# **International Leadership of Texas**

## Keller Saginaw High

# 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan



# **Mission Statement**

The mission of International Leadership of Texas is to prepare students for exceptional leadership roles in the international community by emphasizing servant leadership, mastering the English, Spanish and Chinese languages, and strengthening the body, mind and character.

# Vision

ILTexas Keller-Saginaw High School is a college preparatory experience where our language acquisition programs and rigorous educational opportunities are unparalleled in the DFW area. It is our expectation that our students will explore all opportunities available as they matriculate through their high school years and get involved in every aspect of our school through academics, athletics and servant leadership.

# Motto

"Others Before Self"

## Campus Creed: FLIGHT

Finish What You Start

Lead By Example

Improve Daily

 $Give \ Your \ Best \ Effort$ 

Honor and Respect Yourself and Others

Take Responsibility

## **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student by Program (2020 - 2021 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/11/2021)	5
Other Student Information (2020 - 2021 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/11/2021)	6
Student Learning	7
	8
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	15
Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.	16
Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.	17
Goal 3: The percent of graduates that demonstrate College, Career, and/or Military Readiness will increase from 69% to 71% by June 2022.	18
Goal 4: The percent of students increasing at least one performance sub-level (low, mid, high) annually on the End of Year AAPPL or ACTFL assessment will increase from 50% to 70% by June 2022.	20
Goal 5: The percent of teachers retained annually will increase from 76% to 80% by June 2022.	21
Goal 6: Provide instructional material and tutorial services for students; opportunities for teachers, administrators, and district support staff to attend	
conferences/Professional development to strengthen their ability to support all students with a focus on ESL, DLI, and other programs that will increase student	
achievement at the Meets level in reading/English for English learners.	25
Goal 7: To improve the culture and climate of our campuses by the end of the 2021-2022 school year.	27
State Compensatory	28
Personnel for Keller Saginaw High	29
Title I Personnel	29
Plan Notes	30
Campus Funding Summary	32
Addendums	33

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

### Demographics

#### **Demographics Summary**

IL Texas Keller-Saginaw High School is a college-prep high school that focuses on International leadership and trilingual education. Our curriculum includes Spanish, Mandarin, fitness, and a grade-level community service project which is student-initiated and implemented. Our international focus includes an agreement with a private school to educate students from China with the goal of high school graduation, college admission, and college graduation. We create global citizens by focusing on educating the body, mind, and character.

Female	<u>369</u> 50.90%		
Male	<u>356</u> 49.10%		
Ethnicity			
Hispanic-Latino	<u>383</u> 52.83%		
Race			
American Indian - Alaskan Native	<u>1</u> 0.14%		
Asian	<u>52</u> 7.17%		
Black - African American	<u>122</u> 16.83%		
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	<u>2</u> 0.28%		
White	<u>131</u> 18.07%		
Two-or-More	<u>34</u> 4.69%		
Student by Program (2020 - 2021 Prelimi	inary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/11/2021)	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient (LEP)		<u>139</u>	19.17%
Bilingual		0	0.00%
English as a Second Language (ES	SL)	<u>79</u>	10.90%
Alternative Bilingual Language Pr	rogram	0	0.00%
Alternative ESL Language Program	m	<u>61</u>	8.41%
Gifted and Talented		<u>83</u>	11.45%
Special Education (SPED)		<u>51</u>	7.03%
Title I Participation		725	100.00%
Dyslexia		26	3.59%
Economic Disadvantage		_	
Economic Disadvantage Total		<u>313</u>	43.17%
Keller Saginaw High Generated by Plan4Learning.com			

