International Leadership of Texas Katy Elementary 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster

Mission Statement

The mission of The International Leadership of Texas is to prepare students for exceptional leadership roles in the international community by emphasizing servant leadership, mastering the English, Spanish, and Chinese Languages, and strengthening the mind, body and character.

Vision

With a focus on the ILTexas Mission, the vision of ILTexas Katy K-8 is to strive to create an environment of learning, respect and inclusion, and to support ethnic, racial, religious and socio-economic diversity among all the constituencies of the school.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	14
Goals	16
Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.	17
Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.	31
Goal 3: The percent of students increasing at least one performance sub-level (low, mid, high) annually on the End of Year AAPPL or ACTFL assessment will increase	
from 50% to 70% by June 2022.	43
Goal 4: The percent of teacher retained annually will increase from 76% to 80% by June 2022.	45
Comprehensive Support Strategies	49
RDA Strategies	51
Targeted Support Strategies	52
Additional Targeted Support Strategies	54
Title I Personnel	56
Campus Funding Summary	57
Addendums	58

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

IL Texas Katy K-8 serves a diverse population of approximately 1,010 students in grades K-5. The school gender percentages are 52% female and 48% male. Our teacher to student ratio is approximately 1:19 per classroom. Students attending IL Texas Katy Elementary School come from different educational backgrounds, including private schools, home schools, a variety of ISDs, and other charter schools. Our largest student population consists of Hispanic-Latino students, followed by Black - African American, White, Asian, and two or more races.

- Hispanic-Latino 58%
- Black African American 23%
- White 8%
- Asian 5%
- Two or more 3%

ILTexas Katy Elementary School population is 35% Limited English Proficient (LEP) students and 55% of the population is economically disadvantaged. The school services all special populations, including special education, section 504, Gifted and Talented, and Limited English Proficient (LEP) students.

IL Texas Katy Elementary School employs highly qualified staff in all areas. Teachers are required to hold a bachelor's Degree from an accredited university. In addition, bilingual and special education teachers are required to be fully certified by TEA. We strive to recruit teachers with diverse backgrounds and experiences to incorporate into the classroom. Teachers and other staff are recruited internationally. Our Chinese and Spanish international teachers are a part of the instructional team for content (Dual Language Instruction Program) and language courses.

As we continue to grow, ILTexas Katy Elementary School will strive to provide the best possible education by meeting the diverse needs of all students and hiring the highest quality teachers and staff.

Demographics Strengths

ILTexas Katy Elementary School has many strengths.

- Student population represents a variety of educational backgrounds and cultures.
- The teaching population mirrors closely our student population.
- The Chinese and Spanish international teachers provide strong support of our DLI program and language courses.
- Our class sizes are below state average.
- We celebrate our diversity via celebrations throughout the year, including, National Hispanic Heritage, Chinese Lunar New Year, Black History month, and ending the year with an International Festival.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause:** Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause:** Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause:** Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

As we wrap up the 2020-21 school year and begin to prepare for the 2021-22 school year, ILTexas Katy Elementary received a rating of Met Standard. Campus projections for 2021-22 start with the April administration of STAAR for 5th grade reading and math. In reading 75% of the students scored at the approaches level or higher, 52% of students scored at the meets category, and 31% in the masters category. In Math, 78% scored at the approaches level or higher, 43% in the meets category, and 27% in the masters category. These results show growth in all levels in math and two levels in Reading:

- Math Approaches +6% points Meets +4% points Masters +13% points
- Reading Approaches -6% points Meets -9% points Masters +1% points

Reviewing the intitial STAAR test for 2019, two student groups we will need to focus on are the Special Education student group and the LEP student group.

The campus will continue to provide campus common formative assessments across all subjects and grades in addition to Unit exams, end of cycle assessments, MAP testing, running records for reading, ISIP testing, and other digital learning program assessments.

Student Learning Strengths

Student Academic Achievement Strengths

- The focus for ILTexas Katy Elementary is to provide a rigourous curriculum to all students based on the TEKS-RS scope and sequence
- All students receive instruction in Spanish, Mandarin Chinese, and English beginning in Kindergarten
- All students participate in a two-way dual language program
- Instruction and learning is supported through character education taught throughout the curriculum
- The campus provides PLC opportunities district and/or campus-wide daily and weekly
- Common Unit Assessments and ongoing formative assessments are utilzed at regular intervals during the learning cycles to guage mastery learning
- Data Days are set aside for teachers to understand where students are and to purposefully plan for instruction, remediation, and extension
- A campus instructional coach is available to support teachers in academic planning and lesson demonstration

