and to document a student's response to instruction.

Description/Source of Evidence of Progress Monitoring:

□ Results attached

Assessment (e.g., curriculum based measurement, curriculum-based assessments, diagnostic assessments)	Skills/Competencies Targeted (e.g., basic writing skills, planning, text generation/content development, revision)	Dates

- 4. Supplemental scientific research-based interventions (Tier II targeted interventions; Tier III more targeted and intensive interventions)
- □ Interventions have been implemented based on specific student needs in important areas of writing, such as basic writing skills, text generation, or revision/editing processes.
- Appropriately qualified and trained staff have provided the interventions, which have been implemented with fidelity (i.e., delivered in the manner in which they were designed and intended to be used). Documentation indicating frequency, duration and type of intervention is either listed on this form or attached.

Page 1 of 2 ED636 - Writing Worksheet

a. If basic writing skills have been identified as an area of weakness:

- □ Student's basic writing skills (e.g., handwriting/keyboarding, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, sentence structure) have been evaluated and targeted interventions have been provided in specific areas of need.
- □ Student has been provided with appropriate access to and teaching about the use of technology in writing to improve basic writing skills (e.g., use of spell-checkers).
- □ Student has been taught strategies for reviewing and editing written work to improve basic writing skills.
- □ Student has been provided with regular opportunities to practice basic writing skills.
 - Teacher has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.

b. If text generation (i.e., content aspects of writing that involve translating ideas into language) has been identified as an area of weakness, beyond what can be accounted for by identified weaknesses in basic writing skills:

- □ Student's vocabulary and other oral language skills have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided, with application to writing.
- □ Student's ability to plan and organize writing have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided (e.g., additional, more explicit teaching of strategies for brainstorming or researching ideas).
- □ Student's knowledge about writing (e.g., writing for an intended audience, use of formal vs. informal language in writing, schemas for different writing tasks such as reports vs. narratives) has been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided.
- □ Student has been provided with appropriate access to and teaching about the use of technology in writing to improve text generation (e.g., use of online thesaurus to improve word choice/avoid repetition of the same word).
- □ Student has been taught strategies for reviewing and revising written work to improve content/text generation.
- □ Student has been provided with regular opportunities to practice text generation.
 - □ **Teacher** has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.

5. Lack of sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standards (Tiers II/III)

The student has not made sufficient progress in the supplemental intervention(s) implemented above despite attempts to improve, individualize, and intensify the intervention.

Source of Evidence: Attach teacher support and/or intervention team information (including data in numeric and graphic formats) **AND** complete chart below

Scientific research-based interventions used as supplemental and/or intensive interventions. These interventions are in addition to what is provided for all students (i.e., Tier I)	Student's response to interventions Baseline plus at least four additional progress monitoring measurements for each intervention (CBM or other appropriate measure)	Dates of intervention implementation

NOTE: Please see 2010 *Guidelines for Identifying Children with Learning Disabilities* for more information regarding instructions on completing the worksheet.

(Teacher signature)

(Date)

(Signature of person(s) responsible for item #5)

Stamford Public Schools Mathematics Worksheet

(To document that a student has received appropriate instruction and intervention in mathematics)

This checklist must be completed for <u>all</u> elementary, middle, and high school students who have been referred to special education due to a suspected learning disability that affects mathematics. This information should generally be gathered prior to a referral to special education as part of early intervention (i.e., alternative procedures required to be implemented in regular education under CT Special Education Regulations §10-76d-7). (<u>All</u> boxes must be checked with appropriate documentation provided.)

1. Core General Education Mathematics Instruction (Tier I)

□ Student has participated in daily general education mathematics instruction using scientific research-based practices provided to the entire class by the general education teacher.

Description of Instruction Provided: General education instruction should involve a comprehensive, district-wide math curriculum that addresses state standards and all important areas of math, (e.g., through the explicit teaching of strategies that promote conceptual understanding, problem-solving, calculation skills, and procedural accuracy and fluency):

2. Small Group/Differentiated Instruction by General Education Teacher (Tier I)

Student has participated in small group, differentiated math instruction by the classroom teacher as part of Tier I general education instruction (i.e., for all students). Materials at the student's instructional level have been used for a minimum of four days per week.

Description -How Core Curriculum was Differentiated to Meet Individual Student Needs in Small Group Setting:

3. Progress Monitoring Assessments (Tier I)

Continuous progress monitoring has been provided to establish a basis for instructional decisions and to document a student's response to instruction.

Description/Source of Evidence of Progress Monitoring:

Assessment (e.g., curriculum based measurement, curriculum-based assessments, diagnostic	Skills/Competencies Targeted (e.g., math concepts, problem solving, calculation skills, procedural accuracy and fluency)	Dates
assessments)		

- 4. Supplemental scientific research-based interventions (Tier II targeted interventions; Tier III more targeted and intensive interventions)
- □ Interventions have been implemented based on specifiC student needs in important areas of math such as math concepts, problem solving, calculation skills or procedural accuracy and fluency.
- Appropriately qualified and trained staff have provided the interventions, which have been implemented with fidelity (i.e., delivered in the manner in which they were designed and intended to be used). Documentation indicating frequency, duration and type of intervention is either listed on this form or attached.

a. If calculation skills have been identified as an area of weakness:

- Student's conceptual understanding of numbers has been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided (e.g., additional, more explicit instruction with use of visual representations such as pictures or manipulatives).
- □ Student's automatic recall of facts has been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided.
- Student has been provided with explicit teaching of algorithms for calculation linking procedures to a conceptual understanding (e.g., written procedures for 2-digit subtraction with regrouping, long division).
- Student has been provided with regular opportunities to practice learned calculation skills in appropriate contexts, including cumulative review of previously learned skills.
- □ **Teacher** has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.

b. If problem-solving skills have been identified as an area of weakness beyond what can be accounted for by identified calculation deficits and/or poor reading:

- Student's math-related vocabulary and other oral language skills have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided, with application to math problem solving.
- Student's specific problem-solving skills (e.g., ability to determine which operation to use to solve a problem, identifying relevant vs. irrelevant information) have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided.
- Student has been provided with regular opportunities to practice learned problem-solving skills, including cumulative review of previously learned skills.
- □ **Teacher** has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.