Free Meals	<u>64</u>	8.83%	0
Reduced-Price Meals	<u>54</u>	7.45%	/o
Other Economic Disadvantage	<u>195</u>	26.90	)%
Homeless Statuses			
Homeless Status Total	<u>1</u>	0.14%	/o
Shelter	0	0.00%	/o
Doubled Up	0	0.00%	/o
Unsheltered	0	0.00%	/o
Hotel/Motel	<u>1</u>	0.14%	/o
Other Student Information (2020 - 2021 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01	/11/2021	) Coun	t Percent
Other Student Information (2020 - 2021 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01. At-Risk	/11/2021	) Count <u>308</u>	t <b>Percent</b> 42.48%
	/11/2021		
At-Risk	/11/2021	<u>308</u>	42.48%
At-Risk Title I Homeless	/11/2021	<u>308</u> 0	42.48% 0.00%
At-Risk Title I Homeless Immigrant	/11/2021	<u>308</u> 0 <u>8</u>	42.48% 0.00% 1.10%
At-Risk Title I Homeless Immigrant Migrant	/11/2021	<u>308</u> 0 <u>8</u> 0	42.48% 0.00% 1.10% 0.00%
At-Risk Title I Homeless Immigrant Migrant Military Connected	/11/2021	<u>308</u> 0 <u>8</u> 0 <u>32</u>	42.48% 0.00% 1.10% 0.00% 4.41%
At-Risk Title I Homeless Immigrant Migrant Military Connected Foster Care	/11/2021	308 0 8 0 32 0	42.48% 0.00% 1.10% 0.00% 4.41% 0.00%

Role/Auxiliary Role ID Gender/Ethn Summary Report 2020 for Iltexas Kell-

County-District Number: 057848 District Name:

Role ID	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino	American Indian or Alaska Native
(003) - Assistant Principal	0	0	1	0
(008) - Counselor	0	1	0	0
(013) - Librarian	0	0	0	0
(020) - Principal	0	1	0	0
(022) - School Nurse	0	0	1	0
(026) - Speech Therapist/Speech-Language Pathologist	0	0	0	0
(033) - Educational Aide	0	0	2	0
(087) - Teacher	7	6	6	1
(202) - Campus Office/clerical (Receptionist, Secretary, Certification Specialist, Bookkeeper, Data Clerk, Peims Data Clerk, Registrar)	0	0	4	0

#### **Demographics Strengths**

1. Our staff is a reflection of our student body, which is diverse and able to pull from various cultures and ethnic backgrounds to enrich the learning process.

2. We celebrate diversity in our program, not only by requiring students to study the Spanish and Mandarin Chinese language, but we also celebrate the cultures of our students that represent various countries around the world through our International Festival and other campus activities.

3. We have a number of parents and families that speak only Spanish. For these families, correspondence from our school via School-Messenger will be in English and Spanish. We also employ a number of faculty members who are available to translate meetings if needed.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Increased number of special populations (Special Education students). Root Cause: Increased enrollment in the freshman class

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Increase in low SES students Root Cause: Increased enrollment and marketing to students and parents

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Time to triage the most needy students Root Cause: Triage structure

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.

### **Student Learning**

**Student Learning Summary** 

#### STAAR EOC Performance for 2020 - 2021 School Year

20-21	EOC Algebra I	51%	80%
20-21	EOC Biology	81%	80%
20-21	EOC English I	66%	80%
20-21	EOC English II	84%	80%
			80%
20-21	EOC U.S. History	96%	

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Students in all EOC tested areas continue to outperform other district high schools in comparison. Despite the impact of the Covid 19 Pandemic, students performed above average in comparison to surrounding schools.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students not performing at the Meets Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all students

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Increased number of special populations (Special Education students). Root Cause: Increased enrollment in the freshman class

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Increase in low SES students Root Cause: Increased enrollment and marketing to students and parents

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): The need for larger class sizes Root Cause: Increase in student population

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.

### **School Processes & Programs**

#### School Processes & Programs Summary

Technology:

- Teachers are issued laptop computers
- students are issued Chromebooks
- Teachers have access to a variety of instructional software and websites including Google Classroom, Skyward, TEKS Resource Service, and PLATO.
- Each classroom is equipped with a projector and an interactive whiteboard and Document cameras

#### Teacher Support/Collaboration/Coaching

- Master Schedule supports teacher collaboration and input into the decision-making process by grouping grade level and content area teachers into specific learning communities.
- Each PLC is facilitated by a GLA (Grade Level) or Lead (Content Area) that promotes problem-solving and decision making.
- All new teachers are partnered with a mentor to support them with instructional needs and questions as well as emotional support
- Questions are encouraged and forwarded to Cabinet Leadership Team and responses are communicated to staff in informal and formal
- Weekly staff newsletter
- Committees are formed to find solutions and take action.
- Monthly GLA newsletters

#### Student Leadership

- Students are encouraged to form a club proposal to submit to the principal for approval
- Students promote a college-going atmosphere by joining the ASP leadership group to support underclassman in the college selection and application process
- Selective seniors volunteer time at the K8 feeder schools to support teachers and students