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Behavior often impedes learning of students Root Cause: Teachers and GLAs need more training in proactive classroom management.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause:** The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause:** Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments Root Cause: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause:** Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 8 (Prioritized): Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. **Root Cause:** Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 9 (Prioritized): Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 10 (Prioritized): Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. **Root Cause:** The pandemic

Problem Statement 11 (Prioritized): Many students are academically behind who struggled during the pandemic. Root Cause: COVID Gap in learning

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Curriculum and Instruction:

The district goal is to prepare students for exceptional leadership roles in the international community by emphasizing servant leadership, mastering the English, Spanish, and Chinese languages, and strengthening the body mind and character via high quality, uniform and unified curriculum, instruction, professional development, and supportive operational and technological infrastructures. The curriculum for all content areas is the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS). The district utilizes the TEKS Resource system as the primary resource for the unites of study and basic lesson plans. Assessments are a combination of collaborative common campus level assessments, collaborative common assessments at the district level, and End of Course exams. In addition, students are all assessed in subjects required on the STAAR. Students language proficiency is tested based on ACTFL Proficiency Levels in Spanish and Chinese, Mandarin and Spanish Language Assessments (based on sequence and guided by ACRL) AAPL exams are administered in April for grades 5 and 8. The district also utilizes The Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) assessments to assess and project student learning. TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System) assesses the progress of English Language Learners.

Daily, teachers are provided a planning period and Professional Learning Community period to ensure adequate time for lesson preparation and collaboration regarding student achievement. Lesson plans are designed to include a Contend and language objective, warm up activities, a focused lesson including an introduction/purpose, I do - We Do - You do, ending with a reflection/closing. To continue to support teachers throughout the year, the district provides data days and professional development days in the academic calendar.

Staff Recruitment and Retention:

Positions at ILTexas Katy are hired through a carefully selected interview committee composed of teachers, GLAs and administration. We provide multiple job fairs on and off campus, recruit from colleges around the state, attend Region Service Center job fairs, and post on job boards to attract highly qualified teachers.

Historically there has been significant turn over in staff mostly due to salary. To assist in staff retention, the ILTexas district leaders have recently updated the salary scale. In addition, the campus partners with the PTO to provide various types of incentives and encouragement to staff which creates a more positive and desirable working environment.

School Organization

The ILTexas Katy is supported financially through local, state and federal funding, In addition, the PTO provides supporting the areas of instructional supplies, supporting field trips, student and teacher events. The curriculum is based on the TEKS with the TEKS R Us resource system provided to support teachers in curriculum and instruction. Teachers are provided multiple opportunities for professional development and collaborative planning time. The campus is data driven and is provided with data processing days build into the academic calendar.

Technology

The devices utilized in classrooms include document cameras, interactive projectors and peripherals associated with the projector including smart pens. Windows devices are provided to the teachers as well as the computer lab. Students are given Chromebooks for in class use and may be checked out to take home. The district provides multiple digital learning opportunities for all students. The campus houses a technology specialist who is available for tech support when needed.

District training has been provided for teachers in using the Google Application Suite as well as digital learning tools for students.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Curriculum and Instruction:

- Focus on leadership
- Focus on languages
- Focus on character
- Strong TEKS based curriculum guide and resources
- Numerous avenues for assessing students in languages and core curriculum.
- Multiple opportunities for teacher collaboration
- Professional Development offered throughout the year via PLC time

Staff Recruitment and Retention:

- The campus considers applicants from a wide variety of sources.
- The campus actively recruits highly qualified and international teachers.
- The district offers incentives for TEA certified teachers.
- The district offers stipends for DLI teachers and other special positions.
- Grade Level Administrators are hired to support teachers at individual grade levels.
- Extensive professional development opportunities

School Organization:

- Quality Curriculum and resources
- New teacher support
- Data days built into the academic calendar
- Planning and Professional Learning community time for teachers is provided
- Tiered Administrative support GLA, AP, Principal on campus

Technology:

- device to student ratio
- updated classroom equipment
- Campus Technologist
- District level technology support
- Digital Learning Programs for students

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause:** Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Parents are requesting aligned communication between teachers and campus; grade levels are using different communication avenues for parents which creates problems for parents with multiple students at the campus. **Root Cause:** Teachers are using multiple avenues for communication with parents.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause:** The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Behavior often impedes learning of students Root Cause: Teachers and GLAs need more training in proactive classroom management.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments Root Cause: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 8 (Prioritized): Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause:** Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 9 (Prioritized): Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 10 (Prioritized): Teachers struggle using data to create differntiated lesson plans and implementation Root Cause: Teachers do not understand how to disaggregate multiple forms of data.