5. Lack of sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standards (Tiers II/III)

The student has not made sufficient progress in the supplemental intervention(s) implemented above despite attempts to improve, individualize and intensify the intervention.

Source of Evidence: Attach teacher support and/or intervention team information (including data in numeric and graphic formats) **AND** complete chart below

Scientific research-based interventions used as supplemental and/or intensive interventions. These interventions are in addition to what is provided for all students (i.e., Tier I)	Student's response to interventions Baseline plus at least four additional progress monitoring measurements for each intervention (Curriculum Based Measurement -CBM or other appropriate measure)	Dates of intervention implementation

NOTE: Please see 2010 *Guidelines for Identifying Children with Learning Disabilities* for more information regarding instructions on completing the worksheet.

(Teacher signature)

(Date)

(Date)

(Signature of person(s) responsible for item #5)

Reading Worksheet

(To document that a student has received appropriate instruction and intervention in reading)

This checklist must be completed for <u>all</u> elementary, middle, and high school students who have been referred to special education due to a suspected learning disability that affects reading. This information should generally be gathered prior to a referral to special education as part of early intervention (i.e., alternative procedures required to be implemented in regular education under CT Special Education Regulations §10-76d-7). (<u>All</u> boxes must be checked with appropriate documentation provided.)

1. Core General Education Language Arts Instruction (Tier I)

Student has participated in daily general education reading/language arts instruction using scientific research-based practices provided to the entire class by the general education teacher.

Description of Instruction Provided: General education instruction should involve a comprehensive, district-wide reading curriculum that addresses state standards and the five areas of reading (e.g., through read-alouds; systematic phonics instruction; word study and structural analysis; fluency-building activities; explicit vocabulary instruction; literature think-alouds; comprehension strategy instruction):

2. Small Group/Differentiated Instruction by General Education Teacher (Tier I)

Student has participated in small group, differentiated reading instruction by the classroom teacher as part of Tier I general education instruction (i.e., for all students). Materials at the student's instructional level (90-95% word accuracy and at least 75-80% comprehension) have been used for a minimum of four days per week.

Description -How Core Curriculum was Differentiated to Meet Individual Student Needs in Small Group Setting:

3. Progress Monitoring Assessments (Tier I)

Continuous progress monitoring has been provided to establish a basis for instructional decisions and to document a student's response to instruction.

Description/Source of Evidence of Progress Monitoring:

Assessment (e.g., curriculum based measurement, curriculum-based assessments, diagnostic assessments)	Skills/Competencies Targeted (e.g., phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension)	Dates

- 4. Supplemental scientific research-based interventions (Tier II targeted interventions; Tier III more targeted and intensive interventions)
- Interventions have been implemented based on specific student needs in one or more of the five areas of reading: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and/or comprehension.
- Appropriately qualified and trained staff has provided the interventions, which have been implemented with fidelity (i.e., delivered in the manner in which they were designed and intended to be used). Documentation indicating frequency, duration and type of intervention is either listed on this form or attached.

a. If decoding skills have been identified as an area of weakness:

- □ Student's phonemic awareness has been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided.
- \Box Student has been provided with systematic, explicit phonics instruction.
- \Box Student has been provided with regular opportunities to practice learned decoding skills in texts.
- Teacher has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.

b. If a student's oral reading fluency has been identified as an area of weakness:

- □ Student's phonics skills have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided.
- Student has been provided with regular opportunities to practice reading a variety of text at his/her independent level (at least 96% word accuracy and 90% comprehension).
- Student has been provided with teacher-directed fluency interventions focused specifically on improving oral reading fluency with connected text.
- Teacher has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.
- c. If a student's reading comprehension skills have been identified as an area of weakness beyond what can be accounted for by identified decoding and/or reading fluency deficits:
 - Student's vocabulary skills have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided, with application to reading comprehension.
 - Student's broad oral language skills (e.g., listening comprehension) have been evaluated and if warranted, targeted interventions have been provided, with application to reading comprehension.
 - Student has been provided with explicit comprehension interventions (e.g., additional instruction in research-based comprehension strategies such as summarization and use of graphic organizers; additional building of background knowledge and/or knowledge of text structure) to address his/her specific comprehension needs.
 - Teacher has systematically collected progress monitoring data, using valid and reliable measures, to determine the student's response to the interventions provided.

5. Lack of sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standards (Tiers II/III)

□ The student has not made sufficient progress in the supplemental intervention(s) implemented above despite attempts to improve, individualize and intensify the intervention.

Source of Evidence: Attach teacher support and/or intervention team information (including data in numeric and graphic formats) **<u>AND</u>** complete chart below

Scientific research-based interventions used as supplemental and/or intensive interventions. These interventions are in addition to what is provided for all students (i.e., Tier I)	Student's response to interventions Baseline plus at least four additional progress monitoring measurements for each intervention (CBM or other appropriate measure)	Dates of intervention implementation

NOTE: Please see 2010 *Guidelines for Identifying Children with Learning Disabilities* for more information regarding instructions on completing the worksheet.

(Teacher signature)

(Date)

(Signature of person(s) responsible for item #5)

(Date)