Focus on "mind, body, and character"

- Students engage in life-long fitness activities through a daily fitness class
- leadership development is supported and encouraged through a school-wide leadership course. The course focuses on positive leadership traits and servant leadership.
- Students are required to attend Flight Training (tutorials) and/or Eagle Academy (Academic support) when identified as a student that would benefit from extra support

#### School Leadership:

- Increase in teacher retention compared to the previous year
- 6 new staff members were added to the staff
- 2 new allocated positions (aides) due to increase in SpEd needs
- 1 new Grade Level Administrators (promoted from within due to a GLA reassignment)
- Diverse Leadership staff (AA/F, H/M, C/F)

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

#### Diverse staff and student body

Expectations for data-driven instruction through collaboration and more structured content area PLCs

- Weekly meetings to discuss planning; review/share resources (alignment)
- Support new teacher issues related to student behavior, content, etc.
- Utilize data to drive instruction
- Observe master teachers
- · Master teachers model lessons, observe and give unofficial feedback and support

Expectations for frequent classroom visits/walkthroughs with feedback to promote continuous improvement

- More intentional feedback sessions to dialogue
- Feedback is support for growth and continuous improvement

Improved discipline measures (more structured in-school suspension and addition of before school discipline)

Increase in student clubs and leadership opportunities

• Continue to support the students in their vision/goal for the club's objective

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The need for larger class sizes Root Cause: Increase in student population

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Time to triage the most needy students Root Cause: Triage structure

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Students not performing at the Meets Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all students

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Communication is perceived as not effective Root Cause: Perceptions of too much, not enough, and/or the timeliness of information withen the school community

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Student and parent perception of expectations being too strict Root Cause: New principal with expectations clearly defines and strictly enforced for staff and students

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.

## Perceptions

#### **Perceptions Summary**

At IL Texas Keller-Saginaw High school:

- Students and staff feel safe.
- Teachers are encouraged to put relationships first in order to connect with students to increase the likelihood of positive classroom climate to promote learning for all
- Students are empowered to improve our community through our "Others Before Self" projects (seek assistance from the counseling department for organizations)
- student's social and emotional health and safety is important to our service
- Students are given a platform to openly share their ideas and talents through multiple clubs and activities supported by the staff
- Students are reminded daily of the expectations for success (FLIGHT).
- Students are recognized, praised, and rewarded for exemplifying the character traits of an ILTexas student (Eagle feathers)
- House System to promote belonging, student achievement and friendly competition

#### Communication:

- A campus newsletter is published once a month
- students and parents receive a WAAG (Week-at-a-glance), which is weekly updates of upcoming events and deadlines
- Principal meets with parents and community members once a month (Lattes with Layne) to celebrate accomplishments, discuss current issues that impact student achievement and growth, and to gather feedback for continuous improvement
- Students have been included in all email correspondence that is sent to parents
- · Campus utilizes social media and other forms of immediate contact to communicate with parents

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

- Students feel safe to openly engage in learning opportunities
- KSHS is an academically sound school with students and teachers working towards a common goal of "student success"
- Parents support most school activities and events

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Communication is perceived as not effective Root Cause: Perceptions of too much, not enough, and/or the timeliness of information withen the school community

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Student and parent perception of expectations being too strict Root Cause: New principal with expectations clearly defines and strictly enforced for staff and students

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities

# **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 1: Increase in low SES studentsRoot Cause 1: Increased enrollment and marketing to students and parentsProblem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Increased number of special populations (Special Education students).Root Cause 2: Increased enrollment in the freshman classProblem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Students not performing at the Meets Performance LevelRoot Cause 3: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all studentsProblem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: The need for larger class sizesRoot Cause 4: Increase in student populationProblem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: Time to triage the most needy studentsRoot Cause 5: Triage structureProblem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Communication is perceived as not effectiveRoot Cause 6: Perceptions of too much, not enough, and/or the timeliness of information withen the school communityProblem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: Student and parent perception of expectations being too strictRoot Cause 7: New principal with expectations clearly defines and strictly enforced for staff and studentsProblem Statement 7 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 10: 69 % teacher retention rateRoot Cause 10: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities

Problem Statement 11: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level.Root Cause 11: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.Problem Statement 11 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers

#### Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

#### Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR EL progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- · Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- PSAT
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Observation Survey results

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance, progress, and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data

- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) data, including coherent sequence coursework, program growth and student achievement by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- School safety data

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

#### Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results

# Goals

#### Revised/Approved: June 15, 2021

Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.