Problem Statement 11 (Prioritized): Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Family and Community Engagement:

Parents of ILTexas Katy students are provided multiple opportunities to be involved with the school. This year the campus hosted a literacy night, Math Night, All About STAAR night, and several Coffee With the Principal meetings, as well as a career day featuring community members. In addition, the campus held many events to celebrate the international community. This year we hosted our first Trilingual Bingo night with a fantastic participation. The community also has opportunities to be a part of the PTO, be a volunteer parent, or Watch D.O.G. Katy West-Park High School students often volunteer and support community events. The campus utilizes multiple social media to maintain communication with stakeholders.

School Culture and Climate

It is our goal at ILTexas Katy K-8 to create a safe and positive learning environment for all of our students. Teachers are well trained in security and safety protocol and the campus has a security officer on campus full time. Students and parents are provided multiple opportunities to participate in a variety of school activities. Activities include athletic teams, fine arts, clubs, leadership opportunities and school community activities. The local sheriff's department, fire department, community and intercultural organizations often visit and participate in school activities. Our mission stresses servant leadership and putting others before self, and the culture promotes respect and sets high expectations for all students.

Family and community members have multiple opportunities to engage in meaningful school activities that support student learning throughout the year.

ILTexas Katy strives to have strong relationships with the families and communities we serve. Creating opportunities for parental input and maintaining open line of communication with the parents is a priority. Communication avenues include Skyward access to student progress, School Messenger, Website, Facebook, tip411, email, Peachjar flyer distribution, parent conferences, Class Dojo, coffee with the principal, PTO meetings, and more. Based on the 2018-19 parent survey:

Perceptions Strengths

Family and Community Engagement:

- Active PTO
- Active Watch D.O.G. program
- Parent Academic Nights
- Community events Trilingual Bingo
- Career Day with community volunteers
- International Festival
- Lunar New Year Celebration
- Middle School Parent Group
- Social Media

School Culture and Climate

- 85% of parents indicated they felt ILTexas Katy is effectively preparing their child for their academic future
- Only 8% indicated that the culture was not positive on campus

• 88% of parents felt their child was safe on campus, 10% were "neutral" and only 2% disagreed

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Parents are requesting aligned communication between teachers and campus; grade levels are using different communication avenues for parents which creates problems for parents with multiple students at the campus. **Root Cause:** Teachers are using multiple avenues for communication with parents.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause:** The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause:** Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments
Root Cause 1: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.
Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners)
Root Cause 2: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.
Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators.
Root Cause 3: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.
Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 4: Behavior often impedes learning of students
Root Cause 4: Teachers and GLAs need more training in proactive classroom management.
Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations.

Root Cause 5: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Parents are requesting aligned communication between teachers and campus; grade levels are using different communication avenues for parents which creates problems for parents with multiple students at the campus.

Root Cause 6: Teachers are using multiple avenues for communication with parents.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests.
Root Cause 7: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom
Problem Statement 7 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 8: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations.
Root Cause 8: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.
Problem Statement 8 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 9: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds.
Root Cause 9: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.
Problem Statement 9 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 10: Teachers struggle using data to create differntiated lesson plans and implementationRoot Cause 10: Teachers do not understand how to disaggregate multiple forms of data.Problem Statement 10 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 11: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning.
Root Cause 11: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.
Problem Statement 11 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 12: Many students are academically behind who struggled during the pandemic.Root Cause 12: COVID Gap in learningProblem Statement 12 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 13: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning.
Root Cause 13: The pandemic
Problem Statement 13 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR EL progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) reading assessment data for Grades PK-2
- State-developed online interim assessments

Student Data: Student Groups

• Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress

- · Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance, progress, and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- EL/non-EL or LEP data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- TTESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 2021-2022 school year, ILTexas Katy Elementary School will increase student performance on the STAAR reading Approaches level by 4 percentage points, in the Meets level by 4 percentage points, and the Masters level by 3 percentage points. (Baseline Map Projections: 3rd Approaches 30%, Meets 26%, Masters 28%; 4th Approaches 49%, Meets 34%, Masters 17%; 5th Approaches 53%, Meets 32%, Masters 15%)

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/Map

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	Formative			fferentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time. Format	Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teacher Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 7 - Student Learning 5, 10 - School Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: leveled readers - 211 - Title 1-A - \$4,000 	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.		Formative		Summative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teachers ability and student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, GLA Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted 	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2, 3, 4 - Student Learning 1, 5, 6, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 6, 7, 8 - Perceptions 3					