**Performance Objective 1:** The percent of English learners that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 34% (2019) to 39% by June 2022 considering also the COVID gap.

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Reading, English EOC

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
<b>Strategy 1:</b> Provide researched-based PD to teachers to increase their knowledge and implementation of EL strategies.		Formative Sun		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement for EL students	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, GLAs, Instructional Coach	-			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 6				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

Demographics				
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.				
Student Learning				
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.				
School Processes & Programs				
Problem Statement 6: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.				

Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 2: By June 2022, EL students at ILTexas will increase the TELPAS performance level from 31% (2019) to, at least, 36% in 2022.

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 TELPAS** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Include Writing Across the Curriculum as a campus priority for all classes.		Formative Sun		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in writing skills and student achievement for all students	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, GLAs			v	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 6				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A - \$3,000				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		•

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

Demographics			
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.			
Student Learning			
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.			
School Processes & Programs			
Problem Statement 6: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.			

Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.

**Performance Objective 1:** The percent of English learners that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Math will increase from 35% (2019) to 40% by June 2022 taking also into consideration the COVID gaps that need to be addressed.

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

**Evaluation Data Sources:** STAAR Math

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: After school and Saturday support for all students with a focus on EL students.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase in student achievement as evidenced by an increase in students scores at the "Meets Level".	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, Math Teachers, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 1, 5 - School Processes & Programs 3, 6				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A - \$10,000				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Conduct parent meetings and trainings to promote student growth. Comprehensive Needs Assessment		Formative		Summative
(CNA) and Campus Improvement Plan are made available to parents.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Student Achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, Teachers, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1, 3.2				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 3				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	Disc	ontinue		·

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

	Demographics			
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.				
	Student Learning			
Problem Statement 1: Students not performing at the Meets	Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets	the needs of all students		
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.				
	School Processes & Programs			
Problem Statement 3: Students not performing at the Meets	Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets	the needs of all students		
Problem Statement 6: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.				
Keller Saginaw High	10 624	Campus #057-848-009		

Goal 3: The percent of graduates that demonstrate College, Career, and/or Military Readiness will increase from 69% to 71% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of CCMR students that meet the requirement for Career or Military Ready will increase from 10% to 11% in 2022.

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: SAT, TSIA2, AP, DUAL- CREDIT, ASVAB

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Ensure that teachers facilitating the courses for CPELA and CPMAT are progress monitoring student		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>achievement weekly.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of students that are identified as college-ready.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APS, Teachers of record.</li> <li>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college</li> <li>Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes &amp; Programs 3</li> </ul>	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Provide study sessions for students preparing to take the TSI exam.		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of students identified as college ready.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APS, IC, Teachers of record</li> <li>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6</li> <li>Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes &amp; Programs 6</li> </ul>	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	<u>.</u>
Strategy 3: Provide digital resources including but not limited to computer hardware, computer software, peripherals,		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>and industry based tools.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic performance and CCMR percentage.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Content Leads</li> <li>TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college</li> </ul>	Nov	Jan	May	June
Image: Second to earlier and conlege       Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished       Image: Continue/Modify	Disc	continue	1	

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

	Demographics				
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.					
Student Learning					
Problem Statement 1: Students not performing	Problem Statement 1: Students not performing at the Meets Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all students				
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.					
Keller Saginaw High	10 -624	Campus #057-848-009			

#### **School Processes & Programs**

Problem Statement 3: Students not performing at the Meets Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all studentsProblem Statement 6: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.

Goal 4: The percent of students increasing at least one performance sub-level (low, mid, high) annually on the End of Year AAPPL or ACTFL assessment will increase from 50% to 70% by June 2022.

**Performance Objective 1:** Secondary LOTE classes students will complete language performance assessments to demonstrate language proficiency as documented in their Seesaw language portfolio by the end of June 2022.