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and	r enrichment and Formative	Formative			
 remediation. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: High student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coaches, GLA Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 10, 11 Funding Sources: Teachers for tutorials - 211 - Title 1-A - \$5,000 	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home. Conduct		Formative		Summative	
parent meetings and trainings to promote student growth. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) and Campus Improvement Plan are made available to parents.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
 involvement, improve home-school connection Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 5, 6 - Student Learning 8, 9 - School Processes & Programs 9, 11 - Perceptions 4, 5 Funding Sources: building, instructors - 211 - Title 1-A - \$4,000 					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 5: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural		Formative		Summative	
 differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differences. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 7 - School Processes & Programs 8 - Perceptions 3 Funding Sources: instructors, materials - 211 - Title 1-A - \$2,000 	Nov	Jan	May	June	

Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and	Formative			ing the TEKS, understanding performance and Formative Sur	Summative
 readiness standards, and planning quality and rigorous lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted lesson plan writing. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2 	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 7: Hire a remediation teacher in each triad and an IA to support remediation in math and reading to help close		Formative		Summative	
the COVID gap. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Accelerated instruction and close the gap	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dean of Instruction					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math,Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2:Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support StrategyProblem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1, 7					
Image: Series of the series	X Disc	ontinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 3: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 4: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 5: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. **Root Cause**: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 6: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 6: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 7: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 8: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 9: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Problem Statement 11: Many students are academically behind who struggled during the pandemic. Root Cause: COVID Gap in learning

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 6: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 7: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 8: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 9: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 11: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 4: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. **Root Cause**: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 5: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of 2021-2022 school year, 90% of students will show a year's worth of growth in reading.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: ISIP STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student academic performance	Nov	Nov Jan May		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teacher, GLA, IC, Administrators			-	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math,				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy -				
Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 3, 5, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 8				
- Perceptions 3				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math,Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2:Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy -Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Provide specific training to teachers in supporting struggling and advanced readers.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved reading levels of students and ability of teachers to support struggling and advanced readers.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, IC, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve				
low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective,				
Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 4, 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4, 7, 10 - Perceptions 2				

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of	nd programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of Formative			Summative
students. (Aim for Success, Red Ribbon Week speakers, motivational speakers, etc.)	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved social and emotional health of students and value of academics.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Counselors				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 8 - School Processes & Programs 9 - Perceptions 4				
Strategy 5 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 5: Provide before school, during school, after school tutorials, and Saturday tutorials for students for		Formative Su		
enrichment and remediation.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: High student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6, 7 - Student Learning 9, 10 - School Processes & Programs 11 - Perceptions 5				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased support at home for students, increased parental involvement, improve home-school connection	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Student Learning 9 - School Processes & Programs 11 - Perceptions 5				

Strategy 7 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 7: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural	kgrounds and cultural Formative Summa	Formative			
 differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differences. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2, 3, 4 - Student Learning 1, 5, 6, 7 - School Processes & Programs 	Nov	Jan	May	June	
1, 6, 7, 8 - Perceptions 3 Strategy 8 Details Strategy 8: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and		Rev Formative	views	Summative	
readiness standards, and planning quality and rigorous lessons.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted lesson plan writing. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2 					
Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views		
		Formative		C	
Strategy 9: Purchase supplemental technology (such as Chromebooks, headsets, etc.), instructional materials and evaluation/assessment/data analysis resources (and subsequent related PD). This includes hard copies as well as online		Formative		Summative	

data modules, Sped Evaluation kits, bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers, etc.) Purchase professional books for staff to increase their knowledge of DLI/ESL programs.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build a foundation in reading and math			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CAO, Academic Directors, Instructional Technologists, Campus Principals, Campus textbook coordinators, campus instructional coaches, campus medica specialists.			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF			
Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective			
Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted			
Support Strategy			
Problem Statements: Demographics 6, 7 - Student Learning 9, 10 - School Processes & Programs 11 -			
Perceptions 5	_		
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discontinue	I	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 1 : Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) Root Cause : Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.
Problem Statement 2: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom
Problem Statement 3 : LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments Root Cause : Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.
Problem Statement 4 : Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. Root Cause : Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.
Problem Statement 5: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.
Problem Statement 6: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.
Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic
Student Learning
Problem Statement 1: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. Root Cause: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 4: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause**: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 6: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 7: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 8: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 9: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 4: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause**: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 6: Special Education students are performing poorly on standardized tests. **Root Cause**: General education teachers need more training to support special needs students in the inclusive classroom

Problem Statement 7: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 8: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 9: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 10: Teachers struggle using data to create differntiated lesson plans and implementation Root Cause: Teachers do not understand how to disaggregate multiple forms of data.

Problem Statement 11: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause**: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 3: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 4: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. **Root Cause**: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 5: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Goal 1: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Reading will increase from 43% to 48% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 3: By the end of the 2021-2022 school year, all Special Populations (to include SPED, Bilingual, CTE and ESL students) will increase their performance at the Approaches Performance Level on State Assessments by at least 10%.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will provide differentiated instruction through small groups in the classroom.	fferentiated instruction through small groups in the classroom.	Yeachers will provide differentiated instruction through small groups in the classroom. For			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GLA, IC, Administrators	Nov	Nov Jan May			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 3, 5, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 8 - Perceptions 3					
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	ews		
Strategy 2: Provide training for teachers and staff in understanding and implementing the IEPs for special education		Formative			
students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved service for special education students and improved	Nov	Jan	May	June	
 academic and behavior performance of students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrator, IC, GLA, special education teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy 					
Strategy 3 Details		Revi	ews		
Strategy 3: Provide training to teachers and staff in working with Language Learners through book studies, presenters,		Formative		Summative	
and conferences.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased understanding in supporting language learners. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, IC Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1, 7 					

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews							
Strategy 4: Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of	oport the social and emotional health and academic health of	ide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of Formative			l assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of Formative	pport the social and emotional health and academic health of Formative	Formative		
students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved social and emotional health of students and value of academics. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Counselors	Nov	Jan	May	June					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - ComprehensiveSupport Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support StrategyProblem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 8 - School Processes & Programs 9 - Perceptions4									
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views						
Strategy 5: Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home.		Formative		Summative					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased support at home for students, increased parental involvement, improve home-school connection	Nov	Jan	May	June					
 Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 5, 6 - Student Learning 8, 9 - School Processes & Programs 9, 11 - Perceptions 4, 5 									
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views						
Strategy 6: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural		Formative		Summative					
 differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differneces. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 5, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 8 - Perceptions 3 	Nov	Jan	May	June					

Strategy 7 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 7: Bring in or send faculty/staff to subject matter experts and consultants t(to ILTexas or at a conference/Ed		Formative		Summative	
Service Center/Off campus) to help ILT teachers, administrators, and district support staff strengthen their ability to support all of our students, including our ESL, DLI and other programs that will increase student achievement, with particular attention to English learners and with a focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Reading Assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CAO, Director of EL K-12, Academic Directors, Instructional Technologist, Campus administration and campus instructional coaches. Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III - \$74,300 					
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 8: Hire remediation teacher/IA to support in closing the COVID gap.		Formative		Summative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student accelerated growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: DOI Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 5, 6, 7 - Student Learning 8, 9, 10 - School Processes & Programs 9, 11 - Perceptions 4, 5 	Nov	Jan	May	June	
No Progress Ow Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue	•	•	

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 3: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 4: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 5: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. **Root Cause**: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 6: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 6: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 7: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 8: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 9: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 7: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 8: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 9: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. Root Cause: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 11: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 4: Students struggle learning due to social and emotional concerns/situations. **Root Cause**: Students often lack social and emotional support at home and due to family challenges during the pandemic.

Problem Statement 5: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 1: Bh the end of the 2021-2022 school year, the percent of students who score Meets Grade Level and Masters Grade Level on STAAR mathematics will increase by 10% in each category (Baseline: Map Projections Meets 15%, Masters 29%)

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/Map

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teacher	Nov	Jan	May	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability				
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 10, 11				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation for student		Formative		
engagement.	Nov	Jan	May	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teachers ability and student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, GLA Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1 				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and		Formative		Summative
remediation. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Raise student achievement	Nov	Jan	May	June
Stategy's Expected Result implicer raise statem demotential Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 11 Funding Sources: Teachers - 211 - Title 1-A - \$5,000				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural	Formative			Summative
 differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differences. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1 	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and		Rev. Formative	iews	Summative
Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and readiness standards, and planning quality and rigorous lessons.	Nov		iews May	Summative June
Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and	Nov	Formative		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic Problem Statement 11: Many students are academically behind who struggled during the pandemic. Root Cause: COVID Gap in learning

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 10: Teachers struggle using data to create differntiated lesson plans and implementation Root Cause: Teachers do not understand how to disaggregate multiple forms of data.

Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of English learners that score Meets Grade Level on STAAR Math will increase by 10% points by the end of June 2022 (Baseline STAAR 2021 meets 14%, masters 2%)

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teacher				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teachers ability and student performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, GLA	Nov	Jan	May	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and	Formative Su	Summative		
remediation.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Raise student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6, 7 - Student Learning 9, 10 - School Processes & Programs 11 - Perceptions 5				

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural	Formative			Summative
differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with	Nov	Jan	May	June
students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differneces.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 5, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 8 - Perceptions 3				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and		Formative		
readiness standards, and planning quality and rigorous lessons.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted lesson plan writing. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 - School Processes & Programs 2				
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 6: Provide Training for teachers in DLI through guest presenters and coaches.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student performance and increase in understanding for teachers in working with ELLs.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 5, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 8 -				
Perceptions 3				
No Progress Complished Continue/Modify	X Disc	continue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 4: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 6: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 7: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 9: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 8: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 11: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 5: Some students have poor attendance resulting in broader gaps in learning. Root Cause: Parents do not understand how attendance effects academics.

Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 3: The percent of 2nd grade, and 3rd grade students who score on grade level or above in math will increase by 5 percentage points by June 2022 using the Map tool. (2021 Map 2nd grade Approaches 43%, Meets 28%, Masters 29%; Map 3rd grade Approaches 50%, Meets 21%, Masters 28%)

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teacher				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teachers ability and student performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and		Summative		
remediation.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Raise student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4:				
High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted				
Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 10				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural		Formative		Summative
differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	Nov	Jan	May	June
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy 				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1		Rev	iows	
Strategy 5 Details Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understanding performance and		Summative		
readiness standards, and planning quality and rigorous lessons.	Nov	Formative Jan	May	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted lesson plan writing. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 3, 5, 6 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 7				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Provide training for teachers in reading MAP data and creating data driven differentiated lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student scores	N T	Formative		Summative
 Stategy's Expected Result impact: increase in student scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, IC Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 10 	Nov	Jan	May	June
Image: White State Image: White State Image: White State Image: White State <td></td> <td>continue</td> <td>I</td> <td>-</td>		continue	I	-

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 3: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 6: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 7: LEP students are scoring lower than non-LEP students on State Assessments **Root Cause**: Lack of targeting this specific student population and lack of teacher understanding of a differentiated classroom and supporting English Language Learners.

Problem Statement 10: Teachers struggle using data to create differntiated lesson plans and implementation Root Cause: Teachers do not understand how to disaggregate multiple forms of data.

Goal 2: The percent of students that score "Meets Grade Level" on STAAR Mathematics will increase from 15% to 30% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 4: The percent of kindergarten and 1st grade students who score on grade level or above in math will increase by 5 percentage points by June 2022 using 2021 Imagine Math Scores.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Imagine Math

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teacher			5	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy - Results Driven Accountability				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teachers ability and student performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and		Summative		
remediation.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Raise student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coaches, GLA				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math,				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4:				
High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted				
Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 10, 11				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 4: Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural		Summative				
differences. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved understanding by teachers in regards to working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	Nov	Jan	May	June		
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, GLAs Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 5, 7 - School Processes & Programs 1, 8 - Perceptions 3 						
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews					
		G				
Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understandingstandards, and planning		Formative		Summative		
 Strategy 5: Provide teachers with differentiated learning in unpacking the TEKS, understandingstandards, and planning quality and rigorous lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted lesson plan writing. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 10 	Nov	Formative Jan	May	June		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 4: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 7: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Problem Statement 11: Many students are academically behind who struggled during the pandemic. Root Cause: COVID Gap in learning

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 8: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Problem Statement 10: Teachers struggle using data to create differntiated lesson plans and implementation Root Cause: Teachers do not understand how to disaggregate multiple forms of data.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Along with differentiation for academics, teachers continue to struggle in supporting students of poverty and diverse cultures and backgrounds. **Root Cause**: Teachers need targeted training in working with students of poverty and diverse backgrounds.

Goal 3: The percent of students increasing at least one performance sub-level (low, mid, high) annually on the End of Year AAPPL or ACTFL assessment will increase from 50% to 70% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 2021-2022 school year, all students will demonstrate an increase of a minimum of one sub-level, based on the ACTFL proficiency guidelines.

Evaluation Data Sources: ACTFL Assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Language Teachers, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 10, 11				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Provide classroom management training for language teachers.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fewer misbehaviors and more time on task resulting in higher performance.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 - School Processes & Programs 5				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Provide support for language teachers in implementing the curriculum and lesson planning.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Better understanding of the curriculum and more aligned and rigorous lessons.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrator, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 - School Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Over Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 7: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Behavior often impedes learning of students Root Cause: Teachers and GLAs need more training in proactive classroom management.

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 10: Two-thirds of the school population learned remotely throughout the 2020-2021 school year resulting in gaps in learning. Root Cause: The pandemic

Problem Statement 11: Many students are academically behind who struggled during the pandemic. Root Cause: COVID Gap in learning

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 5: Behavior often impedes learning of students Root Cause: Teachers and GLAs need more training in proactive classroom management.