**Targeted or ESF High Priority** 

**Evaluation Data Sources:** AAPPL

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 1: Ensure that all LOTE teachers are teaching to fidelity	Formative Sun		Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement and language proficiency	ent achievement and language proficiency Nov Jan		May	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, LOTE teachers						
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6						
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 3						
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 2: Additional after school and Saturday support for students performing below expectations for language		Formative				
acquisition	Nov	Jan	May	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in language proficiency			v			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, LOTE Teachers, IC						
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6						
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 3						
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A - \$3,000						
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue				

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

Student Learning		
Problem Statement 1: Students not performing at the Meets Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all students		
School Processes & Programs		
Problem Statement 3: Students not performing at the Meets Performance Level Root Cause: Ineffective tier 1 instruction that meets the needs of all students		

Goal 5: The percent of teachers retained annually will increase from 76% to 80% by June 2022.

**Performance Objective 1:** By June 2022, ILTexas will coordinate a frequent and robust professional development plan for mentor teachers and APs over mentor teachers with a 25% greater time for training than previous year.

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Track Frequency of Scheduled trainings for Mentors and Mentee teachers Track survey responses for ongoing Mentor sessions

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Designate specific time that mentors meet with mentees	Formative Su		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in frequency of meetings to ensure proper and timely support of new teacher	Nov	Nov Jan May		June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, LOTE Teachers, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Conference with new teachers 1 per grading cycle to praise, discuss performance, and offer support as		Formative		
needed.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved communication and stronger relationships				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and APs				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 1, 3				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

#### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

Demographics				
Problem Statement 4: 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities				
School Processes & Programs				
Problem Statement 4: Communication is perceived as not effective Root Cause: Perceptions of too much, not enough, and/or the timeliness of information withen the school community				
Perceptions				
Problem Statement 1: Communication is perceived as not effective Root Cause: Perceptions of too much, not enough, and/or the timeliness of information withen the school community				

Perce	ptions
10100	

Problem Statement 3: 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities

Goal 5: The percent of teachers retained annually will increase from 76% to 80% by June 2022.

**Performance Objective 2:** Human Resources department will develop and maintain robust onboarding procedures to ensure a supportive school environment(May 2022). The onboarding plan of action will discuss in depth; policies, procedures, and protocols to support teachers and staff.

### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Ensure that communication between HR, campus and new hires are aligned and effective. Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease onboarding issues.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Perceptions 3				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

Demographics		
Problem Statement 4: 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities		
Perceptions		
Problem Statement 3: 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities		

Goal 5: The percent of teachers retained annually will increase from 76% to 80% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 3: ILTexas comprehensive salary analysis to be completed by March 2022 will incentivize teacher and staff retention.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 1: Join committee to give input on how to increase the number of teachers that are eligible for incentives		Formative Su			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase number of teachers that are eligible for incentive pay		Nov	Jan	May	June	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6						
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Perceptions 3						
No Progress	Accomplished		X Discontinue			

### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

Demographics	
Problem Statement 4: 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities	
Perceptions	
Problem Statement 3: 69 % teacher retention rate Root Cause: teachers feeling overwhelmed with increase of duties and responsibilities	

**Goal 6:** Provide instructional material and tutorial services for students; opportunities for teachers, administrators, and district support staff to attend conferences/Professional development to strengthen their ability to support all students with a focus on ESL, DLI, and other programs that will increase student achievement at the Meets level in reading/English for English learners.

**Performance Objective 1:** Provide instructional material and tutorial services for students; opportunities for teachers, administrators, and district support staff to attend conferences/Professional development to strengthen their ability to support all students with a focus on ESL, DLI, and other programs.

**Evaluation Data Sources: EOC results** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Hire consultants services, subject-matter experts to assist teachers, charter support staff, and administrators	Formative		Summative	
in strengthening their ability to support all students.		Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of EL students scoring at the Meets level on the English EOCs	Nov			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, Teachers, charter level personnel.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 6				
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: send campus staff and HQ staff to conferences to grow their knowledge and skills in order to best support	<b>Formative</b> S		Summative	
all students, however particularly in ESL and DLI programs.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, APs, IC, Teachers, HQ support staff				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 6				
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	-
Strategy 3: Purchase supplemental material and technology and provide professional development in implementing the	Formative		Summative	
materials IXL, Lead4ward, Imagine Language, Measuring Up, People's Education, Study Island, All in Learning)	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, Teachers, charter level personnel				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 6				
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: Newcomer ESL students will receive support in the form of professional learning opportunities for staff,		Formative		Summative	
supplemental resources for students, extended learning time, and parental engagement.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement and parental engagement			-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, Teachers HQ staff					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.2					
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 6					
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 5: Provide supplemental tutoring sessions to English learners		Formative	Formative Summativ		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Acceleration of their performance on formative and state assessments		Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, Teachers HQ staff			J		
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III					
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 6: EL students will receive additional SAT prep		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of EL students scoring in the middle to high percentile on the SAT	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, IC, Teachers HQ staff					
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III					
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue			

### Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics			
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.			
Student Learning			
Problem Statement 5: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.			
School Processes & Programs			
Problem Statement 6: Increase in the number of EL students that are not performing on grade level. Root Cause: Lack of PD for teachers in implementing EL strategies.			

Goal 7: To improve the culture and climate of our campuses by the end of the 2021-2022 school year.

**Performance Objective 1:** The culture and climate of our campuses will improve with the help of subject matter experts and specialized programs/resources aimed at improving the social-emotional health of our students with a focus on safety and security from 50% to 75% by the end of 2021-2022 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Student and family surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 1:</b> Bring in subject matter experts (such as but not limited to AIM for Success) and purchase resources (such as but not limited to purchasing kits for counselors) aimed at improving school safety (physical safety, cybersecurity, internet safety, and addressing the social-emotional health of our students).		Formative		
		Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased knowledge and awareness of safety and social-emotional health				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, Counselors				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		·

# **State Compensatory**

## Personnel for Keller Saginaw High

Name	Position	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
TBD	Instructional Coach		

# **Title I Personnel**

Name	Position	Program	FTE
TBD	Instructional Coach	Instructional Support	

# **Plan Notes**

**ILTexas KSHS** 

### **CNA/CIP** Notes

### 5/25/21

### 8:30am-9:30am

## Google Form Sign-In

Timestamp	Username	I attended the CNA/CIP Meeting on Tuesday 5/25 @ 8:30 am
2021/05/27 9:39:12 AM EST	avandeventer@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/05/27 12:21:54 PM EST	bleisy@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/05/28 1:53:02 PM EST	bleisy@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/06/01 9:00:15 PM EST	sschieler@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/07/27 3:26:23 PM EST	bwilliams1@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/07/27 3:26:27 PM EST	anevarez@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/07/27 3:30:34 PM EST	ssanders@iltexas.org	No
2021/07/27 3:51:01 PM EST	smartin1@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/07/27 4:03:51 PM EST	djordan@iltexas.org	Yes
2021/07/28 7:02:36 AM EST	abrown@iltexas.org	No

### **Mission/Vision**

- Welcome
  - ° Dr. Layne opened the meeting with the purpose of the meeting and reviewed the agenda
  - Recognition/sign-in of members of Campus Advisory Council
    - Members signed din via Google Form
    - Review the purpose of CNA/CIP
      - CNA- Campus data
        - Reviewed the summaries for Multiple Measures of Data
      - Demographics

- Increase in student population by 200 students
- Anticipating an increase in the Hispanic population (possible ELs)
- Increase in SPED population as identified from enrollment data
- Student Learning
  - Review EOC data (Red flags for Math and English I)
  - Increase in funding for additional student support during Eagle Academy
- School Processes & Programs
  - Need for improved communication
  - Need for campus-based issues to be addressed on campus with little to no HQ interference (causing slower response time)
- Perceptions
  - Need to increase positive communication with stakeholders
- All stakeholders given an opportunity to share feedback
  - Shalem Scheiler (graduating senior)- improve communication and response time to allow for proper planning and student notice
- Teachers requested more onsite training and support for current systems and processes
- Recruiting efforts:
  - More involvement in community events for exposure
  - ° Signage
  - Posters

Meeting adjourned

# **Campus Funding Summary**

	211 - Title 1-A			
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	1		\$0.00
1	2	1		\$3,000.00
2	1	1		\$10,000.00
4	1	2		\$3,000.00
			Sub-Total	\$16,000.00
			263 - Title III	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
6	1	1		\$0.00
6	1	2		\$0.00
6	1	3		\$0.00
6	1	4		\$0.00
6	1	5		\$0.00
6	1	6		\$0.00
			Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Grand Total	\$16,000.00

# Addendums