Goal 4: The percent of teacher retained annually will increase from 76% to 80% by June 2022.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 2021-2022 school year ILTexas Katy K-8 will increase teacher retention by 10 percentage points. from 80% to 90%.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: PEIMS

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Publicly recognize accomplishments of all staff.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers who are appreciated want to stay.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: 100% of new to ILT and New to the profession teachers will be assigned a mentor teacher.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Better understanding of the culture of ILT and support in greatest area of need.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide 1:1 instructional coaching to all teachers who are struggling.	Formative S			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 3, 4, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 4 - Perceptions 2				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Provide specific differentiated teacher training to support teachers in their areas of need.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction -				
Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 4, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4 - Perceptions 2				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 5: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe peers.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Include teachers in Instructional Rounds to help in supporting the over all campus problem of practice.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher understanding and performance	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 7: Create a group for new to IL Texas Teachers and NTP teachers and meet bi-monthly to create teams, build		Summative				
trust and culture.	Nov	Jan	May	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More effective and efficient teachers who enjoy their job at ILTexas Katy K-8.						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration						
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported						
Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture						
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3, 4 - School Processes & Programs 2, 3, 4 - Perceptions 1, 2						
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue				

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 4: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause**: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers struggle with differentiation in the classroom hindering the ability to meet the needs diverse learners (economically disadvantaged students, gifted and talented students, and our English Language Learners) **Root Cause**: Difficulty in retaining experienced teachers and the need for focused professional development in the differentiated classroom.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are often confused due to the broad curriculum expectations. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of understanding in unpacking the TEKS, the difference between readiness standards and supporting standards, and struggle with lesson alignment with assessments.

Problem Statement 3: Parents are requesting aligned communication between teachers and campus; grade levels are using different communication avenues for parents which creates problems for parents with multiple students at the campus. **Root Cause**: Teachers are using multiple avenues for communication with parents.

Problem Statement 4: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause**: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: Parents are requesting aligned communication between teachers and campus; grade levels are using different communication avenues for parents which creates problems for parents with multiple students at the campus. **Root Cause**: Teachers are using multiple avenues for communication with parents.

Problem Statement 2: Teacher retention has been a challenge which creates difficulty in maintaining experienced teachers to support new to the profession educators. **Root Cause**: The teacher salary scale is significantly lower than surrounding Independent School Districts.

Comprehensive Support Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	1	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
1	1	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
1	1	5	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
1	2	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
1	2	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
1	2	3	Provide specific training to teachers in supporting struggling and advanced readers.
1	2	4	Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of students. (Aim for Success, Red Ribbon Week speakers, motivational speakers, etc.)
1	2	5	Provide before school, during school, after school tutorials, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
1	2	7	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
1	2	9	Purchase supplemental technology (such as Chromebooks, headsets, etc.), instructional materials and evaluation/assessment/data analysis resources (and subsequent related PD). This includes hard copies as well as online tools/software to scaffold instruction (i.e., Peoples Education-Measuring Up, Study Island, All in Learning, Lead4ward data modules, Sped Evaluation kits, bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers, etc.) Purchase professional books for staff to increase their knowledge of DLI/ESL programs.
1	3	2	Provide training for teachers and staff in understanding and implementing the IEPs for special education students.
1	3	3	Provide training to teachers and staff in working with Language Learners through book studies, presenters, and conferences.
1	3	4	Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of students.
1	3	6	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
2	1	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation for student engagement.
2	1	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
2	1	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
2	2	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
2	2	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
2	2	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
2	2	6	Provide Training for teachers in DLI through guest presenters and coaches.
2	3	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
2	3	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
2	3	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
2	3	6	Provide training for teachers in reading MAP data and creating data driven differentiated lessons.
2	4	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
2	4	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
2	4	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
3	1	1	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials.
3	1	2	Provide classroom management training for language teachers.
3	1	3	Provide support for language teachers in implementing the curriculum and lesson planning.
4	1	2	100% of new to ILT and New to the profession teachers will be assigned a mentor teacher.
4	1	3	Provide 1:1 instructional coaching to all teachers who are struggling.
4	1	4	Provide specific differentiated teacher training to support teachers in their areas of need.
4	1	5	Provide opportunities for teachers to observe peers.
4	1	6	Include teachers in Instructional Rounds to help in supporting the over all campus problem of practice.

RDA Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	1	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
2	1	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
2	2	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
2	3	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
2	4	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.

Targeted Support Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	1	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
1	1	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
1	1	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
1	1	4	Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home. Conduct parent meetings and trainings to promote student growth. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) and Campus Improvement Plan are made available to parents.
1	1	5	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
1	1	7	Hire a remediation teacher in each triad and an IA to support remediation in math and reading to help close the COVID gap.
1	2	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.
1	2	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.
1	2	3	Provide specific training to teachers in supporting struggling and advanced readers.
1	2	4	Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of students. (Aim for Success, Red Ribbon Week speakers, motivational speakers, etc.)
1	2	5	Provide before school, during school, after school tutorials, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.
1	2	6	Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home.
1	2	7	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
1	2	9	Purchase supplemental technology (such as Chromebooks, headsets, etc.), instructional materials and evaluation/assessment/data analysis resources (and subsequent related PD). This includes hard copies as well as online tools/software to scaffold instruction (i.e., Peoples Education-Measuring Up, Study Island, All in Learning, Lead4ward data modules, Sped Evaluation kits, bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers, etc.) Purchase professional books for staff to increase their knowledge of DLI/ESL programs.
1	3	2	Provide training for teachers and staff in understanding and implementing the IEPs for special education students.
1	3	3	Provide training to teachers and staff in working with Language Learners through book studies, presenters, and conferences.
1	3	4	Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of students.
1	3	5	Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home.
1	3	6	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.
1	3	8	Hire remediation teacher/IA to support in closing the COVID gap.
2	1	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description	
2	1	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation for student engagement.	
2	1	3	rovide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	1	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
2	2	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	2	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
2	2	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	2	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
2	2	6	Provide Training for teachers in DLI through guest presenters and coaches.	
2	3	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	3	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
2	3	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	3	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
2	3	6	Provide training for teachers in reading MAP data and creating data driven differentiated lessons.	
2	4	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	4	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
2	4	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	4	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
4	1	2	100% of new to ILT and New to the profession teachers will be assigned a mentor teacher.	
4	1	3	Provide 1:1 instructional coaching to all teachers who are struggling.	
4	1	4	Provide specific differentiated teacher training to support teachers in their areas of need.	

Additional Targeted Support Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description	
1	1	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
1	1	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
1	1	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
1	1	4	Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home. Conduct parent meeting and trainings to promote student growth. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) and Campus Improvement Plan are made available to parents.	
1	1	5	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
1	2	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
1	2	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
1	2	3	Provide specific training to teachers in supporting struggling and advanced readers.	
1	2	4	Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of students. (Air for Success, Red Ribbon Week speakers, motivational speakers, etc.)	
1	2	5	Provide before school, during school, after school tutorials, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
1	2	6	Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home.	
1	2	7	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
1	2	9	Purchase supplemental technology (such as Chromebooks, headsets, etc.), instructional materials and evaluation/assessment/data analysis resources (and subsequent related PD). This includes hard copies as well as online tools/software to scaffold instruction (i.e., Peoples Education-Measuring Up, Study Island, All in Learning, Lead4ward data modules, Sped Evaluation kits, bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers, etc.) Purchase professional books for staff to increase their knowledge of DLI/ESL programs.	
1	3	2	Provide training for teachers and staff in understanding and implementing the IEPs for special education students.	
1	3	3	Provide training to teachers and staff in working with Language Learners through book studies, presenters, and conferences.	
1	3	4	Provide special assemblies and programs to support the social and emotional health and academic health of students.	
1	3	5	Provide parent education classes in supporting students academically and emotionally at home.	
1	3	6	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
2	1	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	1	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation for student engagement.	
2	1	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	1	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description	
2	2	1	tudents will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	2	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
2	2	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	2	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
2	2	6	Provide Training for teachers in DLI through guest presenters and coaches.	
2	3	1	tudents will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	3	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
2	3	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	3	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	
2	3	6	Provide training for teachers in reading MAP data and creating data driven differentiated lessons.	
2	4	1	Students will be provided differentiated instruction in small groups during regular classroom time.	
2	4	2	Provide teachers instruction on how to differentiate lesson planning and lesson presentation.	
2	4	3	Provide before school, during school, after school, and Saturday tutorials for students for enrichment and remediation.	
2	4	4	Provide training for teachers in working with students of poverty with diverse backgrounds and cultural differences.	

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
New Hire	Math Interventionist	Math	50,000
New Hire	Reading Interventionist	Reading	50,000

Campus Funding Summary

	211 - Title 1-A				
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount	
1	1	1	leveled readers	\$4,000.00	
1	1	3	Teachers for tutorials	\$5,000.00	
1	1	4	building, instructors	\$4,000.00	
1	1	5	instructors, materials	\$2,000.00	
2	1	3	Teachers	\$5,000.00	
Sub-Total				al \$20,000.00	
			263 - Title III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount	
1	3	7		\$74,300.00	
Sub-Total				al \$74,300.00	
Grand Total				al \$94,300.00	

Addendums